Ashfield District Council

Local Plan Publication

2017-2032

Housing Site Selection Technical Paper (draft revision July 2016)

<u>CONTENTS</u>

	PAGE
BACKGROUND	3
INTRODUCTION	3
HOUSING SITE SELECTION PROCESS	5
SUMMARY OF SITES SELECTED FOR ALLOCATION	9
SUMMARY OF ALTERNATIVE SITES NOT SELECTED	12
SUTTON & KIKRBY HOUSING SITES SELECTED FOR ALLOCATION	16
HUCKNALL HOUSING SITES SELECTED FOR ALLOCATION	39
RURAL HOUSING SITES SELECTED FOR ALLOCATION	49
ALTERNATIVE SITES NOT ALLOCATED – SUTTON & KIRKBY	54
ALTERNATIVE SITES NOT ALLOCATED – THE RURALS	80
ALTERNATIVE SITES NOT ALLOCATED – HUCKNALL	93
DISCOUNTED SITES	101

Background

In December 2013 Ashfield District Council submitted its Submission Local Plan to the Secretary of State, who appointed a Planning Inspector to examine the soundness of the Plan. Following initial assessment and discussions with the Council, the Inspector raised significant concerns with the Submission Plan, and the Council subsequently withdraw the Plan in July 2014. These concerns primarily related to the Sustainability Appraisal and the selection of Green Belt sites in the north of the District.

As a result of the concerns raised by the Inspector, the Council has undertaken a number of new and revised studies to produce a robust evidence base to support the creation of a sound Local Plan for Ashfield. The key elements of this work comprise:

- Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA)
- A Green Belt Review
- Employment Land Forecasting Study
- Landscape Assessments
- Sustainability Appraisal (SA)

These studies have provided the Council with the information to understand the development needs of Ashfield, enabling it to positively plan to accommodate and meet these needs, as required by the NPPF.

14. At the heart of the National Planning Policy Framework is a presumption in favour of sustainable development, which should be seen as a golden thread running through both plan-making and decision-taking.

For plan-making this means that:

- local planning authorities should positively seek opportunities to meet the development needs of their area:
- Local Plans should meet objectively assessed needs, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change, unless:
 - any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in this Framework taken as a whole; or
 - specific policies in this Framework indicate development should be restricted.

156. Local planning authorities should set out the strategic priorities for the area in the Local Plan. This should include strategic policies to deliver:

- the homes and jobs needed in the area;
- the provision of retail, leisure and other commercial development;

158. Each local planning authority should ensure that the Local Plan is based on adequate, up-todate and relevant evidence about the economic, social and environmental characteristics and prospects of the area. Local planning authorities should ensure that their assessment of and strategies for housing, employment and other uses are integrated, and that they take full account of relevant market and economic signals.

In line with these national policy requirements, the Local Plan proposes a Vision and Strategic Objectives for the District, with a Spatial Approach and a set of Strategic and Development Management Policies that will seek to achieve this Vision. The allocation of deliverable sites plays a fundamental role in this, enabling the delivery of homes, jobs and town centre uses.

Introduction

In order to help realise the Local Plan's Vision for Ashfield, the Council has proposed a Spatial Approach to housing that will seek to distribute appropriate levels of growth across the District, ensuring economic growth is supported, town centre regeneration is promoted, and communities in each of the three areas can access new housing to meet their needs.

The site selection process has followed the principles and policies of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). To ensure the housing needs of the District are met within the 15 year Plan period, the Council has sought to identify sites which have the least policy and physical constraints whilst ensuring that they will deliver sustainable development.

National Policy

The NPPF identifies that Local Plans are the key to delivering sustainable development that reflects the vision and aspirations of local communities. Plans must be prepared with the objective of contributing to the achievement of sustainable development. The NPPF sets out the three dimensions to sustainable development, which are:

- An economic role contributing to building a strong, responsive and competitive economy, by ensuring that sufficient land of the right type is available in the right places and at the right time to support growth and innovation; and by identifying and coordinating development requirements, including the provisions of infrastructure;
- A social role supporting strong, vibrant and healthy communities, by providing the supply of housing required to meet the needs of present and future generations; and by creating a high quality built environment, with accessible local services that reflect the community's needs and support its health, social and cultural well-being; and
- An environmental role contributing to protecting and enhancing our natural, built and historic environment; and, as part of this, helping to improve biodiversity, use of natural resources prudently, minimise waste and pollution, and mitigate and adapt to climate change including moving to a low carbon economy.

Local Planning Authorities should seek opportunities to achieve each of the economic, social and environmental dimensions of sustainable development, and net gains across all three. Significant adverse impacts on any of the three dimensions should be avoided and, wherever possible, alternative options which reduce or eliminate such impacts should be pursued. Where adverse impacts are unavoidable, measures to mitigate the impact should be considered.

The NPPF stresses that Local Planning Authorities should set out the strategic priorities for the area. This should include strategic policies to deliver homes, jobs, retail, leisure and other commercial development, infrastructure to support growth, and climate change mitigation and adaption, and conservation of the natural and historic environment, including landscape.

To boost significantly the supply of housing, local planning authorities should use their evidence base to ensure that their Local Plan meets the full, objectively assessed needs for market and affordable housing in the housing market area, as far as is consistent with the policies set out in the NPPF, including identifying key sites which are critical to the delivery of the housing strategy over the plan period.

This involves identifying a supply of deliverable¹ sites sufficient to provide five years worth of housing against the District's housing requirements, and identifying a supply of developable² sites or broad locations for growth for years 6 to 15.

Crucially, Local Plans should plan positively for the development and infrastructure needs of the District to meet the objectives, principles and policies of the NPPF. They should allocate sites to promote development and flexible use of land, bringing forward new land where necessary.

This Site Selection Paper has been produced to explain the rationale for the sites selected to be taken forward as residential allocations. The sites selected to be taken forward are considered to offer the most appropriate options when taking into consideration the three dimensions of sustainable development and any physical constraints which would restrict development.

Settlement Hierarchy, Spatial Approach to development and Strategic Objectives

The Housing Spatial Approach paper sets out in more detail the justification for the Council's approach to the distribution of housing development within the district. This Site Selection Technical Paper should be read in conjunction with the Housing Spatial Approach paper, which outlines the approach adopted by the Plan. The established settlement hierarchy (identified in Policy S3) has played an important role in the site selection process. It has informed the distribution of development throughout the District. Sutton in Ashfield, Kirkby in Ashfield and Hucknall are the District's main settlements which provide a wide range of services and facilities, including well established rail and bus stations and networks, retail, leisure, education, employment, and parks and recreation grounds. They benefit from excellent access to the strategic road network. The towns are connected via an extensive green infrastructure network which promotes and supports walking and cycling, and biodiversity. The majority of future development will be concentrated within these settlements. This approach will enable the Council to achieve the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan.

Selston, Jacksdale and Underwood are large villages located to the west of the District. They are well connected to the surrounding areas via the public transport, Green Infrastructure routes and the road network. The largest settlement is Selston which provides a number of key services including a secondary school, primary schools, medical centre, leisure centre, community centre, supermarket, public houses, golf course, allotments and a number of formal open spaces. Jacksdale has a local shopping centre which has seen a steady increase in the number of vacant shops over the past few years. There is a need to support the continuation of these services and it is considered that Selston and Jacksdale are the most appropriate locations for a lower level of growth to help sustain these communities. The Council has worked closely with Selston Parish Council's Neighbourhood Group in assessing potential sites for new development.

The Greater Nottingham and Ashfield Accessibility Study identifies that Teversal, to the north of the district, is the least sustainable settlement in Ashfield District; it scores much lower than other settlements in Ashfield. The SHLAA also identifies that the majority of the Teversal sites score quite poorly for access to services and facilities. Taking this and other site specific constraints into consideration, the Council is not intending to take forward sites in Teversal.

Evidence Base

The site selection process (outlined within this document) has been informed by the Local Plan evidence base, national policy and site specific information to assess the deliverability and suitability of the sites submitted to the Council within the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA).

The key pieces of evidence used to inform site selection are:

- Nottingham Outer Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) (informed the number of dwellings required and locations for growth)
- Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA)
- Sustainability Appraisal
- Green Belt Review (2015)
- Whole Plan Viability Assessment (2016)
- Infrastructure Delivery Plan (2016)
- Landscape Assessments
- Greater Nottingham and Ashfield Accessible Settlements Study

Allied with these studies, the Council has undertaken extensive consultation with Nottinghamshire County Council Highways Department to understand the access and highway requirements and constraints of all potential sites. Consultation with internal and external specialists has also been undertaken. The Council's Landscape Team, Environmental Health Team, Conservation Officer, Tree Officer, and Development Management Team have been involved in the site assessment process. Advice has also been sought from the Coal Authority, Network Rail and the Environment Agency with regard to site constraints, where necessary.

It should also be noted that the Council's approach, to allocate a range of sites of different sizes, accords with recommendations of a report published by the HBF in August 2015 'Responding to demand; Understanding private housing supply'. The study indicates that when Local Plans concentrate development on a few large sites this further stifles the market-responsiveness of supply. Larger sites tend to require more sales units in order to boost the sales rates. The approach adopted, which seeks to supply a larger number of sites of different sizes, enables more developers/sales units to be established, thus boosting the supply of housing.

Gypsy and Travellers

Policy Planning Statement 'Planning Policy for Traveller sites' (August 2015) requires local need for accommodation to be based on robust evidence. Specific deliverable sites should be identified in order to meet accommodation needs for 5 years, together with a supply of developable sites or broad locations for growth for 6 to 10 years, and where possible, for years 11 to 15.

The Ashfield Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessment 2015 sets out the level of future need for the District for the period 2014 to 2029 as illustrated in Table 1 below. This assessment was undertaken using a joint methodology adopted by the Nottinghamshire Districts, based on a supply versus demand approach. The current assessment indicates a nil need for Showmen's accommodation over and above the current provision. With regard to Gypsies/Travellers this is also minimal.

¹ To be considered deliverable, sites should be available now, offer a suitable location for development now, and be achievable with a realistic prospect that housing will be delivered on the site within five years and in particular that development of the site is viable. Sites with planning permission should be considered deliverable until permission expires, unless there is clear evidence that schemes will not be implemented within five years, for example they will not be viable, there is no longer a demand for the type of units or sites have long term phasing plans.

² To be considered developable, sites should be in a suitable location for housing development and there should be a reasonable prospect that the site is available and could be viably developed at the point envisaged.

Table 1 Ashfield District: Future Pitch/Plot Requirements 2014 to 2029					
Period	Gypsy/Traveller Pitches	Showmen's Plots/Yards			
2014 to 2019	0	0			
2019 to 2024	1	0			
2024 to 2029	1	0			

Due to the relative low level of need, the strategic approach to providing sites focuses on the allocation of unimplemented planning approvals in the area specific policies, alongside a criteria based policy (HG1).

A call for sites undertaken in Spring 2014 yielded a total of 4 sites put forward for Traveller use. Of these, 3 were located in the GB – contrary to national policy as set out in Planning policy for Traveller Sites Policy E which clearly states that Traveller sites in the Green Belt are inappropriate. The 4th site was put forward for considerations as a Travelling Showman's site located on land currently designated as Countryside (in line with the NPPF Core Planning Principle to recognise the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside). A further call for sites in late 2014 did not yield any further potential sites.

Given the minimal assessed level of future need (set out above), it is not considered necessary to allocate additional sites for Traveller accommodation. It would not be appropriate to re-designate any Countryside or Green belt land on this basis.

Housing Target

The Council jointly commissioned the Nottingham Outer Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) with Mansfield and Newark and Sherwood Council's. The Nottingham Outer SHMA was completed in October 2015. It can be viewed on the Council's website.

The role of this document is to understand the housing needs across the Nottingham Outer Housing Market Area and to undertake and objective assessment of housing needs for each District. As required by NPPF Paragraph 47, Ashfield intends to meet the District's full objectively assessed housing need of 480 dwelling per year. This has been adopted as its housing target over the 15 year plan period. This equates to 8,268 dwellings over the plan period (this figure is derived from the OAN being back dated to 2013). The District already has a number of planning permissions which will contribute to this supply, with the remainder sourced from new sites detailed within this document. To enable appropriate flexibility within the supply of sites, the Council has sought to allocate a greater number of sites than required and applied what it considers to be a conservative yield / density to its site assessment.

HOUSING SITE SELECTION PROCESS

SHLAA

The Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) is the primary means by which the Council has identified potential housing sites that could meet the District's housing requirements. The Council undertook a call for sites (this included land for other uses such as Employment and Retail) in

October – December 2014 which enabled landowners and developers the opportunity to submit sites to the Council they wished to promote for housing development.

The SHLAA has been updated on a regular basis since the first document was produced in March 2009. The review process enables the Council to monitor any changes which have occurred and to determine if there is a need to identify new sites or re-assess existing sites in terms of suitability, availability and deliverability. Currently there is still an insufficient amount of land available, including brownfield sites, within the main urban areas of Sutton-in-Ashfield, Kirkby-in-Ashfield, Hucknall and in the settlements of Selston, Jacksdale and Underwood to meet the housing requirement. Consequently, it has been necessary to undertake a comprehensive review of land availability to identify suitable sites adjoining the main urban area and named settlements.

In May 2015 the Council wrote to all landowners and agents of sites submitted to the SHLAA process to consult them on the draft results of the SHLAA. The main focus of the consultation was on the deliverability of development on sites submitted. The comments received have been reviewed and amendments were made where necessary.

Suitable, Available and Achievable

As required by NPPF paragraph 47, the Council has sought to ensure the sites it has proposed to allocate within the Local Plan are deliverable – suitable, available and achievable.

An initial assessment of deliverability is undertaken within the District's SHLAA, (which was subject to consultation with land owners, as detailed above) which has been enhanced further during this site selection process. In considering deliverability in line with NPPF Paragraph 47, the Council has assessed whether they consider sites are:

<u>Suitable</u>

Is the site's location appropriate for development? Are there any policy or physical constraints that impact on its deliverability?

<u>Available</u>

Is the site being promoted for development by the owner? Are there any land ownership constraints?

<u>Achievable</u>

Is the site considered to viable? Are there any known constraints that could impact on this?

'Achievability'

A key element of the site selection process, as required by Paragraph 47 of the NPPF, is to assess and make a judgement on whether a site is deliverable. To be considered deliverable, sites should be <u>available</u> for development now, offer a <u>suitable</u> location and be <u>achievable</u>. The Council's assessment of a site's availability and suitability is outlined below.

In terms of a site's achievability the Council commissioned Nationwide CIL Services to undertake a Local Plan and CIL Viability Assessment in December 2013. The Study undertook a comprehensive approach to viability, assessing the viability of individual residential development site set out in the previous Local Plan Submission Document. Based upon the methodology and assumptions it concluded that only one site demonstrated significant negative viability in the 0-5 year delivery period. Given the range of sites covered by the Study, it is considered that all sites put forward within

Ashfield are viable unless it has been identified that there are significant abnormal costs identified with the site in question. As such, unless otherwise stated within the below assessment, the Council believes all the site assessed are achievable.

While the Council believes the assessments made by the Viability Assessment remain broadly valid, it has now appointed Nationwide CIL to undertake an update of the Viability Study, to support the new Local Plan.

Thresholds & Densities

No thresholds have been applied to the assessment of sites submitted to the SHLAA process. However, the Council does not intend to allocate sites under 10 dwellings. These could potentially come forward as windfall sites unless there are policy constraints. Another option for sites under 10 dwellings would be rural exception sites. However, the Council does not intend to allocate rural exception sites as, based on evidence in the Nottingham Outer SHMA, it is not considered necessary.

Some of the largest sites have masterplans or have been subject to a planning application which has informed the site capacity. For other sites, the densities applied accord with Policy HG3 (Housing densities) of the ALPR (2002). This policy is still applicable and is considered to be up to date as the lowest density is 30 dwellings per hectare and the highest is 40 dwellings per hectare. The District consists of towns and villages with very few high density developments.

Where sites exceed 2 hectares, 10% of the site size has been deducted to comply with the Open Space requirements of Ashfield Local Plan Review (2002) Policy HG6. A further 15% has been deducted to take account of the necessary infrastructure on sites over 2 hectares. On sites with an area between 0.4 and 2 hectares, an additional 10% reduction has been applied for infrastructure requirements. Exceptions to this include sites where the application has been for conversion and sites where other constraints dictate the number of dwellings that may be built and sites where comments from the owner have justified a different capacity. On very large sites (over 10 Hectares) a density multiplier of 60% has been applied.

Known Site Constraints

In order to understand the potential constraints that may restrict the deliverability of sites, the Council has utilised the site specific information it holds and undertaken relevant consultation with stakeholders and Council Departments. For each site the Council has assessed and considered potential constraints related to:

- Highways & Access
- Potential for contamination
- Historic coal mining activity
- Topography •
- Telecommunication or services (water, electricity, gas) infrastructure •
- Flooding
- High quality soils (Grades 2 and 3a)
- Bad neighbours e.g. heavy industry

Where there are physical constraints, the Council has sought to identify appropriate mitigation through consultation with land owners and consultees. In some rare instances where mitigation is not possible, sites have been assessed as being unsuitable.

Discounting of sites

The Council has discounted sites at various stages of the Local Plan preparation process.

SHLAA - excluded sites

In accordance with the Council's SHLAA Methodology, sites submitted to the SHLAA process which do not adjoin a settlement boundary are automatically excluded from being assessed. However, this does not include sites which adjoin other sites submitted to the SHLAA process which do adjoin a settlement boundary. A total of 33 sites were discounted at this stage.

SHLAA – small sites (accommodating less than 10 dwellings)

Sites assessed as suitable and deliverable within the urban area which can accommodate less than 10 dwellings have been included within the land supply in the trajectory. It is not considered necessary to allocate these sites. As such, they have been discounted from the site selection process.

SHLAA - unsuitable sites

Sites within floodzones 2 and 3 (where any part of the site is within the floodzone), sites designated as formal open spaces, and sites designated as Local Wildlife Sites have all been assessed as 'unsuitable' unless it has been determined that such constraints could be appropriately mitigated. There are also a small number of sites with other constraints which have been assessed as unsuitable, for the following reasons:

- Sites where development would result in the creation of urban sprawl e.g. sites adjoining ribbon development which are not well contained by existing development or physical features (this includes sites in Green Belt and Designated Countryside);
- Green Belt sites where it would result in the merging of settlements;
- Sites with severe highway constraints where no mitigation is possible.
- Sites which contain major underground gas pipes.
- Sites which are no longer available. •
- Sites which have bad neighbours e.g. heavy industry

A total of 31 sites were assessed as unsuitable via the SHLAA process. Consequently they have not been included in the Sustainability Appraisal and they have been discounted from the site selection process.

Green Belt Sites

All sites in Green Belt submitted to the Council through the 'Call for Sites' process have been considered. Sites which do not adjoin a settlement boundary were discounted at an early stage.

In total 7 sites were assessed as being unsuitable through the SHLAA process due to the fact that development would result in the merging of settlements and/or sprawl of settlements. It should be noted that these site assessments have been reviewed following the completion of the 2015 Green Belt Assessment to ensure that the conclusions accord with this. The remainder of the sites in Green Belt have been assessed as 'May be suitable subject to policy change'. These sites have also been reviewed following the completion of the 2015 Green Belt Review to determine which sites may potentially be suitable for development.

