

Appendix E

Polling Districts and Polling Places Review

Table of Representations (Anonymised)

1	<p>I have been looking through the proposed changes of polling stations for Sutton central and I am in agreement with the changes being proposed.</p> <p>The removal of schools as polling stations is a positive move as I do get a lot of complaints from parents when children have to have an addition day off for voting so the removal of both schools is a good step forward.</p> <p>I believe the changes being proposed will make it easier for local residents to vote and the places being suggested are good and prominent locations and placed well around the ward.</p> <p>I would like to see these new polling stations implemented.</p>
2	<p>Hucknall North - happy with the proposed, sensible changes. Underwood - happy with the proposed, sensible changes. The Dales - happy with the proposed, sensible changes. St Marys - happy with the proposed, sensible changes. Huthwaite and brierley - happy with the proposed, sensible changes. Central and New Cross - happy with the proposed, sensible changes. Ashfields - happy with the proposed, sensible changes.</p> <p>Selston - after speaking with many members of the public in this area, there is a strong dislike for using the Church of Christ, it is small and the paring is very cramped on the junction of a very busy road and a sharp incline. The public transport also does no link properly here where it does to the Parish hall. Therefore propose keeping the arrangements for the current SEL3&4 at the Selston parish hall and merging those polling districts together.</p> <p>Summit - I am strongly opposed to using the Sports Hall at Morven Park School. I think this review should seek to make voting arrangements as easy as possible and for residents on Oak Street, Marlborough Road, Milton Street, Gladstone Street and Lowmoor Road using the summit centre would be far far easier, especially given the use of the rear entrance to the building too. For the other residents this would be no further than the previous polling station but the Summit Centre has far superior parking, access and good public transport links too.</p> <p>Stanton Hill and Teversal - Following consultation with residents along Wild Hill and in Teversal Village, a number of concerns have been raised about using Carnarvon Road which is narrow and parked on heavily, to access the visitors centre at peak times. In my opinion, a large majority of this possing District Travels by car to vote and therefore would find it easier to vote at the boys brigade too. I suggest we just have the one polling pace for this ward with two polling districts.</p>

Appendix E

	<p>Larwood - happy with the proposed, sensible changes. however the new Polling District Maps do not split the ward in the way I had hoped with the new estate in one PD and Sutton Road and the side streets in another. That would be far more sensible.</p> <p>Leamington - Over the past week I have personally called at every home in the new proposed LEM1 as this is in my county council division. the overwhelming feeling was that people did not want to move to Heathcote Court and saw it as far more difficult to access than their current arrangement. For those driving and walking they would have to exit the estate and travel along Kirkby Road, which they felt is too busy, as opposed to Willetts Court which has ample parking and is in a far less bus location. Therefore I suggest we keep the existing arrangements in this ward.</p>
3	<p>To save a lengthy email I will focus my thoughts on changes being made inside my Division. Starting with your proposals for Huthwaite and Brierley, I am in support of the move to merge HBR2 and HBR3. Having been involved in elections for the past 8 years in this area it is clear many people accessing the Sherwood Street polling station drove from their homes rather than walking as a result parking for the polling station was always an issue and the move to Mill Close which has a large car park is a good change of location.</p> <p>St Mary's obviously remains largely the same as before however the proposed changes to STM2 and STM4 (proposed combined name STM3) is common sense The Staff of Life was out of the way for many people and out of their daily flow of traffic. Further to this I received many complaints from people about having to cross a busy road to get to The Staff of Life, changing it to Mapplewells School keeps their polling station within their locality and makes it far easier for these residents to access democracy.</p> <p>Finally Carsic Ward is unchanged in the review and I am happy to support these proposals.</p>
4	<p>In relation to Summit Ward Polling stations, I support the officer's recommendation that the polling station in Summit Ward should be Morven Park and not Summit Centre which is outside the designated catchment area.</p>