Sites which have scored the highest when assessed against three of the five purposes of the Green Belt have been discounted from the Local Plan site allocation process, these are:

- Checking the unrestricted sprawl of settlements.
- Preventing neighbouring towns from merging into one another.

• Preserving the setting and special character of historic settlements.

It was not considered to be appropriate to discount sites which scored highest for encroachment into the countryside as residential development would inevitably encroach on the countryside to a large extent. Similarly it was not considered to be appropriate to score sites in terms of whether development would assist in urban regeneration.

Following the assessment of land supply, it has been determined that there is enough land available for housing development in the countryside in Sutton in Ashfield and Kirkby in Ashfield. As such there are no exceptional circumstances for Green Belt release in Kirkby in Ashfield, Annesley Woodhouse and New Annesley. Green Belt sites in Kirkby in Ashfield and Annesley Woodhouse and New Annesley have been discounted from being taken forward for further consideration. This approach accords with the Local Plan Strategy.

A total of 89 sites have been discounted from the site selection process. Appendix 1 contains a list of discounted sites.

Green Belt Review (2015)

The purpose of the Green Belt Review is to provide a means of identifying the most important areas of Green Belt, when assessed against the 5 purpose of Green Belt as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework

- to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas;
- to prevent neighbouring towns from merging into one another;
- to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment;
- to preserve the setting and special character of historic towns; and
- to assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land.

The review assessed all Green Belt around the Main Urban Areas and Named Settlements within Ashfield. Areas of land were chosen using defined physical feature such as roads, railways, watercourses, tree belts, woodlands, ridgelines or field boundaries. Ordnance Survey Maps, Topographical Maps, professional judgement and site visits were used in this process.

Sites were then assessed using the Assessment Criteria and Assessment Matrix in the joint Green Belt Assessment Framework (see Ashfield District Council web site). Ashfield, Broxtowe, Gedling and Nottingham City Councils worked jointly to prepare the Framework to support their emerging Local Plans within their authorities. The Framework has enabled all involved to undertake a robust assessment of Green Belt boundaries within their area.

Each assessment gave an overall score ranging between 4 (low) and 20 (high). Whilst a site may have a low overall score, it may score particularly high for one single Green Belt purpose (the maximum score for any single purpose is 5). In these instances, it could be considered to be of sufficient importance on that one single purpose for the site to be retained as Green Belt. This is particularly important for the following Green Belt purposes:

- Check the unrestricted sprawl of settlements.
- Prevent neighbouring towns from merging into one another.
- Preserve the setting and special character of historic settlements.

Certain SHLAA sites are covered by more than one of the Green Belt Assessments. This is because Green Belt Assessment sites were chosen using defined physical boundaries such as roads, railway line and woodlands. The Green Belt Assessment sites may not necessarily be the same as the sites submitted for consideration to the SHLAA.

Sustainability Appraisal

The Sustainability Appraisal (SA) promotes sustainable development through the consideration of the environmental, social and economic considerations of the Local Plan. It is a legal requirement under the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. The SA incorporates the requirements of the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) introduced to the United Kingdom through the European Union Directive 2001 / 42 / EC, and in England the Directive has been implemented via the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 referred to as the SEA Regulations). SEA is a systematic process for the evaluation of the likely environmental consequences of proposed policies, plans or programmes in order to ensure that environmental issues are fully integrated and assessed at the earliest appropriate stage of decision making.

Under the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (Section 39) the Council is under a duty to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. This reflects how best to shape the District of Ashfield to meet the requirements of housing and economic growth, to further social and environment objectives and to mitigate against climate change. Both the SEA and the sustainability appraisal are important in forming a judgment to be made under Section 39 (2).

The SA sets out 17 objectives, which the spatial approach, sites, and policies have been assessed against. These relate to housing, social inclusion, crime prevention, health and wellbeing, climate change, employment, landscape protection, heritage assets, air and noise pollution, water quality, waste, open space, biodiversity, town centre regeneration and the local economy. It should be noted that, with regard to air pollution, all sites have been assessed as having a negative impact. With regard to Community Safety, waste, water quality and energy efficiency, all sites have been assessed as having a neutral effect as it is not dependent on the location of development, it will be dependent on the design of any future proposal.

The analysis of the housing spatial options and the results of the Sustainability Appraisal (which appraises the housing spatial options to determine the most sustainable option) indicate that there are exceptional circumstances for Green Belt release in Hucknall and the Rurals. The approach taken accords with paragraph 84 of the NPPF, which specifies that, when reviewing Green Belt boundaries local planning authorities should take account of the need to promote sustainable patterns of development. The Green Belt Review (2015) has subsequently informed the site selection process in Hucknall and Selston.

The sites under consideration, excluding those that have been discounted, have been included in the SA. Whilst the results from the SA have informed the site selection process, the Council has also needed to consider the deliverability of development. Some sites have major constraints, particularly with regard to access to the public highway. Consequently, there are instances where the Council has determined that some of the sites which appear to come out better in the SA in terms of sustainability should not be taken forward due to the fact that there is uncertainty with regard to overcoming physical constraints and the site's overall deliverability.

Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements

The Infrastructure Delivery Plan includes details of the requirements associated with the proposed development. It should be noted that this is a living document which is constantly being updated. The Council is continuing to work with its partners, including Nottinghamshire County Council and other infrastructure providers/agencies, to ensure that the document includes the infrastructure requirements associated with the proposed development.

Also set out below is a brief description of the infrastructure requirements of the sites selected to be taken forward as residential allocations.

Landscape Assessment

The Landscape assessments of sites have been undertaken in response to the Inspectors comments on the withdrawn Local Plan in 2014. The National Planning Policy Framework stresses that great weight should be given to conserving landscape and scenic beauty in National Parks, the Broads and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty. There are none of these designated areas within the District of Ashfield. Nevertheless at a local level, the landscape is highly valued by the local community, and as such it is important to understand the impacts, effects and significance of potential development on the landscape.

A landscape character assessment of the Greater Nottingham area has been undertaken focusing on the countryside around Nottingham, including all of the District of Ashfield³. This document researches and categorises features and characteristics of the landscape. It divides the study area into broad landscape types and more detailed landscape character areas. However, this is still at a relatively strategic level. As part of the assessment of the Local Plan and particularly housing and employment sites, site specific landscape assessments have been undertaken by Ashfield District Council's landscape architects who have devised and utilised a methodology which aligns with the Greater Nottingham Landscape Character Assessment Methodology.

Sites within the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) and the Strategic Employment Land Availability Assessment (SELAA) have been assessed for landscape purpose where:

- a) They have been identified as potential suitable sites to consider for future development. That is they have not been discounted as part of the SHLAA or SELAA as being unsuitable.
- b) They are located in the countryside.

The landscape assessments provide detailed information at a site level on the value of the landscape, the potential impact of development and possible mitigation measures. They have been utilised as a contribution towards the appraisal of potential development sites for allocation within the Local Plan and to inform the sustainability appraisal of individual sites, which meet the criteria set out above.

Generally sites that have scored the highest with regard to the capacity to accommodate development have not been selected to be taken forward. However, the Council has decided to take forward Beck Lane in Skegby, which has scored the highest in terms of its capacity to accommodate development. This is due to the fact that many of the sites submitted to the Council have severe access constraints which creates a high risk that development would not be delivered within the 15 year Plan period. Beck Lane has fewer physical constraints and the principle of some development has been established; there is an extant planning permission for an indoor football academy on the site which would impact on the landscape, albeit to a lesser extent. The impact of the building on the landscape and the associated movement of traffic has been taken into account in determining the suitability of this site for allocation. The site also lies adjacent to the MARR, which is a regeneration corridor supported by D2N2, which development will help support.

Agricultural Land Quality

In accordance with paragraph 112 of the NPPF, agricultural land quality has been taken into consideration in the selection of sites for allocation. Unfortunately there is a lack of suitable and available land on sites with a poorer grade of soil. Consequently it has been necessary to allocate sites which contain Grade 2 soils. Natural England has raised no objections to the approach taken.

Reasonable Alternative Housing Sites Not Taken Forward

The reasoned justification for the non-allocation of reasonable alternative sites is identified in the conclusion for each site. More generally reasons relate to severe physical constraints which creates uncertainty regarding the delivery of development. Also, development of some sites would result in the creation of urban sprawl and/or have an adverse impact on the landscape. The loss of recreational space was not considered to be appropriate as it is an important resource for communities.

Sites with Planning Permission

There are a number of proposed housing allocations which have received planning permission. For such sites, the Council has not undertaken a sustainability appraisal or assessment them as part of this documents, as it has assumed the sustainability and deliverability of the sites has been assessed during the planning application process using relevant national and local planning policy.

Details of the site with planning permission are outlined with Housing Monitoring Report and the housing Area Policies within the Local Plan.

³ Greater Nottingham Landscape Character Assessment 2009. Nottinghamshire County Council & TEP.

Summary of site allocations

Site allocation Ref.	SHLAA Ref.	Location	Summary of Justification for Allocation
	Ashfield	and Kirkby in	Ashfield – Housing Sites Selected for Allocation
SKA3a	SM44 Land south of Skegby Lane, Sutton in Ashfield		The site, which is currently designated Countryside, is in a sustainable location adjacent to the main urban area. It is within close proximity to Sur have a wide range of services and facilities with excellent retail and leisure provision. The site is also in close proximity to the A38, Kingsmill Hos Route, which provides future residents with good transport links to employment. The site is located on the MARR which is identified by the D2N2 Mansfield. New development in this location would support the growth aspiration for the MARR, benefiting both Districts.
10 Main Street, Huthwiate		Street,	The site, which is currently designated Countryside, is in a sustainable location adjacent to the main urban area of Huthwaite. Development of th The site has excellent access to services and facilities in Huthwaite and Sutton in Ashfield. However, the site has poor drainage and it is likely to excellent access to the public highway and is well contained. The site is relatively small and any adverse landscape impact can be addressed the cottage on the site which is included on the Council's Local Heritage list. This should be retained and enhanced (if structurally sound) as part of the site is relatively be addressed and enhanced.
SKA3c	S55	Ashland Road West, Sutton	The site, which is currently designated Countryside, is in a sustainable location adjacent to the main urban area of Sutton in Ashfield. It is well co and Brierley Forest Park and development would logically round off the settlement. The site is located within an area which is well served by exis good access to the public highway and it is well contained
SKA3d S51, S61, S108, S350 SKA3e S60		Clegg Hill Drive, Huthwaite	The site, which is currently designated Countryside, is in a sustainable location adjacent to Huthwaite. It is a settlement which has good access t have a moderate effect on the landscape, this could be mitigated to some extent through a well-designed scheme which seeks to retain the hedge the set of the landscape.
SKA3e	S60	Land at Newark Road/Coxmoor Road, Sutton In Ashfield	The site, which is currently designated Countryside, is in a sustainable location adjacent to Sutton in Ashfield and in close proximity to Sutton Pa round off the settlement of Sutton in Ashfield. The site is located in an area which is adequately served by services and facilities. It has good acc acknowledged that it is designated Countryside, the site is quite well contained by existing development which has an urbanising effect on the sit The site has poor drainage and it is likely to require mitigation in this respect. The northern part of the site (approx 4.5 ha) is a licensed landfill site.
SKA3f	S66	Priestsic Road, Sutton	The site is in the main urban area located on the edge of Sutton in Ashfield town centre in a residential area and has excellent access to services. The site currently has an adverse effect on the surrounding area as it is currently vacant with overgrown vegetation. There is a need to improve to is still available. It is anticipated that development will occur towards the latter part of the plan period due to constraints relating to potential land of the second secon
SKA3h	S68, S71, S337, S363, S394	Beck Lane, Sutton	The site, which is currently designated Countryside, is located in a sustainable location adjacent to Skegby. The site could deliver a significant ar housing. It is located to the north of Sutton in Ashfield and could assist in supporting improved infrastructure including a new primary school, ope site is located on the MARR which is identified by the D2N2 (LEP) a major growth area for Ashfield and Mansfield. New development in this located the MARR, benefiting both Districts. Whilst development would have an adverse impact on the landscape, this could be mitigated to some extent physical constraints than many sites put forward to the Council.
SKA3g	S72 & S351	Land at Rookery Lane Farm, Sutton- in-Ashfield	Development would logically round off the settlement. The impact of new development on the landscape would be relatively low as the site is surprominent. The site is well served by existing services and facilities and development would assist in meeting the strategic objectives of the Loca currently poor, this could be overcome in the medium term (beyond 5 years).
SKA3i	S83	Clare Road, Sutton	The site is located within the main urban area and is well contained by existing development and by the A38. Development would logically round well served by existing services and facilities and has good access to the public highway.
SKA3j	S93	Fisher Close, Sutton	The site, which is currently designated Countryside, is in a sustainable location adjacent to Sutton in Ashfield. It is located within an area which is including primary and secondary schools, shops, open spaces, and green infrastructure routes. Development would logically round off the settler contained by residential development to two sides and by Brierley Forest Park to the west where the landscape acts as a natural screen. Third party land will be required to enable any future development to achieve highway standards. Mitigation can potentially be achieved via land
SKA3k S94		Hilltop Farm, Skegby	Whilst the site is designated Countryside, it is adjacent to Skegby, it is not prominent and is well contained. The surrounding built development c The site is located within an area which is well served by existing services and facilities including primary and secondary schools, shops, open sp service. Development would logically round off the settlement of Sutton in Ashfield. The site could be accessed via the adjoining site which currently has planning permission for residential development.
SKA3I	SKA3I S112 & Lai S316 Ro Su As		The site, which is an open area within the main urban boundary, is well contained and development would logically round off Sutton in Ashfield. housing over the Plan period, helping to meet the needs of the District. The site is well served by existing services and facilities and would delive that access constraints could be overcome within the Plan period as there are a range of third party options which could address this constraint.
SKA3m	S114	The Avenue, Sutton	The site is located within the main urban area and is surrounded by residential development. Development would be appropriate as it would form and partially well screened by mature trees. The Council considers that access constraints could be overcome within the plan period.
SKA3n	S320	Quantum Clothing, North Street, Huthwaite	The site is a vacant factory located within the Main Urban area in Huthwaite in a residential area. There is excellent access to key services and f Highway constraints could be appropriately mitigated.

Sutton in Ashfield and Mansfield, both towns lospital, the Mansfield and Ashfield Regeneration N2 (LEP) a major growth area for Ashfield and

the site would logically round off the settlement. to require mitigation in this respect. The site has through good design to some extent. There is a of the future development of the site.

contained, forming an infill between Huthwaite kisting services and has excellent facilities. It has

s to a range of services. Whilst development will dgerows and enhance landscape features.

Parkway Station. Development would logically ccess to the public highway and, whilst it is site.

es and facilities.

e this site and the landowner has indicated that it d contamination.

amount of housing, including affordable pen space and public transport provision. The cation would support the growth aspiration for ent through good design. The site has fewer

surrounded by development and is not cal Plan. Whilst access to the public highway is

nd off the settlement of Sutton in Ashfield. It is

is well served by existing services and facilities lement of Sutton in Ashfield as the site is well

nd in public ownership. t creates an urbanising effect. spaces, green infrastructure routes and a bus

. It can deliver a significant amount of new ver sustainable development. It is considered t.

rm a logical infill site. The site is well contained

d facilities in Huthwaite and Sutton in Ashfield.

SKA3o	S374	Land at Stubbin Hill Farm, Stanton Hill	The site, which is currently designated Countryside, is located in a sustainable location adjacent to Stanton Hill. It is located in an area which is w including primary and secondary schools, shops, open spaces, and green infrastructure routes. The site is not very prominent within the landscap is enclosed by Brierley Forest Park and by the residential housing of Stanton Hill. Appropriate highway enhancements could be achieved.
SKA3p	SM378	Cauldwell Road, Sutton	The site is well contained and development would logically round off the settlement of Mansfield. It is located adjacent to the Lindhurst urban exter form a natural continuation of the site. It is anticipated that access to services and facilities will improve through the development of Lindhurst. Th but it has poor drainage in some areas and it is likely to require mitigation in this respect.
SKA3q	S379	Common Road, Huthwaite	Development of the site would be appropriate as it is within the main urban area and forms a logical infill. The site is well contained and partially we contains an old orchard which has been recommended for retention. This has reduced the amount of land available for development. The site has good access to the public highway.
SKA3r	KA3r S407 Former Social The site, v		The site, which is located in the main urban area of Sutton in Ashfield, is owned by the Council. It was formerly occupied by a social club and is s Council has plans to deliver housing on the site.
SKA3ah	K23 & K33	Lowmoor Road, Kirkby in Ashfield	The site, which is currently designated Countryside, is located adjacent to the main urban area of Sutton in Ashfield and Kirkby in Ashfield. Devel urban area. The site has good access to the public highway, it is well contained and has good access to services and facilities. Its location, adjac the use of sustainable modes of transport, The site has poor drainage and it is likely to require mitigation in this respect. Whilst it is acknowledge landscape, the benefits are considered to outweigh any potential harm.
SKA3ai	K28 & K401	Wheatley's Yard, Lowmoor Road, Kirkby	This is a brownfield site located within the main urban area. Part of the site contains vacant industrial units and the remaining units are in a very p The site, which is located on a gateway into Kirkby Town Centre, creates a very poor image of the area. Redevelopment of the site would assist i edge of centre.
SKA3ak	K37 & K118	Skegby Road, Kirkby Woodhouse	The site, which is located within the main urban area of Kirkby Woodhouse, is well contained and development would form a logical infill within th a range of services and facilities. There are currently access constraints which can be mitigated.
SKA3al	K79 (part – upper site)	Mowlands Farm, Doles Lane, Kirkby in Ashfield	The site, which is currently located within designated Countryside adjacent to Kirkby in Ashfield, provides an opportunity for a well-integrated urba meeting the objectively assessed housing needs of the District. <u>Heritage</u> The Council acknowledges that development has the potential to cause harm to the character and appearance of Kirkby Cross Conservation Are heritage statements that describe the significance of each aspect of the conservation area affected. New development in a conservation area can appearance subject to suitably acknowledging the heritage assets affected and avoiding harm or by applying suitable mitigation measures, espect Taking into consideration the ability of the site to deliver a significant proportion of the objectively assessed housing needs of the district, subject is considers that the public benefits of delivering housing, including affordable housing, and associated infrastructure, will outweigh any potential has <u>Deliverability</u> . The housing trajectory indicates that the wider Mowlands site (as promoted by the landowner) cannot be delivered within the 15 year Plan period approximately 900 dwellings. Taking the north part of the wider site forward will help to provide a more flexible approach to the delivery of development as it allows the Council opportunity to increase the number of dwellings delivered. In turn this flexibility will help the District sustain a 5 year land supply. <u>Highways/Access</u> There are currently highway / access constraints which the Council and the Highway Authority believe could be mitigated. Opportunities exist for created, which can be explored further at the detailed design stage. <u>Wildlife / Green Space / Agricultural Land</u> The northern element of the site includes a Local Wildlife Site and an area of Protected Green Space some of which may be required to achieve a future development would need to include appropriate mitigation to help offset this loss. Any future development would need to protect public rive western boundary. It has been necessary,
SKA3am	K333	Kirkby House, Chapel Street, Kirkby	The site is located within the main urban area of Kirkby in Ashfield. Development of the site would be appropriate subject to a suitable design whi Conservation Area. It is well contained and forms a logical infill within the main urban area and it has excellent access to a range of services and constraints which could be mitigated.
SKA3an	K334, K359	Laburnum Avenue, Kirkby	The site is located within designated countryside adjoining the main urban area, in an area which is adequately served by services and facilities. settlement of Kirkby in Ashfield. The site has good access to the public highway and it is well contained.
SKA3ao	K325a	Walesby Road, Kirkby	The site is currently designated Countryside and is adjacent to a residential area which has good access to services and facilities in Kirkby in Ash development and by woodland to the east. The surrounding roads are narrow and this affects the capacity of the site to accommodate development
SKA3ap	K404	Diamond Avenue, Kirkby in Ashfield	The site is currently a housing allocation located within the main urban area of Kirkby in Ashfield. It is available and the principle of residential dev major physical constraints.
SKA3aj	K406	Warwick Close, Kirkby in Ashfield	The site is located within the main urban area of Kirkby in Ashfield. The Council has plans to redevelop the site. Housing development is planned

well served by existing services and facilities ape when viewed from the south and east as it

xtension in Mansfield and development would The site has good access to the public highway

y well screened by mature trees. Part of the site

s surrounded by residential properties. The

velopment would form a logical extension to the acent to Sutton Parkway Station, would support ged that development would impact on the

y poor state of repair. st in the regeneration of the town centre and

the main urban area. It has excellent access to

rban extension which will greatly assist in

rea. Any planning application would require can preserve or enhance its character and becially in reducing risk to a heritage asset. ct to an acceptable access solution, the Council harm.

od. It has been determined that it could deliver

cil more choice of smaller sites and the

or appropriate access arrangements to be

ve access, only. As a result of any loss / impact, c rights of way, and Ancient Woodland at its

Grade 2 soils. Natural England have been

which protects or enhances the character of the nd facilities. There are currently access

s. Development would logically round off the

shfield. It is well contained by existing ment.

levelopment has been established. There are no

ed for delivery within the next 5 years.

Haennan	<u>– H</u> ousing	<u>g Site</u> s Selecte	ed for Allocation
HA3a	H09,	Broomhill	This site is within the Green Belt and adjoins a site that is currently being developed. The site is very well contained by residential development a
	H51,	Farm	boundary. It has excellent links to Nottingham via public transport. Hucknall benefits from high quality transport links to Nottingham via the NET T
	H52, H81	extension	There are currently physical access constraints but these can be mitigated via land in the ownership of the landowner. Highway improvements ar Rolls Royce development.
HA3b	H20	Land South of	The site is currently a housing allocation in the Ashfield Local Plan Review (2002). Currently the access constraints affect the delivery of develop
		Papplewick Lane	developed within the 5 to 10 year period as an extension to the ongoing development at Papplewick Lane.
HA3c	H31	Former	This site is subject to a lapsed outline planning permission for residential development and is therefore considered suitable and developable. The
		Bamkin factory	contact with the applicant.
		site	Any future proposal will be required to take into consideration the need to address surface water run-off and any potential land contamination iss
HA3d	H48	Ruffs Farm,	Former allotment site, which is located within the main urban area of Hucknall, is well contained by residential development.
		Langton	Access can be achieved via land in the ownership of the Council.
		Avenue, Hucknall	
HA3e	H49	Broomhill	The site is located within the main urban area of Hucknall and is currently a housing allocation. It is available and development is deliverable.
		Farm,	
		Nottingham	
		Road,	
		Hucknall	
HA3MU	H80	Hucknall Town	The site is located within the main urban area of Hucknall. It previously benefited from outline planning consent for residential development. The
		Football Club,	developed in the future following the relocation of the Football Club. Development is deliverable beyond 8 years.
		Watnall Road, Hucknall	
Ha3f	H88	Land at	The site, which is adjacent to Hucknall town centre, is currently occupied by a vacant factory building to the west and a joinery company to the so
i laoi	1100	Bolsover	
		Street	
HA3g	H97	High Leys	The site is allocated for residential use and the principle of development has been established.
		Road	There are access constraints and third party land would be required to overcome this constraint. However, there are no physical constraints in te resolved.
HA3h	H98	Seven Stars	The site is located within the main urban area of Hucknall. The Public House is considered to be suitable for conversion into residential accommo
		Public House	configuration arrangements. The remainder of the site is located within a residential setting and is considered to be suitable for residential develo
		and adjoining	
		land, West	
НАЗі	H100	Street Land adjacent	The site is located within the main urban area in Hucknall. To the east of the site is a large residential estate. To the south and west, the site is co
пазі	птоо	to the Arrow	school and the A611. Currently the land is allocated for employment use.
		Centre,	
		Annesley	
		Road	
		cted for Alloc	
RA2a	V15,	Church Lane,	The site is located within the Named Settlement of Underwood within a residential area. The majority of the site is considered to be suitable for d
	V16 &	Underwood	requirement for the retention of trees to the south of the site. Access can be achieved via Church Lane.
RA2c	V17 V140	Westdale	The site is located within the named settlement of Jacksdale within a residential area.
NAZU	V140	Road,	
		Jacksdale	
RA2b	V141	Westdale	The site is located within the named settlement of Jacksdale within a residential area.
		Road,	
		Jacksdale	
RA2d	V84 &	Park Lane,	Selston is the largest of the three settlements and it has a good level of service provision. The site lies in the Green belt, but forms a logical round
	V87	Selston	good access to services and facilities and could deliver a significant amount of new housing to help meet the needs of the area. The site scores a five purpasses of the Crean Balt
	V346,	Land rear of	five purposes of the Green Belt. The site lies within the Green belt, but forms a logical rounding off of the settlement of Selston. It has good access to services and facilities and c
DA20	V 540.		housing to help meet the needs of the area. The site scores 8 out of a potential 20 in terms of meeting the five purposes of the Green Belt.
RA2e		Bull and	
RA2e	V347,	Bull and Butcher Public	
RA2e		Butcher Public	
RA2e	V347,		

and the A611 forms a strong physical
Tram and by train from Hucknall Station.
are currently being undertaken as part of the
pment and it is anticipated that the site could be
ne availability timescale has been informed by
sues identified.
e landowner has indicated that the site will be
south.
erms of access and this could be appropriately
nodation subject to satisfactory design and
lopment.
contained by the boundary of a secondary
development. However, there may be a
nding off of the settlement of Selston. It has
7 out of a potential 20 in terms of meeting the
could deliver a significant amount of new
socia donver a significant amount of new

ALTERNATIVE HOUSING SITES (NOT ALLOCATED)

SHLAA Ref.	Location	Summary of justification for none allocation of sites
	Ashfield Sites	
SM42	Rostellen, Derby Road, Mansfield	The site, which is designated Countryside, is poorly connected to the urban area, potentially resulting in a poorly designed backland development, and the Public Highway.
S48	Main Street, Huthwaite	The site is designated Countryside and adjoins the main urban area of Huthwaite. It is currently in use as a golf driving range. Loss of the site is likely to economy.
S50	Rear of Hill Top Farm, Huthwaite	Currently the access constraints significantly affect the delivery of development - the access route into the site requires widening to adoptable highway in highway improvements is not in the ownership of the landowner and due to the fact that the site is small, it is considered very unlikely that the necessary achieved.
S54	Barker Street, Huthwaite	The site is currently designated Countryside. It has a high landscape value and a low capacity to accommodate development. Access to the site from the public highway is severely constrained by existing development.
S62 (Part of S67)	Road, Mansfield the Public Highway. Main Street, Huthwaite The site is designated Countryside and adjoins the main urban area of Huthwaite. It is currently in use as a golf driving range. Loss of the s economy. Rear of Hill Top Farm, Huthwaite Currently the access constraints significantly affect the delivery of development - the access route into the site requires widening to adoptat highway improvements is not in the ownership of the landowner and due to the fact that the site is small, it is considered very unlikely that to achieved. (Part F37) The site is currently designated Countryside. It has a high landscape value and a low capacity to accommodate development. Access to the site from the public highway is severely constrained by existing development. Lands at Roundhill F37) (Part F37) Lands at Roundhill F37) Lands at Roundhill F37) Landscape Impact The site is located adjacent to a residential area to the east of Sutton in Ashfield. The landscape is very prominent and development would dentifies that the effect on the landscape would be high. Deliverability Taking into consideration the rate of delivery on large urban extensions in the Nottingham Outer Housing Market Area (evidence is includer a risk that development would not be delivered on the site would not be appropriate as it is very steep, prominent and of high landscape versal on the landscape would be high. Suttor East Chesterfield Road, T40 The site is designated Countryside. Development of the site would not be appropriate as it is very steep, prominent and of high landscape on the landscape would be high. Suttor East See SG2 above The site is located within	
S63		The site is designated Countryside. Development of the site would not be appropriate as it is very steep, prominent and of high landscape value. The La
S67		
S73		The site is not well integrated into the existing area as it is an infill plot between ribbon development. It is located within designated countryside in an are services and facilities. Approximately one third of the site is undevelopable as it was formerly a Coal Mine entry which has now been capped.
S74	-	Whilst the Council acknowledges the site has reasonable access to facilities and services, the importance of the site in terms of landscape character is development when compared against other sites submitted for consideration. A development of this size would have a significant impact on the gap bet The site's highway / access constraints are a secondary consideration that the Council believes would restrict the sites deliverability.
S75		The site is located within designated countryside in an area which is not well served by existing services and facilities. The character of this area is rura with its surroundings.
S76		The site is located within designated countryside in an area which has reasonable access to existing services and facilities.
S78	Molyneux Farm,	
S95	Stoneyford Road, Sutton in Ashfield	The site is located within designated countryside in an area which has good access to services and facilities. Development would impact on the gap between Sutton in Ashfield and Stanton Hill. This stance has been supported by a Planning Inspector through ar -The site's highway / access constraints are a secondary consideration that the Council believes would restrict the sites deliverability.
S97	Silverhill Lane, Teversal	The site is located within designated countryside in an area which is poorly served by existing services and facilities, including primary and secondary s The site is very open in character and not well contained. It scores the maximum points in the Landscape Assessment for capacity to accommodate dev
S98	Silverhill Lane, Teversal	The site is located within designated countryside in an area which is poorly served by existing services and facilities, including primary and secondary s The site is very open in character and not well contained. It scores the maximum points in the Landscape Assessment for capacity to accommodate dev urban sprawl and a reliance on private vehicles for transport.
S100	Former Miners Welfare Ground, Stoneyford Road, Stanton Hill	The site is located within designated countryside in an area which is well served by existing services and facilities. It is well contained by existing reside the north. Development would logically round off the area to the north of the site. To the south is a Local Wildlife Site and contributes to the green break highlighted by Appeal APP/W3005/A/14/2221907). With regard to the remainder of the site, it would only be suitable if an acceptable access arrangement was identified. The site adjoins a narrow street o parking. The entrance to the street is restricted in terms of poor visibility splays. Whilst this could potentially be mitigated to some extent, on-street parking junction.
S142	Alfreton Road, Sutton in Ashfield	Whilst the site is in a sustainable location, with good access to a number of services and facilities; and is an underutilised plot within the existing urban a The site has been allocated within the existing Ashfield Local Plan Review (2002), yet no form of planning application has been received on the site. There are severe access constraints and landownership issues to resolve.
S314	Mill Lane, Huthwaite	Development of the site may be appropriate as it would form a logical infill within the urban area. It currently acts as an open break between Sutton in A maintained to some extent through sensitive landscaping and a well-designed scheme.

d there is no	suitable	access	into	the	site	from

to have a negative impact on the local

ay standards. As the land required to enable sary highway improvements could easily be

dverse impact. The Landscape Assessment

ousing Options Spatial Approach paper), there is allocations SKA3e and SKA3ah. extensions with dispersed growth across the

Landscape Assessment identifies that the effect

area which is not well served by existing

is considered to override the benefits of housing between Teversal and Stanton Hill.

ral and development would not integrate well

Conservation Area.

om Stanton Hill. Land levels are problematic in

an Appeal (APP/W3005/A/14/2221907):

v schools, GP services, and shops. development.

v schools, GP services, and shops. development. It would also ultimately result in

dential development which envelopes the site to ak between Sutton and Stanton Hill (as

t of terraced housing which has on-street rking is still likely to impact on visibility at the

n area, the Council questions its deliverability.

Ashfield and Huthwaite. The gap could be

		Access to Mill Lane is restricted as it is at capacity. There are opportunities to establish an access route via adjoining roads. However the landowner has this creates uncertainty with regard to the deliverability of development. It is unclear how this could be resolved. There is also an ongoing issue with reg
SM319	Rushley Farm, Mansfield	highway on Mill Lane. The Council's primary concern relates to the site's proximity to the Thieves Wood element of the Sherwood Forest 'possible potential' Special Protectio yield of 675 dwellings would help the District meet its housing requirements, the potential deliverability risk associated with the potential designation of a District's land supply. In addition to the ppSPA constraint, the site is not considered to be in sustainable location, with poor access to services and facili
SM358	Land adjoining Rushley Pumping Station, Nottingham Road	It is located adjacent to the southern boundary of the Lindhurst site, which is a large urban extension to Mansfield. Whilst the site has planning permissive excess of 10 years to develop. In terms of biodiversity and landscape impact, development of this site has the potential to impact on the Thieves Wood area of the ppSPA. If Thieves V this could impact on the site's deliverability.
S371a	Land south of Unwin Road, Sutton	The northern edge of the site (S371) has outline planning permission for 18 dwellings. However, the remainder of the site is a functioning sports pitch w within the existing urban area, with good access to some services, the Council believes its existing uses carry sufficient community benefit in terms of s retention.
S380	Silverhill Lane	Whilst this is a well contained site, it is located within designated countryside in an area which is not well served by existing services and facilities. While space and a limited bus service, development would not be as sustainable in this location and would result in the reliance of private vehicles for transport Development in this location would adversely impact on the rural character of the area, including the potential to impact on the entrance route to Tevers
S385 & S405	Peveril Drive and Charnwood Street, Sutton	Development of the site is not considered appropriate as it would result in the loss of a formal open space. The Council believes it is important to protect recreational needs of local residents are met.
Kirkby in	Ashfield Sites	
K26	Penny Emma Way, Kirkby	This is predominantly an industrial area and residential development would be out of character and not well integrated. The site is not well contained an which has poor access to services and facilities.
K79 (part – south section of site)	Mowlands	Evidence in the Housing Options Spatial Approach paper indicates that the whole of the Mowlands site cannot be delivered within the 15 year Plan peri a maximum of approximately 900 dwellings, which the northern site has the potential to approximately accommodate. In addition to this, development on land to the south of the site would have an adverse effect on the landscape south of Boar Hill.
K116	Millers Way, Kirkby in Ashfield	The site is a functioning sports pitch with associated pavilion. The Council believes its existing uses within the urban area of Kirkby carries sufficient con amenity, to justify its retention.
K382	Annesley Miners Welfare, Derby Road, Annesley Woodhouse	The site is located within Annesley Woodhouse adjacent to a residential area. It has been vacant for a number of years. Part of the site is a sports pitch relation to access. The Highway Authority has indicated that the site should be accessed via Forest Road. The landowner has not agreed with this appr Derby Road which is a major strategic route.
Rurals Sit		
V9	Green Crescent, Selston	The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt (as set out in the NPPF). The site scored 10 out of a maximum 20. Because there are sites whe to fulfil the District's housing requirements); the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate ' <i>exceptional circumstance</i> '. Furthermore, the would require third party land. It is unclear how this could be mitigated and this brings into question the deliverability of development.
V10	Alfreton Road, Selston	The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt (as set out in the NPPF). The site scored 11 out of a maximum 20. Because there are sites where to fulfil the District's housing requirements); the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate 'exceptional circumstance'.
V12	Jacksdale Garden Centre, Main Road, Jacksdale	The site is in use as a Garden Centre. Development would result in a loss of employment if the business closes and this would impact on the local ecor There are access constraints and third party land would be required to form a suitable access route. It is unclear how this can be resolved. Whilst it is acknowledged that the site scores quite low in terms of meeting the five purposes of the Green Belt, the larger sites taken forward provide gr community. They also have better access to services and facilities (schools, GP, Leisure centre, library etc.). Also, it would not result in the loss of emp are no exceptional circumstances for Green Belt release.
V18	Church Lane, Underwood	The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 8 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (s requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate ' <i>exceptional circumstance</i> '. The opportunity for wider benefits for the community. They also have better access to services and facilities (schools, GP, Leisure centre, library etc.)
V19	Felley Mill Lane, Underwood	The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 11 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate ' <i>exceptional circumstance</i> '. The opportunity for wider benefits for the community. They also have better access to services and facilities (schools, GP, Leisure centre, library etc.)
V21	Main Road, Underwood	The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 14 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate 'exceptional circumstance'.

has not demonstrated that this is achievable and egard to landownership and the adoption of the

tion Area (ppSPA). Whilst the site's potential of a SPA adjacent to the site would impact on the cilities.

ssion, its phased development will take in

s Wood is allocated as an SPA, it is considered

with associated pavilion. Whilst the sites lies sports, health and amenity to justify its

hilst the site does have good access to open sport.

ersal Conservation Area.

tect public access to open space to ensure the

and is located within designated Open Area

eriod. It has been determined that it could deliver

community benefit in terms of sports, health and

tch. There are also severe highway constraints in pproach and is seeking to pursue access via

w to understand the contribution sites adjacent which score lower in Green Belt terms, (sufficient , there are severe access constraints which

w to understand the contribution sites adjacent which score lower in Green Belt terms, (sufficient

conomy.

greater opportunity for wider benefits for the nployment. Taking this into consideration, there

w to understand the contribution sites adjacent (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing The larger sites taken forward provide greater

w to understand the contribution sites adjacent s, (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing The larger sites taken forward provide greater

w to understand the contribution sites adjacent s, (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing

V85	Stoney Lane, Selston	The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 12 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (a requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate ' <i>exceptional circumstance</i> '.
V89	Commonside Selston	The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 13 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (s requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate 'exceptional circumstance'.
V91	Land off Crescent Road	The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 10 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (a requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate ' <i>exceptional circumstance</i> '. The opportunity for wider benefits for the community. They also have better access to services and facilities (schools, GP, Leisure centre, library etc.)
V335	Stoney Lane, Selston	The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 9 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (su requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate ' <i>exceptional circumstance</i> '. Whilst it is acknowledged that the site scores similar to sites taken forward in terms of meeting the five purposes of the Green Belt, the site is heavily co
V342	Cherry Hall Farm, Hanstubbin Road, Selston	The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review t to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 7 out of 20. Because there are sites which score similar in Green Belt terms, (strequirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate ' <i>exceptional circumstance</i> '. The opportunity for wider benefits for the community.
V343	Inkerman Street, Selston	The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 7 out of 20. Because there are sites which score similar in Green Belt terms, (s requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate 'exceptional circumstance'. The larger sites taken forward provide greater opportunity for wider benefits for the community.
V365a & V365b	Oak Tree Farm, Main Road, Underwood	The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 11 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (s requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate ' <i>exceptional circumstance'</i> . The opportunity for wider benefits for the community. They also have better access to services and facilities (schools, GP, Leisure centre, library etc.)
V366	Hall Green Farm, Stoney Lane, Selston	The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 12 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (a requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate 'exceptional circumstance'.
V367	Poplar Terrace, Selston	The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 10 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate ' <i>exceptional circumstance</i> '. Whilst it is acknowledged that the site scores similar to sites taken forward in terms of meeting the five purposes of the Green Belt, the two sites being target to the delivery of development due to the fact that they have less severe physical constraints in terms of access to the public highway.
V388	Wagstaff Lane/ Palmerston Street	The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review t to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 14 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (i requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate ' <i>exceptional circumstance</i> '.
Hucknall	Sites	
H1	North of Wood Lane	One of the site's primary constraints is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt F adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 7 out of 20. Whilst this site scores similar in Green Belt terms to the s cannot be accessed from the public highway and would require major infrastructure improvements, which will potentially impact on viability and timescal considered that there are sites (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing requirements) which are more suitable. *Also see conclusion of H83.
H2	Common Lane, Hucknall	The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 10, 12 and 14 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt housing requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate 'exceptional circumsta Due to the size of this site, 3 separate Green Belt assessments have been undertaken. This is because the Green Belt assessment process identified s roads, railways and woodlands. The Green Belt assessment sites may not necessarily be the same as the sites submitted for consideration to the SHLA
H4	Stubbing Wood Farm	One of the site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Re adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 9 out of 20. Whilst this site scores similar in Green Belt terms to the s severe access constraints. Whilst this could be mitigated, it would potentially impact on the timescale for development. Furthermore, it is considered that has better access to services and facilities as it is located closer to Hucknall town centre and a range of public transport services. It is also capable of ac
H12	Charnwood Grove	One of the site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Re adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 7 out of 20. Whilst this site scores similar in Green Belt terms to the s considered that access constraints would impact on the timescale for delivery of development and there is less certainty that the constraints could be m considered to be a more suitable site as it forms a logical rounding off of the settlement and there is more certainty that access constraints can be mitigat housing supply. Also see conclusion of H83.

v to understand the contribution sites adjacent , (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing

v to understand the contribution sites adjacent , (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing

v to understand the contribution sites adjacent , (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing 'he larger sites taken forward provide greater

v to understand the contribution sites adjacent (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing

constrained by coal mine entries. v to understand the contribution sites adjacent , (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing The larger sites taken forward provide greater

v to understand the contribution sites adjacent , (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing

v to understand the contribution sites adjacent , (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing The larger sites taken forward provide greater

v to understand the contribution sites adjacent , (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing

v to understand the contribution sites adjacent , (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing

taken forward provide more certainty with

v to understand the contribution sites adjacent , (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing

t Review to understand the contribution sites e sites chosen to be allocated for housing, it cale for development. Furthermore, it is

v to understand the contribution sites adjacent Belt terms, (sufficient to fulfil the District's tance'.

l sites using defined physical features such as LAA.

Review to understand the contribution sites e site chosen to be allocated for housing, it has that the proposed allocation at Broomhill Farm accommodating a larger development.

Review to understand the contribution sites e sites chosen to be allocated for housing, it is mitigated. Furthermore, Broomhill Farm is igated. It can also significantly boost the

H14	Land at Forest View Drive	The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 7 out of 20. Whilst this site scores similar in Green Belt terms to the sites chosen bet the store state of the store state of the store state of the store state.
		that this site also requires major infrastructure requirements, which will potentially impact on timescale for development. A new access route would be re to be a feasible option as it is very unlikely that this could be achieved.
		Given the uncertainty with regard to the delivery of development and the fact that Broomhill Extension can significantly boost the housing supply, the sit
		forward as an allocation.
		Also see conclusion of H83.
H15	Westholme, Forest	The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review t
	View Drive	to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 7 out of 20. Whilst this site scores similar in Green Belt terms to the sites chose the state in the site score similar in Green Belt terms to the site score state will be the site score state and the state score state and the state score state score state and the state score score state score sco
		that this site also requires major infrastructure requirements, which will potentially impact on timescale for development. A new access route would be re- to be a feasible option as it is very unlikely that this could be achieved.
		Given the uncertainty with regard to the delivery of development and the fact that Broomhill Extension can significantly boost the housing supply, the sit
		forward as an allocation.
		*Also see conclusion of H83.
H16	Forest View Drive	The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review t
		to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 7 out of 20. Whilst this site scores similar in Green Belt terms to the sites chose to the site score area make to the site sc
		that this site also requires major infrastructure requirements, which will potentially impact on timescale for development. A new access route would be retuined to be a feasible option as it is very unlikely that this could be achieved.
		to be a reasible option as it is very unlikely that this could be achieved.
		Given the uncertainty with regard to the delivery of development and the fact that Broomhill Extension can significantly boost the housing supply, the site
		forward as an allocation.
		*Also see conclusion of H83.
H17	Lynwood, Forest	The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review t
	View Drive	to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 7 out of 20. Whilst this site scores similar in Green Belt terms to the sites chos that this site also requires major infrastructure requirements, which will potentially impact on timescale for development. A new access route would be re-
		to be a feasible option as it is very unlikely that this could be achieved.
		Given the uncertainty with regard to the delivery of development and the fact that Broomhill Extension can significantly boost the housing supply, the sit
		forward as an allocation.
		*Also see conclusion of H83.
H24	Linby Road Former	The site has been allocated for housing since 2002 and there has never been a planning application submitted to the Council. There are severe access
	Allotments	land to overcome. The site also has multiple landowners which adds to the constraints.
H83	Land off Wood	Given the constraints and uncertainty with regard to the deliverability of development, the Council does not consider the site to be suitable for allocation One of the site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Re
105	Lane	adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 7 out of 20. Whilst this site scores similar in Green Belt terms to the s
	Lanc	considered that access constraints would impact on the timescale for delivery of development and there is much less certainty that the constraints could
		considered to be a more suitable site as it forms a logical rounding off of the settlement and there is more certainty that access constraints can be mitigated as the settlement and there is more certainty that access constraints can be mitigated as the settlement and there is more certainty that access constraints can be mitigated as the settlement and there is more certainty that access constraints can be mitigated as the settlement and there is more certainty that access constraints can be mitigated as the settlement and there is more certainty that access constraints can be mitigated as the settlement and there is more certainty that access constraints can be mitigated as the settlement and there is more certainty that access constraints can be mitigated as the settlement and there is more certainty that access constraints can be mitigated as the settlement and there is more certainty that access constraints can be mitigated as the settlement and there is more certainty that access constraints can be mitigated as the settlement and there is more certainty that access constraints can be mitigated as the settlement and there is more certainty that access constraints can be mitigated as the settlement and there is more certainty that access constraints can be mitigated as the settlement and the settlem
		Whilst it is acknowledged that there is potential to combine SHLAA sites H1, H12, H14, H15, H16, H17 and H83 to bring forward one comprehensive de
		delivering enough dwellings to meet the objectively assessed housing needs. Broomhill Farm is capable of delivering a significant amount of development
H91	Brickyard Drive	needs of the district. The site has been allocated for housing since 2002 and has never been brought forward. There are severe access constraints as it can only be accessed
191	DIICKyaru Drive	indicated that a new bridge would be required to serve the site. Given the constraints, there is a significant level of uncertainty with regard to the sites po
		the Plan period. As such, the site is not considered to be suitable for allocation.

w to understand the contribution sites adjacent osen to be allocated for housing, it is considered e required from the A611. This is not considered

site is not considered to be suitable to be taken

w to understand the contribution sites adjacent losen to be allocated for housing, it is considered e required from the A611. This is not considered

site is not considered to be suitable to be taken

w to understand the contribution sites adjacent osen to be allocated for housing, it is considered e required from the A611. This is not considered

site is not considered to be suitable to be taken

w to understand the contribution sites adjacent nosen to be allocated for housing, it is considered e required from the A611. This is not considered

site is not considered to be suitable to be taken

ss constraints which would require third party

on.

Review to understand the contribution sites e sites chosen to be allocated for housing, it is uld be mitigated. Furthermore, Broomhill Farm is tigated.

development, the site would not be capable of ment to greatly assist in meeting the housing

ssed via a level crossing. Network Rail has potential to bring forward development within

SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	No. Dwellings Area (ha)	reenfield	Green Belt Review Scores Total Score Total Score Preserve historic Safeguard from Prevent merging Unrestricted sprawl	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
---------------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------	---	----------------------------------	----------------------------	---	------------

Sutton & Kirkby - Sites Selected for Allocation for Housing

SM44	Land south of	14.7	250	G	7	7	7		7 7	- 1	The site is suitable subject to	Pos	itive Impacts	Highways / Access	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation	Conclusion
	Skegby Lane,				N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A		policy change	-	Significantly boost the supply of	Access can be achieved via	Requirements	The Council considers that this is a
SKA3a	Sutton in							1			The site is currently		housing, including affordable	Skegby Lane.	May require a new school.	suitable site to take forward as an
	Ashfield									C	designated Countryside. It		homes.			allocation. Development of the site
										h	has good access to the	•	Located within 800 m or 10	Topography	Highway infrastructure	would help to deliver the Strategic
										F	public highway and is well		minute walk of Dalestorth Primary	This is an undulating site	improvements required in line	Objectives of the Local Plan.
										Ċ	contained by existing		School and a post office/cash	which may have some	with recommendations of the	
										C	development and forms part		machine.	topographical constraints to	Transport Study.	The site, which is currently designated
											of a natural open break	•	While the current access to open	address during development.		Countryside, is in a sustainable
											between Sutton in Ashfield		space is limited, the size of the		On site open space provision	location adjacent to the main urban
											and Mansfield. Given the		site means that it will need to	<u>Neighbour</u>	required.	area of Sutton in Ashfield. It is within
											size of the site, the open		include on site open space	There are no neighbouring		close proximity to Sutton in Ashfield
											break could be maintained		provision of approximately 1.4 ha	constraints. The site is	High quality design that	and Mansfield, both towns have a wide
											through good design. With	•	Low impact on the landscape, the	adjacent to a residential area,	acknowledges and does not	range of services and facilities with
											regard to the landscape, the		site scores very well in terms of	Kingsmill Hospital and	have a detrimental impact on	excellent retail and leisure provision in
											site scores very well in terms		capacity to accommodate	countryside.	the setting of Grade II Listed	the town centres. The site is also in
						1					of capacity to accommodate		development (1 out of 3 points).		Dalestorth House.	close proximity to the A38, Kingsmill
						1					development (1 out of 3			Flood Risk		Hospital, the Mansfield and Ashfield
						1				F	points).	Neg	ative Impacts	The site is located within Flood	Further investigation required	Regeneration Route, which provides
											T I	٠	Development could impact on the	zone 1 where the risk of	to assess surface water flood	future residents with good transport
											The site is available		setting of Dalestorth House a	flooding is low.	risk. A SuDs scheme may be	links to employment. Open space
											The landowner has indicated		Grade II Listed Building which is		required.	could be delivered onsite and Green
											that development could be		located opposite site. However,	Agricultural Land Quality		Infrastructure routes could be improved
										C	delivered within 5 years.		development at this site is likely	Grade 3 (Good-moderate)	A new primary school will be	through development of the site. Whilst
											Achievehility		to be considered as 'less than	Contomination	required to serve this site and the site on Beck Lane	development may harm the setting of
											<u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability		substantial harm' and should be	Contamination No known contamination	(SKA3h).	Dalestorth House, this could potentially
											evidence, development is		considered against the public	NO KHOWH CONtarmination	(SRASH).	be appropriately mitigated through design. Development of the site would
											considered to be achievable.		benefits of the proposal (NPPF			reduce the gap between Sutton in
													para 134). It is anticipate that			Ashfield and Mansfield to some extent
													suitable mitigation measure will			but an area of open land adjoins the
													lessen the impact of the			site in Mansfield District. This would
													development.			maintain a gap between the
												•	Greenfield site and as the			settlements. The positive aspects of
													development is anticipate to be			development are considered to
													more than 100 dwellings it is			outweigh any negative impact.
													within the Impact Risk Zone for Teversal Pastures SSSI.			
																The site is located on the MARR which
												•	Very small areas of the site are			is identified by the D2N2 (LEP) a major
						1							identified as having surface water flooding but it is not anticipate to			growth area for Ashfield and Mansfield.
						1							have any significant impact on			New development in this location
						1							the development of the site.			would support the growth aspiration for
						1						-	While the site is well linked to the			the MARR, benefiting both Districts.
						1						•	major road network, being			_
						1							located off the MARR route,			
						1							travel choice is limited as there			
						1							are currently no bus routes on			
						1							Skegby Lane.			
	l	l	I		1	1	1						onegby Lane.	1		l

SHL/ Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	•	Gree	n Belt Score	Revie es	w				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigation

SHL		Area	No.	Gree	(en Belt Review Scores Pres Safe encr							
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	ı (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	st	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
S47 SKA3b	Land rear of 10 Main Street, Huthwiate	2.9	65	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA	N/A	The site is suitable subject to policy change The site is currently designated Countryside. Development of the site would logically round off the settlement of Huthwaite. The site has good access to the public highway and it is well contained and partially well screened by mature trees. The site has poor drainage and it is likely to require mitigation in this respect. There is potential for parts of the land to have been adversely affected by contamination, particularly in the former Brick Yard and Scrap storage areas. Any change of use to residential with gardens would require a comprehensive ground investigation and any necessary remedial works to be carried out. This would be regulated by attaching a suitable condition to any future planning permission.The site is available The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years.Achievability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 Positive Impacts Boost to housing supply, including affordable homes. Good access to Green Infrastructure routes. The site has good access to services and is anticipated to promote social equality. It is also expected to have a minor positive impact on the town centre of Sutton in Ashfield Negative Impacts Development would have a medium impact on the landscape. As greenfield land it has the potential to have a negative impact in terms of agricultural production, habitat/species and amenity/recreation value. It is also in a Minerals Safeguarding Area for Coal Measures. However, it is emphasised that this does not necessary prevent the site from being developed. The impact on the site will need to be determined with the Minerals Authority and ultimately prior extraction could take place before development. It is within a Coal Mining Risk Area. The Coal Authority has indicated that any constraints could potentially be mitigated. Part of the site is identified as a historic land fill site. Therefore, it is possible that some mitigation measure may be required. 	Highways / Access There are no access constraints. Access is achievable via Blackwell Road. Topography The site is steeply sloping to the north (site S63) and more gently sloping to the south. The land to the south is less prominent. Neighbour The site is adjacent to a paint factory. Flood Risk Flood Zone 1 and no surface water flooding identified. A flood risk assessment would be required at the development management stage (site over 1 hectare). Contamination Contamination is suspected - Approximately 20% of site is historic landfill; Brickyard excavations/Factories & Works. Agricultural Land Quality Grade 4 (Poor) Heritage Local Heritage Asset – 10-12 Main Street, Huthwaite should be retained as part of the development. A Heritage Statement will be required, and Structural Report may be required.	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements The public highway on Main Street may need improving if accessed via this point (i.e. pavement widening).A noise impact assessment and odour assessment would be required, as the site is adjacent to a paint factory. However, following consultation with the Council's Environmental Health Officer it is anticipated that any impact could be appropriately mitigated.Any surface water flooding issues identified can be mitigated through the incorporation of an appropriately designed SuDS system.Further investigation required, possibility of flooding from the brook to the south west of the site.A comprehensive ground investigation will be required and any necessary remedial works to be carried out. This would be regulated by attaching a suitable condition to any future planning permission.Heritage Statement required.	Conclusion The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site, which is currently designated Countryside, is in a sustainable location adjacent to the main urban area of Huthwaite. Development of the site would logically round off the settlement. The site has excellent access to services and facilities in Huthwaite and Sutton in Ashfield. However, the site has poor drainage and it is likely to require mitigation in this respect. The site has excellent access to the public highway and is well contained. The site is relatively small and landscape impact can be addressed through good design to some extent. There is a cottage on the site which is included on the Council's Local Heritage list. This should be retained and enhanced (if structurally sound) as part of the future development of the site.
S55 SKA3c	Ashland Road West, Sutton	10.3	235	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is suitable subject to policy change The site is currently designated Countryside. It forms an infill between Huthwaite and Brierley Forest Park and development would logically round off the settlement. The	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Boost to housing supply, including affordable homes. The site has excellent access to Brierley Forest Park and the wider countryside. It has local GI route S5 going along the north of the site. S5 connects GI-15 through 	Highways / AccessThere are no accessconstraints; the site has goodaccess to the highway.TopographyThe site is gently sloping fromsouth to north.	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements Surface water flooding could potentially be mitigated through the incorporation of an on-site SuDS scheme.	ConclusionThe Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan.The site, which is currently designated Countryside, is in a sustainable

SHL Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	Green Bel Sco		N				
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging Unrestricted sprawl	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation

										site is located within an area which is well served by existing services and has excellent facilities. It has good access to the public highway and it is well contained. The site has poor drainage and it is likely to require mitigation in this respect. Whilst the site is currently designated Countryside, the area is urban in character and development would integrate well. With regard to the landscape, the site scores very well in terms of capacity to accommodate development (1 out of 3 points). <u>The site is available</u> The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	• • •	Brierley Forest Park with the residential area of the Oval. Although in the countryside as defined by the Ashfield Local Plan Review 2002, the site is close to the settlement of Sutton in Ashfield. As such it has good access to services other than a GP and is anticipated to reduce social inequality. It is expected to have a minor positive impact on the town centre of Sutton in Ashfield. The effective of development on the landscape is anticipated to be low and the site is well contained, As the land is identified as Grade 4 it is not anticipated to have a significant impact in terms of the loss of highly quality agricultural land. gative Impacts The site is located adjacent to the Local Wildlife Site at Brierley Park Marshy Grassland. A further Local Wildlife Site is located in close proximity at Sutton-in-Ashfield District Grassland (a species-rich grassland with damp and dry parts) and Brierley Forest Park is designated as a Local Nature Reserve. As greenfield land it has the potential to have a negative impact in terms of habitat/species and amenity/recreation value. The site falls within a Minerals Safeguarding Area for limestone. However, it is emphasised that this does not prevent the site from being development	Neighbour There are no neighbouring issues. The site is adjacent to a residential area and a country park. Flooding Council records indicate that surface water flooding occurs within the area. Contamination No known contamination	A flood risk as be required as future planning Any planning p be subject to o requiring at lea Desktop Surve further investig contamination
S51, S61, S108, S350 SKA3d	Clegg Hill Drive, Huthwaite	4.5	100	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is suitable subject to policy change The site is currently designated Countryside. The site is not prominent and it would logically round off the settlement of Huthwaite. It is well contained and well	•	being development. sitive Impacts Boost to housing supply, including affordable homes . The site is relatively close to local GI route S6 passes by the lower part of SHLAA 54 to the south west. S6 is a series of footpaths which provide access to the major	Highways / Access There are currently access constraints which require mitigation. Access is achievable via adjoining roads provided that the access roads achieve highway standards.	Infrastructure of Requirements Potential to ov constraints via is accessible v but only has th limited develop capable of bei

re & on Requirements	Conclusion
assessment would as part of any ing application. g permission would o conditions least a Phase I rvey and potentially stigation where on is suspected.	location adjacent to the main urban area of Sutton in Ashfield. It is well contained, forming an infill between Huthwaite and Brierley Forest Park and development would logically round off the settlement. The site is located within an area which is well served by existing services and has excellent facilities. It has good access to the public highway and it is well contained. The site has poor drainage but this could be mitigated. Whilst the site is currently designated Countryside, the area is urban in character and development would integrate well.
e & Key Mitigation <u>its</u> overcome access via S61. Site S108 e via adjoining road the capacity for lopment. Site eing split into 2	<u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan.

SHL		Area	No. I	Gree	G		n Belt Score	Revie es	w					
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
										screened by mature trees. The site provides an opportunity to improve the existing open space provision which is poorly located, has no play equipment and is sloping. A new open space could be provided on site S350 which is closer to the centre of Huthwaite and it is more level. <u>The site is available</u> The sites are in multiple ownership, but all in agreement to release the sites for development. The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 trails network to the north, and Brierley Forest Park to the east. However, some of the fields and the formal open space have a number of desire lines running through them, the impact on walkers is likely to be high. Although in the countryside, as defined by the Ashfield Local Plan Review 2002, the site is close to the settlement of Huthwaite. As such it has reasonable access to services and is anticipated to reduce social inequality. It is expected to have a minor impact positive impact on the town centre of Sutton in Ashfield. <u>Negative Impacts</u> As greenfield land part of which part is identified as Grade 2 Agricultural Land, therefore it has the potential to have a negative impact in terms of agricultural production, habitat/species and amenity/recreation value. There would be the loss of formal open space but this could be mitigated through the provision of new open space within the development. The hedgerows would need to be retained where possible and managed. The combined site is anticipated to have a moderate landscape impact. It is a Minerals Safeguarding Area for Coal Measures and Limestone. However, it is emphasised that this does not necessary prevent the site from being developed. 	Topography Level changes occur on Chesterfield road where the access road has been proposed. Sites S61 and S51 are relatively even and sites S108 and S351 are gently sloping.Neighbour Noise would be a concern due to the close proximity of a boarding kennels and shooting club. However, it is understood that the shooting club only permits air rifles and is done indoors and the kennels do not have outside runs for the dogs.Flood Risk Flood Zone 1 and no surface water flooding identified. Any surface water flooding issues identified can be mitigated through the incorporation of an appropriately designed SuDS system.Contamination No significant land contamination suspected. Require testing of topsoil prior to development.	 sites with improved open space provision incorporated into the central area (mitigation for loss of open space (Site S350)). ADC own sites S108 and S350. Level changes would need to be addressed through the design of the scheme A Noise Impact Assessment at the application stage and would consider any mitigation proposed. Following consultation with the Council's Environmental Health Officer it is anticipated that any impact could be appropriately mitigated. Further investigation required to assess the flood risk. A SuDs scheme may be required Any planning permission would be subject to conditions requiring at least a Phase I Desktop Survey and potentially further investigation where contamination is suspected. Sewerage works may need upgrading. This has been taken into account in the trajectory. 	The site, which is currently designated Countryside, is in a sustainable location adjacent to Huthwaite. Huthwaite is a settlement which has good access to a range of services. There is a major employment area to the south, a local shopping centre with a good range of convenience provision, primary schools, open space (Brierley Forest Park and Huthwaite Recreation Ground), a golf course, a medical centre and a regular bus service with good connections to facilities and services in Sutton in Ashfield. Development of the site provides an opportunity to move the public open space to a more accessible location which has better land levels for recreation use. Whilst development will have a moderate effect on the landscape, this could be mitigated to some extent through a well-designed scheme which seeks to retain the hedgerows and enhance landscape features. It is understood that the sewerage pumping station requires an upgrade which may delay development in the first five years. Consequently the housing trajectory indicates that development will commence in year five.
S60 SKA3e	Land at Newark Road/Coxmo or Road, Sutton In Ashfield	16.5	266	G	Ν/Δ	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is suitable subject to policy change The site is currently designated Countryside. The site is adjacent to the main urban area and is considered to be well served by a range	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Boost to housing supply, including affordable homes. There is good access to a bus service. 	Highway/Access Access can be achieved via Newark Road. <u>Topographical constraints</u> The site is steeply sloping to the east. This may result in a reduction in the number of	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements Surface water flooding could potentially be mitigated through the incorporation of an on-site SuDS scheme. A flood risk assessment would be	<u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan.

Allo		Area	No.	Gree	(Gree	n Belt Scor	t Revie es	w					
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	ı (ha)	. Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
										of services. It may be suitable if policy changes. Part of the land is a registered landfill site (a former sand pit which has been filled with construction waste). A land quality audit report has been submitted by the landowner which suggests that remediation works could be undertaken - further assessments may be required. There is a problem with surface water flooding. This could be mitigated through a SUDS scheme. <u>The site is available</u> The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 There would be no loss of designated wildlife sites. There would be no impact on heritage assets. There are opportunities to improve the GI network (Local GI route S12). Development would support town centre regeneration. Development growth and the local economy. Negative Impacts Poor existing access to Primary School and GP Loss of Grade 3 agricultural land. Moderate impact on the landscape. 	dwellings that the site could accommodate.Neighbour No neighbouring issues.Flood Risk Council records indicate that there are springs on land adjacent to the site and surface water flooding occurs within the area. This could be mitigated through the incorporation of an appropriately designed SuDS system.Contamination Part of the land is a registered landfill site. There is potential for the filled part of the land to have been adversely affected by contamination.	required as part of any future planning application. The landfill site will require a comprehensive ground investigation and any necessary remedial works to be carried out. This would be regulated by attaching a suitable condition to any future planning permission. The remainder of the site (south part) should only require minimal testing of the topsoil.	The site, which is currently designated Countryside, is in a sustainable location adjacent to Sutton in Ashfield and in close proximity to Sutton Parkway Station. Development would logically round off the settlement of Sutton in Ashfield. The site is located in an area which is adequately served by services and facilities. It has good access to the public highway and, whilst it is acknowledged that it is designated Countryside, the site is quite well contained by existing development which has an urbanising effect on the site. The site has poor drainage and it is likely to require mitigation in this respect. The northern part of the site (approx 4.5 ha) is a licensed landfill site. A land quality audit report has been submitted by the landowner. It indicates that the site is filled with construction waste. Further assessments will be required at a later stage to determine what form of mitigation is required. Based on the evidence submitted and consultation with the Council's Environmental Health team, it is anticipated that any remediation works could potentially be appropriately undertaken.
S66 SKA3f	Priestsic Road, Sutton	0.54	24	В	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA	N/A	The site is suitable subject to policy changeOutline planning permission for 24 dwellings has now expired. The site is adjacent to the town centre in a residential area. It is anticipated that development could be delivered within the Plan period.The site is available The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years.Achievability	 Positive Impacts Boost to housing supply, including affordable homes. The location of the site close to Sutton in Ashfield Town centre means that it has excellent access to local services (other than GP services) with a primary school the Bus Station and retail facilities within a short walk. Access to open space is available through the Priestsic Recreation Ground. The location also means that it is anticipated to have a significant positive impact for the town centre. 	Highway/Access No issues identified.Topography No issues identified.Flood Risk No flood risk issues identified.A small area of the site to the western boundary is identified as potentially having surface water flooding.Contamination The former railway cutting is a licenced and historic landfill site. Contamination is suspected.	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements The land is likely to have contamination issues due to former railway siding. Any planning permission would require at least a Phase 1 Desk top Study and potentially further investigation. Utilisation of SuDS may be required to address surface water flooding.	ConclusionThe Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan.The site is in the main urban area located on the edge of Sutton in Ashfield town centre in a residential area and has excellent access to services and facilities.The site currently has an adverse effect on the surrounding area as it is currently vacant with overgrown vegetation. There is a need to improve this site and the landowner has indicated that it is still available.

SHL Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	•		n Belt Score	: Revie es	w				
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	vent me	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigation

										Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 The site is identified as greenfield. This reflects that although it is a former railway cutting, the cutting has been infilled and over time has blended into the landscape. While it has scored negatively on this aspect it will not have any detrimental impacts on agriculture but it may have a negative impact on habitat/species and/or amenity/recreation value. A small area of the site to the western boundary is identified as potentially having surface water flooding. However, this is not anticipated to be a significant impediment to development through the utilisation of SuDS. 		
S68, S71, S337, S363, S394 SKA3h	Beck Lane, Sutton	19.0	400	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is suitable subject to policy change The site is currently designated Countryside. The site scores high in terms of landscape character. It is open in character with views across to Pleasley. However, the adjacent area is suburban in character and has good access to a range of services. There is an extant planning permission for a football academy. If developed, this would impact on the openness of the landscape. The site can be accessed via Beck Lane but would require significant highway improvement works. <u>Availability</u> The landowners have indicated that the site is available. The deliverability of development would depend on a suitable solution to access constraints. <u>Achievability</u>	 Positive Impacts Boost to housing supply, including affordable homes. There is access to the countryside and wider Green Infrastructure through the footpath to the north which links Skegby and Teversal Trail route, with Mansfield in the east. The footpath also provides direct access to Teversal Trail (CI-20) for residents of Skegby. The size of the site means that it will need to include open space provision, which will assist in encouraging healthy lifestyles. Negative Impacts It is considered that the developed of Beck Lane will have a minor impact on the setting of Dalestorth House Grade II listed building. Greenfield site, identified as being a mix of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land. Within the Impact Risk Zone for Teversal Pastures SSSI. Part of the site is within a Minerals Safeguarding Area for Limestone. However, it is emphasised that this would not prevent the site being allocated 	Highway/Access Access could be achieved but would require major highway improvement works. <u>Topography</u> No issues identified. <u>Flood Risk</u> Council records indicate that surface water flooding occurs on the site. <u>Contamination</u> No known issues.	Infrastructure of Requirements Major highway works and a tr order would be A flood risk as be required as future planning An on-site Suf be required to water flooding Any future sch take into consi impact it would landscape in th process. Within impact SSSI - mitigati required at a la planning proce The site is well major road net located off the The size of the may improve a transport servir residents.

re & on Requirements	Conclusion
	It is anticipated that development will occur towards the latter part of the plan period due to constraints relating to potential land contamination.
e & Key Mitigation ts ay improvement traffic regulation be required. assessment would as part of any ng application. uDS scheme may to mitigate surface ng. cheme will need to asideration the uld have on the the design ct risk zone of a ation may be later stage in the	Conclusion The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site, which is currently designated Countryside, is located in a sustainable location adjacent to Skegby. The site could deliver a significant amount of housing, including affordable housing. It is located to the north of Sutton in Ashfield and could assist in supporting improved infrastructure including a new primary school, open space and public transport provision. The site is located on the MARR which is identified by the D2N2 (LEP) a major growth area for Ashfield and Mansfield. New development in this location would support the growth aspiration for the MARR, benefiting both Districts.
cess. ell linked to the net worth, being ne MARR route. he combined site e access to public vices for local	Whilst development would have an adverse impact on the landscape, this could be mitigated to some extent through good design. The site has fewer physical constraints than many sites put forward to the Council. The principle of some development has been established as there is an extant

Allo		Area	No.	Gree		Gre		Belt Score		eview	v						
Location SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.		ı (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	encroachment	Safeguard from	settlements	Preserve historic	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Su	ustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
S72 & Land at S351 Rookery Lane Farr SKA3g Sutton-in- Ashfield	m,	7.0	184	G				N/ A			N/A	Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable. Image: The site is suitable subject to policy change The site is currently designated as an Open Area, is located within the main urban area and is well contained. Development would logically round off the settlement. The impact of	<u>o</u> <u>Pc</u>	 Areas of the site are identified as having surface water flooding but it is not anticipate having any significant impact on the development of the site. Poor access to transport services High landscape value. Positive Impacts Boost to housing supply, including affordable homes. It would contribute towards affordable housing and other infrastructure requirements. There is good access to key services and facilities. It would result in an 	Highways / Access Whilst there is currently no identified access point from the public highway, access can be achieved. Topography This is an undulating, sloping site which may have some	Impact on heritage asset (setting of Dalestorth House Grade II Listed Building) to be mitigated. A new primary school will be required to serve this site and the site south of Skegby Lane (SKA3a). Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements Third party land will be required to enable any future development to achieve highway standards. A noise impact assessment would be required at a later	 planning permission for an indoor football academy centre on the site which will impact on the openness of the landscape, albeit to a lesser extent. The impact of the building on the landscape and the associated movement of traffic has been taken into account in determining the suitability of this site for allocation. Development has the potential to impact on the setting of Dalestorth House (Grade II Listed building). This would need to be taken into consideration at the planning application stage and appropriately mitigated through the design of any future proposal. Development would require major, but deliverable, highway improvement works. <u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site is currently designated as an Open Area within Sutton in Ashfield. It
												new development on the landscape would be relatively low as the site is surrounded by development and is not prominent. The site is well served by existing services and facilities and development would assist in meeting the strategic objectives of the Local Plan. Whilst access to the public highway is currently poor, this could be overcome in the medium term (beyond 5 years). The site would assist in the delivery of development in the latter years of the plan. With regard to the landscape, the site scores relatively well in	d n t	 It would result in an improvement in health and social inclusion. There would be no loss of designated wildlife sites. There would be no impact on heritage assets. There are opportunities to improve the GI network (Local GI route S4). Development would support Sutton town centre regeneration. Development would support employment growth Development would support the local economy. 	topographical constraints to address during development. <u>Neighbour</u> The site is adjacent to an industrial estate to the west. <u>Contamination</u> Part of the site lies within a 250m buffer around a landfill site. <u>Site apparatus</u> Power lines run through the site. There are plans to re- route these, this will take up to 2 years.	stage in the planning process if the site is taken forward. Further investigation required to assess the flood risk. A SuDs scheme may be required Phase I Desktop Survey and potentially further investigation where contamination is suspected.	is located within the main urban area and is well contained. Development would logically round off the settlement. The impact of new development on the landscape would be relatively low as the site is surrounded by development and is not prominent. The site is well served by existing services and facilities and development would assist in meeting the strategic objectives of the Local Plan. Whilst access to the public highway is currently poor, this could be overcome in the medium term (beyond 5 years). The adjacent site has planning permission which is to achieve access via the removal of an existing dwelling.

SHL.		Area	No. I	Gree		Gree	n Belt Scor	Revie es	w				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	Area (ha)	No. Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	st	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigation
S83 SKA3i	Clare Road, Sutton	1.7	50	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	terms of capacity to accommodate development (2 out of 3 points). <u>The site is available</u> The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable. <u>The site is suitable subject to</u> policy change The site is located within the main urban area and is well contained by existing development and by the A38. Development would logically round off the settlement of Sutton in Ashfield. It is well served by existing services and facilities and has good access to the public highway. Noise from the A38 is likely to impact on any future development but this could be mitigated. Whilst the site is currently designated as an open area in the Ashfield Local Plan Review (2002), the use of screening could assist in retaining the character of this open area. With regard to the landscape, the site scores very well in terms of capacity to accommodate development (1 out of 3 points). <u>The site is available</u> The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 Loss of Greenfield land - potential to have a negative impact in terms of agricultural production, habitat/species and amenity/recreation value. Moderate impact on the landscape. The site is located adjacent to a Local Wildlife Site (LWS) and therefore there is the potential for the development of dwellings to have a negative impact on the LWS. Positive Impacts Boost to housing supply, including affordable homes. Local Corridor KS1 travels through the site. This route provides a key link between Kirkby west and Sutton, along an established bridleway. The site has good access to services other than a GP and is anticipated to support social inclusion. It is expected to have a minor impact positive impact on the town centre of Sutton in Ashfield Negative Impacts Development of the site will result in the loss of an open area between Sutton and Kirkby. It is anticipated that the impact on the landscape will be minimal, as the site is located between housing and the A38. As greenfield land it has the potential to have a negative impact in terms of habitat/species and amenity/recreation value. 	Highway/Access No constraints. <u>Topography</u> No constraints. <u>Neighbour</u> The site adjoins the A38. It is considered that any noise implications could be satisfactorily addressed through design. <u>Flood Risk</u> No flood risk issues identified. <u>Contamination</u> No issues identified. <u>Biodiversity</u> An ecology assessment would be required as part of the planning application process.	Infrastructure Requirements A noise impac may be require future planning Further investi to assess the SuDs scheme required. Biodiversity - r be required de outcome of an assessment.

re & on Requirements	Conclusion
	The site would assist in the delivery of development in the latter years of the plan.
e & Key Mitigation ts act assessment ired as part of any ing application. stigation required e flood risk. A he may be - mitigation may depending on the an ecology -	Conclusion The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site is located within the main urban area and is well contained by existing development and by the A38. Development would logically round off the settlement of Sutton in Ashfield. It is well served by existing services and facilities and has good access to the public highway. Noise from the A38 is likely to impact on any future development but this could potentially be mitigated. Whilst the site is currently designated as an open area in the Ashfield Local Plan Review (2002), the use of screening could assist in retaining the character of the area.

SHL/ Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	G	reen Bel Sco		w				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	nrestric	Sateguard from encroachment Prevent merging	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigation

SHL Alloc		Area	No.	Gree	(n Bel Scoi	t Revi res	ew					
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	ı (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve nistoric settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
S93 SKA3j	Fisher Close, Sutton	3.6	100	G	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	The site is suitable subject to policy changeThe site, which is designated countryside, is located within an area which is well served by existing services and facilities including primary and secondary schools, a bus service, shops, open spaces, and green infrastructure routes.Development would logically round off the settlement of Sutton in Ashfield as the site is well contained by residential development would by Brierley Forest Park to the west where the landscape acts as a natural screen. Whilst development would result in a loss of Countryside, the site is not particularly open in character and has built development to two sides which has created an urbanising effect. With regard to the landscape, the site scores very well in terms of capacity to accommodate development (1 out of 3 points).The site is available The landowner has indicated that development could be 	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Boost to housing supply, including affordable homes. Site lies within close proximity to accessible open space at Brierley Forest Park Local Nature Reserve. Potential to deliver a proportion of affordable housing. Potential to improve a deprived area Good access to existing facilities (with the exception of a GP). Development will help support employment. Development will support Sutton Town Centre. Negative Impacts The site is within an Impact Risk Zone for Teversal Pastures SSSI. Moderate landscape impact. Loss of Greenfield land. Within a Minerals Safeguarding Area – Limestone. 	Highway/Access There are access constraints which can potentially be mitigated via third party land. Topography No constraints. Neighbour No constraints. Flood Risk No flood risk issues identified. Contamination No issues identified.	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements Access via Fisher Close would not be supported by the Highway Authority. An alternative access can be achieved via Stanton Crescent. Third party land would be required for access. Public sector land is potentially available.Further investigation required to assess the flood risk. A SuDs scheme may be required	Conclusion The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site, which is currently designated Countryside, is in a sustainable location adjacent to Sutton in Ashfield. It is located within an area which is well served by existing services and facilities including primary and secondary schools, shops, open spaces, and green infrastructure routes. Development would logically round off the settlement of Sutton in Ashfield as the site is well contained by residential development to two sides and by Brierley Forest Park to the west where the landscape acts as a natural screen. There is a bus service within close proximity to the site which has regular services to Sutton in Ashfield town centre bus station. Whilst development would result in a loss of Countryside, the site is not open in character. Access via Fisher Close would not be supported by the Highway Authority. Third party land will be required to enable any future development to achieve highway standards. Mitigation can potentially be achieved via land in public ownership. As such, development can be delivered within the Plan period.
S94 SKA3k	Hilltop Farm, Skegby	0.72	20	G	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	The site is suitable subject to policy change The site, which is designated countryside, is located within an area which is well served by existing services and facilities including primary and secondary schools,	 Positive Impacts Boost to housing supply, including affordable homes. The site is within 200m of accessible open space and has good access to a primary school and post office/cash machine. Development will bole support amployment 	Highways / Access There is currently no suitable access point from the public highway. <u>Topography</u> No constraints. Neighbour	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements Third party land will be required to enable any future development to achieve highway standards. An on-site SuDS scheme may be required to mitigate surface	ConclusionThe Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan.Whilst the site is designated Countryside, it is adjacent to Skegby, it
						L				shops, open spaces, and green infrastructure routes.	help support employment	No issues identified.	water flooding.	is not prominent and is well contained.

SHL		Area	No.	Gree	(Belt Score	Revie es	w					
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
										Development would logically round off the settlement of Skegby as the site is well contained by residential development. There is a bus service within close proximity to the site. Whilst the site is designated Countryside, it is not open in character and the surrounding built development creates an urbanising effect. With regard to the landscape, the site scores very well in terms of capacity to accommodate development (1 out of 3 points). There are access constraints which could be mitigated. Development may impact on the setting of a Grade II Listed Building. This could potentially be mitigated through design. <u>The site is available</u> The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years. Access constraints may impact on the timescale of development being delivered. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 Development would have a minor positive impact on Sutton Town Centre. Good access to public transport. <u>Negative Impacts</u> There is a potential negative impact on the setting of Listed Buildings. Loss of Greenfield land. Development would have a moderate landscape impact, but this could be mitigated through good design. The site is within a Minerals Safeguarding Area for Limestone. This would not prevent the site from being developed. There are minor surface water issues on site, but this can be mitigated by an appropriate SuDs scheme. 	Flood Risk No flood risk issues identified. Contamination No issues identified.	Future development should be sensitively designed taking into consideration the adjacent Grade II Listed property.	The surrounding built development creates an urbanising effect. The site is located within an area which is well served by existing services and facilities including primary and secondary schools, shops, open spaces, green infrastructure routes and a bus service. Development would logically round off the settlement of Sutton in Ashfield. Any future development would need to consider the impact it may have on the setting of the Grade II Listed Building opposite the site, and be appropriately designed. The site could be accessed via the adjoining site which currently has planning permission for residential development.
S112 & S316 SKA3I	Land adj. Rookery Farm, Sutton- in-Ashfield	3.9	99	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is suitable subject to policy change The site is currently designated as an Open Area, is located within the main urban area and is well contained. Development would logically round off the settlement. The impact of new development on the landscape would be relatively low as the site is surrounded by development and is not prominent. The site is well served by existing services	 Positive Impacts Boost to housing supply, including affordable homes. It would contribute towards affordable housing and other infrastructure requirements. There is good access to key services and facilities. It would result in an improvement in health and social inclusion. There are opportunities to improve the GI network (Local GI route S1). 	Highways / Access There is currently no suitable access point from the public highway. Topography This is an undulating, sloping site which may have some topographical constraints to address during development. Neighbour No issues identified. Flood Risk	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements Third party land will be required to enable any future development to achieve highway standards. Further investigation required to assess the flood risk. A SuDS scheme may be required to address the surface water flooding to the north of the site.	Conclusion The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site, which is an open area within the main urban boundary, is well contained and development would logically round off Sutton in Ashfield. It can deliver a significant amount of new housing over the Plan period, helping to meet the needs of the District.

Allo		Area	No.	Gree	C		n Belt Scor	Revie es	W					
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	ı (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
										and facilities and development would assist in meeting the strategic objectives of the Local Plan. Whilst access to the public highway is currently poor, this could be overcome in the medium term (beyond 5 years). The site would assist in the delivery of development in the latter years of the plan. With regard to the landscape, the site scores relatively well in terms of capacity to accommodate development (2 out of 3 points). <u>The site is available</u> The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 Development would support Sutton town centre regeneration. Development would support the local economy. Low impact on the landscape. Negative Impacts Loss of Greenfield land - potential to have a negative impact in terms of agricultural production, habitat/species and amenity/recreation value. Low impact on the landscape. Local Wildlife Site (LWS) adjacent to the site and therefore there is the potential for the development of dwellings to have a negative impact on the LWS. 	A small area to the north of the site is affected by surface water flooding. <u>Contamination</u> No issues identified.		The impact of new development on the landscape would be relatively low as the site is surrounded by development and is not prominent. The site is well served by existing services and facilities and would deliver sustainable development. It is considered that access constraints could be overcome within the Plan period as there are a range of third party options which could address this constraint.
S114 SKA3m	The Avenue, Sutton	0.5	15	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is suitable The site is located within the urban boundary of Sutton in Ashfield and is allocated for residential development. As such, it is a suitable location for residential development. Access to the public highway is currently poor. This could be resolved by accessing the site from an adjacent road but there are severe constraints relating to multiple land ownership. <u>Achievability</u> The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Boost housing supply Site lies within close proximity to accessible open space Site can assess local services including GP facilities, cash machine, bus services. Site does not suffer from surface water flooding, nor is it within flood zone 2 or 3 Development will help support local economy and Sutton town centre <u>Negative Impacts</u> Possible impact on adjacent Local Wildlife Site (Huthwaite Grassland) Loss of Greenfield land. 	Highways / AccessAccess into the site is currently restricted. There are no physical barriers, this purely relates to land ownership constraints.Topography No constraintsNeighbour No issues identified.Flood Risk Outside Floodzones 2 & 3Contamination Contamination suspected. Approximately half of the site is Historic Allotments.	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements Third party land is required for access. Any planning permission would be subject to conditions requiring at least a Phase I Desktop Survey and potentially further investigation where contamination is suspected. Developers are encouraged to contact the Council's Contaminated Land Officer.	Conclusion The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site is located within the main urban area and is surrounded by residential development. Development would be appropriate as it would form a logical infill site. The site is well contained and partially well screened by mature trees. Currently the access constraints affect the delivery of development (relating to landownership). The Council considers that access constraints could be overcome within the plan period.

SHL Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	C	Gree	n Belt Score	Revie [.] es	w				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigation

Alloc		Area	No.	Gree			n Belt Score	Reviev es	v					
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
S320 SKA3n	Quantum Clothing, North Street, Huthwaite	2.19	90	B	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is suitable The site, which is in the main urban area, was previously a clothing manufacturer. It is included on the Local Heritage List. The building is potentially suitable for conversion to residential. There are no significant highway constraints and the site has good access to services and facilities in Huthwaite and Sutton. <u>The site is available</u> The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> This is a brownfield site which has the potential to accommodate up to 90 dwellings and contribute towards affordable housing and other infrastructure requirements. The site lies within close proximity to accessible open space and local services including a GP and bus services. The site does not suffer from surface water flooding, nor is it within flood zone 2 or 3. Development will help support Sutton town centre. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Development may result in the loss of a local heritage asset. Development would result in the loss of an employment site and buildings. 	Highways / Access No significant constraints. <u>Topography</u> No constraints <u>Neighbour Issues</u> No issues identified. <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzones 2 & 3 <u>Contamination</u> No Known Contamination Historic landfill site on small part of the car park.	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements The Council are seeking to retain the building (on the Local List).Comprehensive site contamination investigations required due to existing use.Further investigation required to assess the flood risk. A SuDs scheme may be required.North Street would require an upgrade and improvement works.	 <u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site is a vacant factory located within the Main Urban area in Huthwaite in a residential area. It can deliver a significant amount of new housing over the Plan period, helping to meet the needs of the District. There is excellent access to key services and facilities in Huthwaite and Sutton in Ashfield. The Council would not support the loss of the Local Heritage Asset and its conversion to residential use provides an opportunity to retain it as an important local landmark. Highway constraints could be appropriately mitigated.
S374 SKA3o	Land at Stubbin Hill Farm, Stanton Hill	7.2	160	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is suitable subject to policy change The site, which is designated countryside, is located within an area which is well served by existing services and facilities including primary and secondary schools, shops, open spaces, and green infrastructure routes. The site is not very open within the landscape when viewed from the south and east as it is enclosed by Brierley Forest Park and by the residential housing of Stanton Hill. In areas where the site is more prominent, appropriate screening could be incorporated into any future development scheme. There is a bus service within close proximity to the site which has regular services to Sutton in Ashfield town	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Boost to housing supply, including affordable homes. The site lies within close proximity to accessible open space (Brierley forest Par LNR, statutory footpaths/bridleway) and a bus stop. Development will help support employment/ economy and Sutton Town Centre. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of Greenfield land - potential to have a negative impact in terms of agricultural production, habitat/species and amenity/recreation value. Moderate landscape impact. It is within the potential impact zone of Sites of Special Scientific Interest. 	Highways / Access Potential constraints relating to the width of the public highway. Mitigation may be required.Topography Gently undulating site.Neighbour Majority of the site adjacent to countryside with residential development to the north east. A small part of the site adjoins industrial.Flood Risk Outside Floodzones 2 & 3Contamination No Known Contamination.Agricultural Land Quality Grade 2 (very good)	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements Highway mitigation.The majority of the site would require minimal testing for land contamination.Further investigation required to assess the flood risk. A SuDs scheme may be required.Consultation with Natural England in relation to potential mitigation requirements associated with Teversal Pastures SSSI Impact Risk Zone.	ConclusionThe Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan.The site, which is currently designated Countryside, is located in a sustainable location adjacent to Stanton Hill. It can deliver a significant amount of new housing over the Plan period, helping to meet the needs of the District. It is located in an area which is well served by existing services and facilities including primary and secondary schools, shops, open spaces, and green infrastructure routes. The site is not very prominent within the landscape when viewed from the south and east as it is enclosed by Brierley Forest Park and by the residential housing ould be incorporated into

SHL		Area	No. I	Gree		Gree	n Belt Scor	Revie es	w				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	Area (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigation
SM378 SKA3p	Cauldwell Road, Sutton	9.1	207	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	centre bus station. With regard to the landscape, the site scores relatively well in terms of capacity to accommodate development (2 out of 3 points). The site is available The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years. Achievability Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable. The site is suitable subject to policy change The site, which is designated countryside, is well contained and development would logically round off the settlement of Mansfield. It is located in an area which is well served by existing services and facilities and there is good access to the public highway. The site has poor drainage in some areas and it is likely to require mitigation in this respect. Development would form a natural continuation of the Lindhurst urban extension in Mansfield District which lies to the landscape, the <td>Positive Impacts • Boost to housing supply, including affordable homes. • Access to open space with Strategic GI route GI-8 runs through the site. S14 also runs to the north of the site, this is an existing link along the new MARR road connecting Kingsmill reservoir (and GI-20) to the east towards Cauldwell and Thieves Wood. • The site as limited access to services but a bus stop is located within 800 m or 10 minutes walk. It is anticipated that this would improve through the development of Lindhurst in Mansfield. • The site is anticipated to have a positive impact in reduce social inequality. Negative Impacts • Greenfield land. • The site is also potentially subject to some surface water flooding which is anticipated to be mitigated through the use of SuDS. • Poor access to services other than a bus stop. This is expected to improve through the development of Lindhurst.</td> <td>Highways / Access The site could be accessed via Cauldwell Road. <u>Topography</u> There are no significant constraints Neighbour Adjacent to the MARR Flood Risk Outside Floodzones 2 & 3. Potential for surface water flooding. <u>Contamination</u> No Known Contamination. Agricultural Land Quality Grade 3 (good - moderate)</td> <td>Infrastructure & Requirements Noise impact a required as the to the MARR. Further investig to assess the fl site is potential some surface w which is anticip mitigated throu SuDS. Minimal topsoil be required.</td>	Positive Impacts • Boost to housing supply, including affordable homes. • Access to open space with Strategic GI route GI-8 runs through the site. S14 also runs to the north of the site, this is an existing link along the new MARR road connecting Kingsmill reservoir (and GI-20) to the east towards Cauldwell and Thieves Wood. • The site as limited access to services but a bus stop is located within 800 m or 10 minutes walk. It is anticipated that this would improve through the development of Lindhurst in Mansfield. • The site is anticipated to have a positive impact in reduce social inequality. Negative Impacts • Greenfield land. • The site is also potentially subject to some surface water flooding which is anticipated to be mitigated through the use of SuDS. • Poor access to services other than a bus stop. This is expected to improve through the development of Lindhurst.	Highways / Access The site could be accessed via Cauldwell Road. <u>Topography</u> There are no significant constraints Neighbour Adjacent to the MARR Flood Risk Outside Floodzones 2 & 3. Potential for surface water flooding. <u>Contamination</u> No Known Contamination. Agricultural Land Quality Grade 3 (good - moderate)	Infrastructure & Requirements Noise impact a required as the to the MARR. Further investig to assess the fl site is potential some surface w which is anticip mitigated throu SuDS. Minimal topsoil be required.

re & on Requirements	Conclusion
	any future development scheme. There is a bus service within close proximity to the site with regular services. It is anticipated that there would not be a significant impact on Teversal Pastures SSSI because it is located over 1 mile from the site. Appropriate highway enhancements could be achieved.
e & Key Mitigation ts t assessment the site is adjacent the site is adjacent tally subject to e water flooding cipated to be ough the use of soil testing would	Conclusion The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site is well contained and development would logically round off the settlement of Mansfield. It is located adjacent to the Lindhurst urban extension in Mansfield and development would form a natural continuation of the site. It is anticipated that access to services and facilities will improve through the development of Lindhurst. The site has good access to the public highway but it has poor drainage in some areas and it is likely to require mitigation in this respect.

SHL		Area	No.	Gree		Greer	n Beli Scor	t Revie es	w				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	Area (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigatior
S379 SKA3q	Common Road, Huthwaite	1.38	20	G	N/A	NA	N/A	NA	N/A	Note Part of the site is in Mansfield District (this area is not included in the site capacity). Included in the site capacity). The site is suitable The site is currently allocated for housing in the Ashfield Local Plan Review (2002). The landowners have indicated that the site is available and development can be delivered within the Plan period. The site is available The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years. Achievability Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 Medium impact on the landscape. However, the site is not overly open within the wider landscape as it is contained by the landform and urban edge. Rushley Farm is located to the south of the site and has been identified as a local heritage asset on Ashfield Council's Local Heritage List (Ref 409). However, this is separated from the site by the MARR and the site is not anticipated to impact on this heritage asset. Positive Impacts Boost to housing supply, including affordable homes. The site has good access to services in terms of a bus stop, primary school, GP surgery and cash machine. It is also anticipated to reduce equality and have a minor positive impact on Sutton in Ashfield town centre. It location means that there will be minimal impact on the landscape. Megative Impacts The site is Greenfield land. Therefore it has the potential to have a negative impact in terms of agricultural production, habitat/species and amenity/recreation value. Within a Coal Authority Referral Area and special consideration may be necessary for possible shallow coal mining workings. Sewerage works serving Huthwaite is at near capacity. However, this will not prevent development but will potentially impact on when development can be implemented as it may require the sewerage works to be upgraded. 	Highways / Access No significant constraints as long as it meets necessary adopted standards. Topography Steeply sloping on entrance to site. Neighbour No bad neighbouring uses. Flood Risk Outside Floodzones 2 & 3 Contamination Contamination Suspected. Natural Features Part of the site contains an ancient orchard.	Infrastructure & Requirements Heavy tree cov of the site which impact on the of area. The Cou Officer has red the ancient ord Special consid possible shalld workings may Minimal testing would be requi Further investi required to ass water flood rist scheme may b Phase I Deskte potentially furth where contami suspected.

re & on Requirements	Conclusion
-------------------------	------------

e & Key Mitigation	Conclusion
ts coverage on parts hich has had an e developable council's Tree ecommended that orchard is retained. sideration for allow coal mine ay be necessary. ing of topsoil quired. stigation may be assess surface risk. A SuDs y be required	The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. Development of the site would be appropriate as it is within the main urban area and forms a logical infill. The site is well contained and partially well screened by mature trees. Part of the site contains an old orchard which has been recommended for retention. This has reduced the amount of land available for development. The site has good access to the public highway.
ktop Survey and urther investigation mination is	

SHL, Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	Gr	een Be Sco	lt Revie res	w				
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Sareguard from encroachment Prevent merging	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigation

Alloc		Area	No.	Gree	Ģ		Belt Score	Revie es	N					
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	ı (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
S407 SKA3r	Former Social Club, Davies Ave	Ave Image: Second		The site is located within a residential area to the north of Sutton in Ashfield. There are no constraints to development. <u>The site is available</u> The site is owned by the Council. <u>Development is deliverable</u>	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Boost to housing supply, including affordable homes. Site lies within close proximity to accessible open space and walking routes. Potential to deliver a proportion of affordable housing. Potential to improve a deprived area Good access to existing facilities (with the exception of a GP). Development will help support employment and the economy. Development will support Sutton Town Centre. Negative Impacts Within a Minerals Safeguarding Area - Limestone Negative impact on air quality. Surface water issues are present on site. The site will lead to the loss of formal open space. 	Highways / Access No constraints.Topography No constraints.Neighbour No constraints.Flood Risk No constraints.Contamination No known constraints.	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements Assumed no mitigation necessary.	Conclusion The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site, which is located in the main urban area of Sutton in Ashfield, is owned by the Council. It was formerly occupied by a social club and is surrounded by residential properties. The Council has plans to deliver housing on the site.						
K23 & K33 SKA3ah	Lowmoor Road, Kirkby in Ashfield	20.2	495	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is suitable subject to policy change The site, which is designated Countryside, benefits from its close proximity to Sutton Parkway Station. There is potential for direct access from Lowmoor Road and Kirkby Folly Road. There are issues in terms of surface water flooding which would need to be mitigated via a SuDS scheme. A Flood Risk Assessment would also be required prior to development taking place. <u>The site is available</u> The landowner has indicated that the site is available within the 0 to 5 year period. There are no major access	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Significant boost to housing supply, including affordable homes. It would increase in the number of affordable homes. Good access to a bus service and the railway station. It would result in an improvement in health and social inclusion. There would be no loss of designated wildlife sites. There would be no impact on heritage assets. There are opportunities to improve the GI network (Local GI route S12). Development would support town centre regeneration. 	Highways / Access No direct access from a classified road. <u>Topography</u> Undulating site. <u>Neighbour</u> Industrial estate adjacent to the site. <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Flood zones 2 & 3. Surface water flooding issues could be addressed through the planning process. <u>Contamination</u> No significant constraints. <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 3 (Good-moderate)	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation RequirementsNew access roads will be required from Lowmoor Road/Kirkby Folly Road. Transport Assessment required.Further investigation required to assess the flood risk. A SuDs scheme may be required.Phase I Desktop Survey and potentially further investigation where contamination is suspected.A new primary school may be required.	ConclusionThe Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan.The site, which is currently designated Countryside, is located adjacent to the main urban area of Sutton in Ashfield and Kirkby in Ashfield. Development would form a logical extension to the urban area. The site has good access to the public highway and services and facilities, it is also well contained. Its location, adjacent to Sutton Parkway Station, would support the use of sustainable modes of transport, thereby reducing the reliance on private vehicles. It would also provide an opportunity to improve Green infrastructure routes in the area which

Allo		Area	No.	Gree	(Belt R Scores		1					
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	ı (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
K28 & K401	Wheatley's Yard,	1.71	63	В	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	constraints which would require third party land. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable. <u>The site is suitable</u> This is a logical infill site	Poor access to Primary School	Highway / access No issues identified	Open space is likely to be required.	would encourage walking and cycling. The site has poor drainage and it is likely to require mitigation in this respect. Access to services such as a primary school and open space could be mitigated through the development of the site. Whilst it is acknowledged that development would have a high impact on the landscape on SHLAA site K33, the development of the adjoining site (K23) would screen the site to some extent, thereby affecting the openness and prominence of the landscape. The effect of development could also be mitigated through the design of the scheme. <u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this is a
SKA3ai	Lowmoor Road, Kirkby							4		between existing residential development situated in close proximity to Kirkby Town Centre and employment sites to the north. The site has good access to the public highway and it is well contained. Development of the site could result in a loss of employment if the businesses are not looking to relocate. However, there are a number of vacant units and the site contains very old buildings which creates an unattractive environment. Redevelopment of the site would improve the area. <u>The site is available</u> The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered in 5 to 10 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 including affordable homes. Potential to deliver affordable housing. Supports healthy living and choice of travel and accessibility. Good access to services, including public transport. Supports town centre regeneration. Development would meet national and local objectives of prioritising the development of brownfield land. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of employment and Impact on the local economy. Site is subject to potential surface water flooding. However, the redevelopment of the site has the potential to reduce surface water flooding through the use of SuDS and lower run off rates. 	No issues identified <u>Topography</u> No issues identified <u>Neighbour</u> Petrol Station adjacent to the site. Adjacent to sewage pumping station on Western edge. <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzones 2 & 3. Surface water flooding issues. <u>Contamination</u> Contamination Suspected - Historic landfill covers most of site; Brickworks excavation & works. Within 250 metres of a landfill site. <u>Other</u> Protected trees on the southern boundary - covered by a Tree Preservation order (TPO).	Any planning permission would be subject to conditions requiring at least a Phase I Desktop Survey and potentially further investigation where contamination is suspected. Further investigation required to assess the flood risk. A SuDs scheme may be required	 The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. This is a brownfield site located within the main urban area. The landowner has indicated that the site is available and development is deliverable within the Plan period. Part of the site contains vacant industrial units and the remaining units are in a very poor state of repair. The site, which is located on a gateway into Kirkby Town Centre, creates a very poor image of the area. Redevelopment of the site would assist in the regeneration of the town centre and edge of centre. The Council will seek to work with the landowner and businesses to identify alternative sites for the remaining businesses.

SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	Area (ha)	No. Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	S encroacnment Prevent mergin Unrestricted sp	ettlements afeguard from	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigation
ũ				ield	prawl						

Allo		Area	No.	Gree	,	Gree		Belt R Scores	Reviev es	W			,		
SHLAA Ref <i>.</i> /Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	a (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	- St	Prevent merging	encroachment	Safeguard from	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
K37 & K118 SKA3ak	Skegby Road, Kirkby Woodhouse	0.85	23	G	1/A	NA		N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is suitableThere are no major policy constraints. The site is in a predominantly residential area within the main urban boundary.The site is available The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years.Achievability Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 main urban area with good access to local services providing opportunities for residents to access facilities while reducing the use of the car. It is anticipate to have a positive impact in reduce social inequality and to have a minor impact positive impact on the town centre Ashfield <u>Negative Impacts</u> The site is a greenfield on a sloping site but the impact on openness is considered to be minimal as it is well contain on all sites by existing residential development. 	Highway / accessThe entrance is located near to a bend. Highway improvements required.Topography Sloping site.Neighbour issues None identifiedFlood Risk Outside Floodzones 2 & 3. Potential surface water flooding issues in this area. This could potentially be mitigated by incorporating an appropriate drainage scheme.Contamination No Known Contamination.	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements Highway improvements relating to access/visibility.	ConclusionThe Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan.The site, which is located within the main urban area of Kirkby Woodhouse, is well contained and development would form a logical infill within the main urban area. It has excellent access to a range of services and facilities. There are currently access constraints which can be mitigated.
K79 (part – upper site) SKA3al	Mowlands Farm, Doles Lane, Kirkby in Ashfield	112	900	G	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is suitable subject to policy change The site is currently designated countryside. It is adjacent to Kirkby Cross Conservation Area which contains a scheduled ancient monument and listed buildings. Development could adversely affect the character of the conservation and cause 'less than substantial harm' to the listed buildings and SAM. There is a prominent ridgeline at the southern edge of the site. The area adjacent to the main urban area is less prominent. There is a local wildlife site to the north. Appropriate mitigation would be required as necessary. It has the potential to significantly boost the supply of housing in the district. Development could result in wider benefits for the area	 Significant boost to housing supply, including affordable homes. Site is within 800m or 10 minutes walking distance of a primary school and a bus stop. Site is within 500m of Natural Open Space, and has the capacity to provide on-site green infrastructure enhancement. Development could provide affordable housing contributions Development will help support the local economy. Development will support Sutton & Kirkby Town Centres. Moderate landscape impact. Loss of Grade 2 agricultural land. The site lies adjacent to part of Kirkby Cross Conservation Area. Potential for impact on designated Local Wildlife Site. 	Highway / access Highway constraints identified through the planning process. A suitable access solution is required which takes into consideration the need to protect the character of Kirkby Cross Conservation Area and the protection and setting of Listed Buildings and the Scheduled Ancient Monument. Discussions with the Highway Authority have indicated that access could be achieved. A link from the A38 is likely to be a primary access point, but will impact on a Local Wildlife Site (mitigation will be required). A secondary point may be required to link with Sutton Road. <u>Topography</u> Undulating landscape, with strong ridgelines towards the south of the site. Public rights of way on site.	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation RequirementsMajor highways infrastructure improvements required.Further investigation required to assess the flood risk. A SuDs scheme may be required.The design of the development should seek to preserve and/or enhance the character of the Conservation Area.Protection of Public Rights of Way.Development should seek to preserve/mitigate against the loss of designated local wildlife.Development should seek to protect ancient woodland.	ConclusionThe Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan.The site, which is currently located within designated Countryside adjacent to Kirkby in Ashfield, provides an opportunity for a well integrated urban extension which will greatly assist in meeting the objectively assessed housing needs of the District.Heritage Section 72 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 requires special attention to be paid to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance the conservation area. The Council acknowledges that development has the potential to cause harm to the character and appearance of Kirkby Cross Conservation Area. Any planning application would require heritage statements that describe the

Area Alloc	,	Gree	G	Greer	n Be Sco			ew					
Area (ha) Area (ha) Location SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.		Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	encroachment	Coforing from	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
									through the delivery of infrastructure and affordable housing. Access to the site is currently restricted but consultation with the Highway Authority has indicated that this could be mitigated. <u>Availability</u> The landowner has indicated that the site is available. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.		Neighbouring Issues None identified Flood Risk Outside Floodzones 2 & 3. Contamination No Known Contamination Agricultural Land Quality Grade 2 (Very good)		significance of each aspect of the conservation area affected. New development in a conservation area can preserve or enhance its character and appearance subject to suitably acknowledging the heritage assets affected and avoiding harm or by applying suitable mitigation measures, especially in reducing risk to a heritage asset. The NPPF also has a presumption against harmful development unless public benefits of a scheme can be considered to outweigh the harm. Whilst recognising the harm that may be caused, it is also necessary to acknowledge that there are opportunities to enhance the character and appearance of the Conservation Area through a suitably designed scheme. Taking into consideration the ability of the site to deliver a significant proportion of the objectively assessed housing needs of the district, subject to an acceptable access solution, the Council considers that the public benefits of delivering housing, including affordable housing, and associated infrastructure, will outweigh any potential harm. <u>Deliverability</u> The housing trajectory indicates that the wider Mowlands site (as promoted by the landowner) cannot be delivered within the 15 year Plan period. It has been determined that it could deliver approximately 900 dwellings. The north part of the site provides an opportunity to deliver a well-integrated urban extension which can provide for the needs of the community. It is well screened by existing development and, apart from the southernmost section of the site, it is not prominent in the landscape. It could help deliver new infrastructure and may offer opportunities to ease congestion on Sutton Road subject to a suitable highway solution.

SHL/ Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	Green Bel Scor		w				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging Unrestricted sprawl	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigation

Alloo		Area	No.	Gree		Gree	en Bel Sco		view	,					
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	ı (ha)	No. Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	settlements	Preserve historic		Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
															Taking the north part of the wider site forward will help to provide a more flexible approach to the delivery of development as it allows the Council more choice of smaller sites and the opportunity to increase the number of dwellings delivered. In turn this flexibility will help the District sustain a 5 year land supply. <u>Highways/Access</u> There are currently highway / access constraints which the Council and the Highway Authority believe could be mitigated. Opportunities exist for appropriate access arrangements to be created, which can be explored further at the detailed design stage. This would inevitably affect the lead in time for development which has been taken into account in the housing trajectory. A District-wide transport study has been undertaken which details potential highway improvements that could be undertaken to help the development integrate with the existing highway network. <u>Wildlife / Green Space / Agricultural Land</u> The northern element of the site includes a Local Wildlife Site and an area of Protected Green Space some of which may be required to achieve access, only. In such a scenario, the Council believes the benefits resulting from a future development would outweigh the potential loss of part of the site for a means of access. As a result of any loss / impact, a future development would need to include appropriate mitigation to help offset this loss. Broad details are included within the site's development brief which will help inform more detailed proposed at application stage.
						<u> </u>									protect public rights of way, and

SHL/ Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	G		Belt Rev Scores	view				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	encroacnment Prevent merging	afeguard from	Total Score Preserve historic	Suitability Availability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigation

SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	Area (ha)	No. Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestri	S	Score		Tota	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainabilit	y Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
K333 SKA3a m	Kirkby House, Chapel Street, Kirkby	1.10	16	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA	N/A	The site is suitable subject to a suitable access arrangement The site is located within Kirkby Cross Conservation Area close to services and facilities. Any future proposal would need to be sensitively designed, taking into consideration the character of the Conservation Area. There are access constraints and, through consultation with the Highway Authority, the Council believes this could be mitigated. The site is available The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 It is a It is a positi inclus centri Other service acces Negative Imp The s Kirkb Area, adjace Listee asset ancie devel consi enha chara herita appro qualit consi enha chara herita appro qualit consi enha chara the s Hous chara the s listed chara the cl consi	t to housing supply. accessible to open space. anticipate to have a ive impact on social sion and on the town e at Kirkby-in-Ashfield. r than a GP, local ces are readily ssible.	Highways / Access There are currently access constraints which require mitigation. Access is achievable via adjoining roads provided that the proposed new access roads achieve highway standards. <u>Topography</u> No issues identified. <u>Neighbour Issues</u> None identified <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzones 2 & 3 <u>Contamination</u> No Known contamination.	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements New access road required to achieve highway standards. The design of the development should be sensitive to the character of the Conservation Area.	Ancient Woodland at its western boundary. As detailed within the introduction, due to the lack of deliverable housing sites and the need to balance other considerations, the Council has had to propose the allocation of sites that contain Grade 2 soils. Natural England have been consulted on the proposed plan and have not raised any objections related to this. <u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site is located within the main urban area of Kirkby in Ashfield. Development of the site would be appropriate subject to a suitable design which protects or enhances the character of the Conservation Area. It is well contained and forms a logical infill within the main urban area and it has excellent access to a range of services and facilities. There are currently access constraints which could be mitigated.

SHL Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	(Gree	n Belt Score	Revie es	w				
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigatior

K334,	Laburnum	2.39	25	G	7	7	7	7	7	The site is suitable subject to	 Impact on stone walls in the Kirkby Cross conservation area which are protected by an Article 4 Direction. Impact on any other historic features. 	Highway / access	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation	Conclusion
K359 SKA3an	Avenue, Kirkby				V/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	a suitable access arrangementDevelopment of the site, which is designated countryside, would logically round off the settlement. The site has good access to the public highway and it is well contained. Whilst local services (a primary school, Medical Centre and cash machine) are not within walking distance, it is located on a bus route and has good access to a regular service. With regard to the landscape, the site scores very well in terms of capacity to accommodate development (1 out of 3 points).The site is available 	 Boost to housing supply, including affordable homes. Site lies within 800m / 10 mins from a bus stop. Development will help support employment. Development will support Sutton & Kirkby Town Centres. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Moderate landscape impact. Loss of Greenfield land. Negative impact on air quality. 	Access to the site would need to be taken from one access point (i.e. a shared drive would be required) <u>Topography</u> Gently sloping west (highest) to east (lowest) <u>Neighbour Issues</u> None <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzones 2&3 <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination, however the site is located within 250m of made ground. Such ground has the potential to give rise to elevated concentration of methane and carbon dioxide. <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Unknown	Requirements Potential methane and carbon dioxide. Any future planning application would likely be conditioned accordingly. Further investigation required to assess the flood risk. A SuDS scheme may be required. An appropriately positioned access road would be required.	The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site is located within designated countryside adjoining the main urban area, in an area which is adequately served by services and facilities. Development would logically round off the settlement of Kirkby in Ashfield. The site has good access to the public highway and it is well contained.
K325a SKA3ao	Walesby Road, Kirkby	32.0	150		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is suitable subject to a suitable access arrangement The site, which is designated countryside, is well contained and development would logically round off the settlement. It has good access to local services and facilities. With regard to the landscape, the site scores relatively well in terms of capacity to accommodate	 Positive Impacts Boost to housing supply, including affordable homes. Site lies within 800m / 10 mins from a bus stop. Development will help support employment. Development will support Sutton & Kirkby Town Centres. The sites does not contain or lie adjacent to any designated biodiversity assets 	Highway / Access Access improvements required. <u>Topography</u> Undulating landscape <u>Neighbour</u> Part of the site is adjacent to Lowmoor Road Industrial Estate <u>Flood Risk</u>	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements Highway improvements required to form a suitable access route. Further investigation required to assess the flood risk. A SuDs scheme may be required	Conclusion The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site is currently designated Countryside and is adjacent to a residential area which has good access to services and facilities in Kirkby in Ashfield. Development would assist in helping to regenerate Kirkby

re & on Requirements	Conclusion
-------------------------	------------

SHL/ Alloc		Area	No. E	Gree	(Gree	n Belt Scor	t Revie es	W				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigatior

SHL		Area	No.	Gree	(n Belt Score	Reviev es	N					
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	ı (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
К404 SKA3ap	Diamond Avenue, Kirkby in Ashfield Warwick	2.2	67	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	development (2 out of 3 points). <u>The site is available</u> The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable. <u>The site is suitable</u> The site, which is currently a residential allocation in the Ashfield Local Plan Review (2002), is available and development is deliverable. It has good access to the public highway and is within close proximity to Kirkby Town Centre. There are no major physical constraints. <u>The site is available</u> The site is owned by the Council and development can be delivered within 5 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable. <u>The site is suitable</u>	Negative Impacts • Loss of Greenfield land. • Negative impact on air quality. Positive Impacts • Boost to housing supply, including affordable homes • Site lies within close proximity to the town centre. • Site can assess local services including GP facilities, cash machine, bus services. • Site does not suffer from surface water flooding, nor is it within flood zone 2 or 3 • Development will help support local economy and Kirkby town centre Negative Impacts • Loss of Greenfield land. • Negative impact on air quality.	Outside Floodzones 2&3 <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 3 (good – moderate) <u>Highway / access</u> No issues identified. <u>Topography</u> No issues identified. <u>Neighbour</u> No bad neighbours. <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzone 2&3 <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination <u>Highway / access</u>	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements Any development scheme should protect trees worthy of retention. Further investigation required to assess the flood risk. A SuDs scheme may be required Infrastructure & Key Mitigation	town centre given its close proximity. It is well contained by existing development and by woodland to the east. The surrounding roads are narrow and this affects the capacity of the site to accommodate development. As such, approximately 150 dwellings could be accommodated on the site. This is based on discussions with the Highway Authority. <u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site is currently a housing allocation located within the main urban area of Kirkby in Ashfield. It is available and the principle of residential development has been established. There are no major physical constraints. As such, development is considered deliverable within 5 years. The Council is in the process of marketing the site for housing development.
SKA3aj	Close, Kirkby in Ashfield									The site is a former housing site located within the main urban area. The Council plans to redevelop the site. It has good access to the public highway and has no major physical constraints. <u>The site is available</u> The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years. <u>Achievability</u>		No issues identified. <u>Topography</u> No issues identified. <u>Neighbour</u> No issues identified. <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzone 2 &3 <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination.	Requirements.	The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site is located within the main urban area of Kirkby in Ashfield. The Council has plans to redevelop the site. Housing development is planned for delivery within the next 5 years.

Location SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Area (ha)	No. Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Green Belt Res Scores Safeguard from Prevent merging	Prese	Total Sc	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
								Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.				

Alloc	Area	No. [Glee	Gree	Green Belt Review Scores					
AA Ref./Housing	(ha)	Owellings		/ Brownf		Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion

Hucknall – Housing Sites Selected for Allocation

Alloc		Area	No. I	Gree	(n Belt I Score		w				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	me	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigatior

Allo		Area	No.	Gree		Gree		Belt R	Reviev s	w					
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	Area (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	encroachment	Safeguard from	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
H09, H51, H52, H81 HA3a	Broomhill Farm extension	27.37	465	G		3	4		1	9	The site is suitable subject to policy change Development of the site would be contrary to policy as it is designated Green Belt Land. The site is well contained by existing development and could form a logical extension to the urban area. It has good access to services and facilities in Hucknall, including public transport, schools and shops. It is also within close proximity to Rolls Royce (sub regional employment site). Access can be achieved. The site is available The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5-10 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 Positive Impacts Significant boost to housing supply. Increase in the number of affordable homes. Good access to open green spaces. Improvement in health and social inclusion. Supports regeneration of Hucknall Town Centre. Highways capacity is available. Within walking distance to a bus stop. Within walking distance of a GP. Negative Impacts Loss of Greenfield land. Negative impact on air quality. Small risk of surface water flooding. Within an Impact Risk area that could affect a SSSI and a SINC. Green Belt land. 	Highway / access Currently no direct access from the public highway. Topography Minor constraints Neighbour Site adjacent to the A611. Flood Risk No issues identified Contamination Agricultural Land Quality Grade 3 (Good-moderate) Natural Features Part of the site is a Local Wildlife Site.	Infrastructure & Key MitigationRequirementsHighways assessmentsuggests major infrastructureworks required. The landownerhas indicated that highwayimprovements can beachieved.A noise impact assessmentwould be required.Further investigation requiredto assess the flood risk. ASuDs scheme may berequired.Consult Natural Englandregarding mitigation in relationto the SSSI.Mitigation will be required inrelation to the Local WildlifeSite. Retention of the site ortranslocation	ConclusionThe Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan.This site is within the Green Belt and adjoins a site that is currently being developed. Its allocation and development will require a change in policy in relation to Green Belt land release. The NPPF indicates that when drawing up or reviewing Green Belt boundaries, local planning authorities should take account of the need to promote sustainable patterns of development and of the need to define boundaries using physical features that are likely to be permanent.The site is very well contained by residential development and the A611 forms a strong physical boundary. In terms of meeting the five purposes of the Green Belt the combined site scores 9 out of 20. The Council considers that there are exceptional circumstances for Green Belt release as there is a need to deliver the objectively assessed housing needs of the district, including affordable housing (as identified in the Nottingham Outer SHMA). The site could provide more affordable homes than sites in Sutton and Kirkby due to the fact that Hucknall has a higher affordable housing requirement than the north of the district.Hucknall is also a sub-regional centre with a strong functional economic relationship with Nottingham City. Development will support economic growth in the area, particularly at Rolls Royce which is a sub-regional employment site.

SHL		Area	No. [Gree		Gree	en Bel Scor	t Revie res	W				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigation

Allo		Area	No.	Gree	Ģ		Belt Score	Revie es	w					
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	Area (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
H20 HA3b	Land South of Papplewick Lane	0.87	26	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is suitableThis site forms part of alarger housing site wheredevelopment hascommenced. This site isavailable and generallysuitable.The site is availableIt is expected to bedeliverable within 10 to 15years time towards the endof the development of theexisting scheme.AchievabilityBased on whole Plan viabilityevidence, development isconsidered to be achievable.	Positive Impacts • Boost to housing supply. • Good access to open green spaces. • Good access to local services and facilities. • Improvement in health and social inclusion. • No impact on heritage assets. • Supports regeneration of Hucknall Town Centre. • Supports employment and the local economy. Negative Impacts • Loss of Greenfield land. • Negative impact on air quality. • Risk of surface water flooding.	Highway / access Access is currently constrained as the site does not adjoin the public highway. This can be resolved when the adjoining site is developed. <u>Topography</u> No constraints – the land is quite level. <u>Neighbour</u> The site is adjacent to a golf course and residential properties. <u>Flood Risk</u> Adjacent to Floodzone 2 <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements Highway improvements required.	transport links to Nottingham via the NET Tram and by train from Hucknall Station. There are currently physical access constraints but these can be mitigated via land in the ownership of the landowner. Highway improvements are currently being undertaken as part of the Rolls Royce development. <u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site is currently a housing allocation in the Ashfield Local Plan Review (2002). Development of the site would be appropriate as it is within the urban area and forms a logical infill. The site is well contained and partially well screened by mature trees. Currently the access constraints affect the delivery of development and it is anticipated that the site could be developed within the 5 to 10 year period as an extension to the ongoing development at Papplewick Lane.
H31 HA3c	Former Bamkin factory site	0.25	10	В	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is suitable There are no major policy constraints. This is a brownfield site located in a predominantly residential area within the main urban boundary. <u>The site is available</u> The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5-10 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 Positive Impacts Boost to housing supply. Brownfield site Good access to key services and facilities. Good access to open green spaces. Benefit to health and social inclusion. No loss of designated wildlife sites. Supports regeneration of Hucknall Town Centre. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of employment land. Surface water flooding. The site has a locally listed heritage asset. 	Highway / access No issues identifiedTopography No issues identifiedNeighbour No issues identifiedFlood Risk Surface water flooding and close proximity to Floodzone 2.Contamination Likely existence of contamination	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation RequirementsFormer factory site – ground investigation required as part of any future planning application.Future proposals will need to take into consideration the need to appropriately address surface water run off.	Conclusion The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. This site is subject to a lapsed outline planning permission for residential development and is therefore considered suitable and developable. The availability timescale has been informed by contact with the applicant. Any future proposal will be required to take into consideration the need to address surface water run off and any potential land contamination issues identified.

SHL/ Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree		Gree	n Beli Scor	t Revie es	W				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigation

Alloc		Area	No. I	Gree		Gree		Belt R cores	Revie\ s	w					
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	Area (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	<u>. v</u>	Prevent merging	encroachment	Safeguard from	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
H48 HA3d	Ruffs Farm, Langton Avenue, Hucknall	0.48	10	G	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is suitable The site is within the main urban area and is currently allocated as an allotment site but has not been used for that purpose for a number of years. It has been determined that this is not a statutorily protected allotment and can be released for development if policy changes. There are access constraints which do not require third party land and can be mitigated. The site is suitable if policy changes. Access constraints can be mitigated.The site is available The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5-10 years.Achievability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 Good access to local services and facilities. Improvement in health and social inclusion. No impact on heritage assets. Supports regeneration of Hucknall Town Centre. Supports employment and the local economy. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of Greenfield land. Negative impact on air quality. 	Highway / access There are access constraints which do not require third party land and can be mitigated. <u>Topography</u> No issues identified. <u>Neighbour</u> No issues identified. <u>Flood Risk</u> No issues identified. <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination.	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements Highway improvements required.	 <u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site, which is located within the main urban area of Hucknall, is well contained by residential development. The site has not been in use as an allotment for a number of years and it is not statutorily protected. It is available and development is deliverable. Access can be achieved via land in the ownership of the Council.

SHL		Area	No. D	Gree	(n Belt I Score		N				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Э		Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigation

SHLA Alloc		Area	No. D	Greenfield		Green Belt Scor	es	v					
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	wellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
H49 HA3e	Broomhill Farm, Nottingham Road, Hucknall	6.7	151	G	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is suitable This site is allocated for housing in the Ashfield Local Plan Review (2002) and is considered suitable and achievable. Part of the site has planning permission and	 Positive Impacts Boost to housing supply. Increase in the number of affordable homes. Good access to open green spaces. Cood access to least appriate and 	<u>Highway / access</u> No issues identified. <u>Topography</u> No issues identified. Neighbour	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements Further investigation required to assess the flood risk. A SuDs scheme may be required.	Conclusion The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan.
									development has commenced. <u>The site is available</u> The landowner has indicated that development of the remaining area is deliverable within 5 years.	 Good access to local services and facilities. Improvement in health and social inclusion. Supports regeneration of Hucknall Town Centre. Supports employment and the local economy. Highways capacity is available. 	No issues identified. <u>Flood Risk</u> No issues <u>Contamination</u> Potential for contamination - former quarry on Eastern edge of site.	Retention of protected trees. Mitigation may be required in relation to land contamination. Assessment required at planning application stage.	The site is located within the main urban area of Hucknall. It is currently a housing allocation. It is available and development is deliverable.
									<u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of Greenfield land. Negative impact on air quality. Small risk of surface water flooding. Moderate Landscape Impact 	Agricultural Land Quality Grade 3 (Good-moderate) <u>Natural Environment</u> Multiple Tree Preservation Orders (TPOs) on site.		

SHL/ Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	C		Belt Revi	ew				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	tlements feguard fr		Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigation

SHL		Area (ha)	No. I	Gree		Gre	een Bel Sco	elt Re ores		v					
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	st	Prevent merging	Sateguard trom encroachment Prevent merging	Settlements	Preserve historic	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
H80 HA3 MU	Hucknall Town Football Club, Watnall Road, Hucknall	3.35	108	G	N/A		N/A		N/A		The site is suitableThe site is developable in thelonger term. It previously wassubject to an outline planningpermission for mixed usedevelopment (includingresidential use) which hasnow lapsed. The owners areseeking residentialdevelopment on the wholesite. Part of the site is anEmployment Allocation andthe suitability of the sitewould be dependent onwhether the site is requiredfor that purpose.Hucknall Town Football Clubis planning to relocate. Oncethe new stadium has beencompleted the site will beavailable for development.The site is availableThe landowner has indicatedthat development of theremaining area is deliverablebeyond 5 years.AchievabilityBased on whole Plan viabilityevidence, development isconsidered to be achievable.	 Increase in the number of affordable homes. Good access to open green spaces. Good access to local services and facilities. Improvement in health and social inclusion. No impact on heritage assets. Supports regeneration of Hucknall Town Centre. Supports employment and the local economy. Negative Impacts Loss of Greenfield land. The site within a SSSI Impact Risk Zone. Risk of surface water flooding. 	Highway / accessA Transport Assessment has been approved in principle for this site, for 120 dwellings and 2500 square metres of office space.Topography No issues identified.Neighbour No issues identified.Flood Risk No issues identified.Contamination Likely existence of contamination, no detailed assessment made	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements Phase I Desktop Survey and potentially further investigation where contamination is suspected. Further investigation required to assess the flood risk. A SuDs scheme may be required.	Conclusion The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site is located within the main urban area of Hucknall. It previously benefited from outline planning consent for residential development. The landowner has indicated that the site will be developed in the future following the relocation of the Football Club. Development is deliverable beyond 8 years.

SHL/ Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	(Gree	n Belt Scor	: Revie es	W				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	me	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigation

Area SHLA Alloc	No.	Gree	Gi		Belt Score	Revie s	w					
Area (ha) Location SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
H88 Land at Bolsover HA3f Street 0.4	16	B	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is suitableThe site, which is adjacent toHucknall town centre, iscurrently occupied by avacant factory building to thewest and a joinery companyto the south. The vacantfactory building is on theLocal Heritage List and ispotentially suitable forconversion to residentialsubject to a satisfactoryproposal. The remainder ofthe site is also potentiallysuitable.The site is availableThe landowner has indicatedthat development of theremaining area is deliverablewithin 5 – 10 years.AchievabilityBased on whole Plan viabilityevidence, development isconsidered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Boost to housing supply. Good access to open green spaces. Good access to local services and facilities. Improvement in health and social inclusion. Supports regeneration of Hucknall Town Centre. Supports employment and the local economy. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Risk of surface water flooding. Potential risk to heritage asset. 	Highway / access No issues <u>Topography</u> No issues <u>Neighbour</u> Moderate adverse effects from adjacent occupiers or development of the site for housing - Adjacent factory - potential minor noise. <u>Flood Risk</u> EA Maps suggest area at no risk from flooding <u>Contamination</u> Likely existence of contamination, no detailed assessment made.	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements Future proposals are required to take into consideration the need to preserve the character of the Local Heritage Asset. Land contamination - the site would require a comprehensive ground investigation and any necessary remedial works to be carried out.	<u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site, which is adjacent to Hucknall town centre, is currently occupied by a vacant factory building to the west and a joinery company to the south. To the east, a clothing manufacturer and a derelict/vacant industrial site adjoins the site boundary. Residential development adjoins the site to the south, west and north. Subject to satisfactory design, the vacant factory would be suitable for conversion to residential use as the building is of local historic interest (demolition and redevelopment would not be supported by the Council). Residential development would also be suitable on the remainder of the site and the Council would seek to ensure that the business can be relocated and the design of any future scheme is appropriate.

SHL		Area	No. D	Gree	(Gree	n Belt R Scores		I				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	vent me	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigation

Location SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Area (ha)	No. Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestri	Prevent	Score		≩ Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
H97 High Leys Road HA3g	0.32	10	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is suitable The site is located within the main urban area of Hucknall which is considered a sustainable location in terms of access to local services. It has good access to the public highway and has no major physical constraints. Tree coverage - initial assessment by the Council's Tree Officer indicates appropriate mitigation could be achieved. <u>The site is available</u> The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5-10 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Boost to housing supply. Good access to key services and facilities. Good access to open green spaces. Benefit to health and social inclusion. No loss of designated wildlife sites. Supports regeneration of Hucknall Town Centre. <u>Negative Impacts</u> There is a surface water flooding issue. Greenfield Site 	Highway / access Third party land required <u>Topography</u> No issues <u>Neighbour</u> No issues <u>Flood Risk</u> Surface water flooding on periphery of the site. <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements Heavy tree coverage on site - tree survey required.Third party land required to gain access to the site.Further investigation required to assess the flood risk. A SuDs scheme may be required.Ecology Assessment required.	Conclusion The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site is allocated for residential use and the principle of development has been established. There are physical constraints which restrict development of the site in the short term. There is tree coverage on site but the majority of this is scrub and it would not prevent development. An ecology assessment would be required. It is anticipated that any identified issues could be appropriately mitigated. There are access constraints and third party land would be required to overcome this constraint. However, there are no physical constraints in terms of access and this could be appropriately resolved.

SHL/ Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	(Gree	n Belt Scor	t Revie es	ew.				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigation

Alloc		Area	No. E	Gree	(n Belt Scor	: Reviev es	N					
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
H98 HA3h	Seven Stars Public House and adjoining land, West Street	0.7	25	G /B	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is suitable The site is located within the main urban area of Hucknall which is considered a sustainable location in terms of access to local services. It has good access to the public highway and has no major physical constraints. <u>The site is available</u> The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Boost to housing supply. Good access to key services and facilities. Good access to open green spaces. Benefit to health and social inclusion. No loss of designated wildlife sites. Supports regeneration of Hucknall Town Centre. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Surface water flooding. The site has a locally listed heritage site upon it, this could be affected by development. 	Highway / access There are no highway constraints. <u>Topography</u> No issues <u>Neighbour</u> Slight adverse effects from adjacent occupiers - Adjacent to a builders yard. <u>Flood Risk</u> EA Maps suggest area at no risk from flooding. <u>Contamination</u> Likely existence of contamination.	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements Whilst there are no known ecological constraints, given the amount of unmanaged vegetation and vacant buildings on the site, an ecology assessment would be required if a planning application is submitted. If ecological constraints are identified, the owner/ applicant would need to demonstrate that appropriate mitigation can be achieved where necessary. Land contamination - The east and northeast part would require only minimal testing of the topsoil whilst the centre/west part would require a comprehensive ground investigation and any necessary remedial works to be carried out.	Conclusion The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site is located within the main urban area of Hucknall. The Seven Stars Public House is a Local Heritage Asset. Consequently, the Public House is considered to be suitable for conversion into residential accommodation subject to satisfactory design and configuration arrangements. The remainder of the site is located within a residential setting and is considered to be suitable for residential development subject to any future scheme being of a good quality design.

SHL/ Alloc		Area	No. [Gree	Gr	en Bel Scor		w				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	nrestricte	ent errai	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigation

SHL		Area	No. [Gree	0		n Bel Scol	t Revi es	ew					
Allocation Ref.	on	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
H100 Land adj to the Ar Centre, Annesley Road	rrow	1.48	60	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is suitableThe site is located within themain urban area of Hucknallwhich is considered asustainable location in termsof access to local services. Ithas good access to thepublic highway and has nomajor physical constraints.Whilst it is currently anallocated Employment site,An analysis of evidencetaken from the 2015Employment Land ForecastStudy indicates that Ashfieldhas a slight excess ofallocated employment land.The site is availableThe landowner has indicatedthat development could bedelivered within 5-10 years.AchievabilityBased on whole Plan viabilityevidence, development isconsidered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Boost to housing supply. Increase in the number of affordable homes. Good access to key services and facilities. Good access to open green spaces. Benefit to health and social inclusion. No loss of designated wildlife sites. Supports regeneration of Hucknall Town Centre. <u>Negative Impacts</u> There is a surface water flooding issue. Loss of an employment allocation. Greenfield site 	Highway / access Current information suggests sufficient capacity, no detailed assessment made. Topography No issues Neighbour Slight adverse effects from adjacent occupiers or development of the site for housing - The site adjoins the A611. Noise levels could be mitigated through good design. Flood Risk EA maps suggest no risk from flooding. Contamination Redevelopment of the site would introduce new receptors to any ground contamination that might have resulted from potential pollution sources. Our database shows areas of in- filled ground within a 250m radius. Made ground may also be present at the site due to the historical site usage.	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements Further investigation required to assess the flood risk. A SuDs scheme may be required. Land contamination: A standard four stage Contamination Condition be applied to any planning approval that may be subsequently granted. This would enable land at this site to be demonstrated as currently being suitable for its intended use or state what investigation/remediation measures are necessary. A minimum requirement would be for an Applicant to submit a Phase 1 Deskstudy and Site Walkover Report to indicate whether or not intrusive ground investigations may be necessary.	Conclusion The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site is located within the main urban area in Hucknall. To the east of the site is a large residential estate. To the south and west, the site is contained by the boundary of a secondary school and the A611. Residential development would be in keeping with the character of the surrounding area. Currently the land is allocated for employment use. An analysis of evidence taken from the 2015 Employment Land Forecast Study indicates that Ashfield has a slight excess of allocated employment land. As such, the site is considered to be suitable for residential development.

Indext of the service of the servic	ati	Unrestricted spr reenfield / Brownfie o. Dwellings rea (ha)	Total Score Preserve historia Safeguard from Prevent mergin	Sustainability Appraisal Summary Known Physical Constraints		Conclusion
---	-----	--	--	---	--	------------

Sites Selected for Allocation - Rurals

SHL/ Hous		Area	No. E	Gree	(Greer	n Belt Score	Revie es	w				
SHLAA Ref <i>.l</i> Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure Key Mitigatior
V15, V16 & V17 RA2a	Church Lane, Underwood	0.79	21	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is suitableThe site is located within thesettlement of Underwoodwhich is considered asustainable location in termsof access to local services. Ithas good access to thepublic highway. There isheavy tree coverage onsome areas of the site. Aninitial tree survey undertakenby the Council's Tree Officersuggests that there may be arequirement to retain sometrees. A more in-depthassessment would berequired at a later stage. Anecology assessment wouldalso be required as the sitehas the potential to form ahabitat for protected species.The site is availableThe landowner has indicatedthat development could bedelivered within 5 years.AchievabilityBased on whole Plan viabilityevidence, development isconsidered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Boost to housing supply Affordable housing likely to be part of development. Close to bus stop and primary school Development would help support local economy/employment. Development would support Ashfield's town centres. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Surface water flooding in the area Loss of Greenfield land Locally listed heritage site nearby the site. Potential for loss of trees. Potential for impact on biodiversity. 	Highway / access Current access to Church Street requires upgrading. <u>Topography</u> The site contains mature trees and remnants of a former orchard. <u>Neighbour Issues</u> None <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzone 2 & 3. <u>Contamination</u> No known issues. <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 4 (Poor)	Infrastructure & Requirements A tree survey w required to ass the trees on sit Ecological surv required. Prote will be required necessary. Access road re Further investig to assess the fl SuDs scheme required.

re & on Requirements	Conclusion
e & Key Mitigation	<u>Conclusion</u>
<u>s</u>	The Council considers that this is a
/ would be	suitable site to take forward as an
ssess the value of site.	allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic
ono.	Objectives of the Local Plan.
rvey would be	
tection of species	The site is located within the Named
ed where	Settlement of Underwood within a
	residential area. The landowners have indicated that the site is available for
required.	development and it is deliverable within the Plan period.
stigation required	p
e flood risk. A	The majority of the site is considered to
e may be	be suitable for development. However, there may be a requirement for the retention of trees to the south of the site. A tree survey would be required as part of any future planning application. An ecology survey would also be required to determine if there are any protected species on the site. Mitigation may be required to ensure that any species identified are protected.
	Access can be achieved via Church Lane.

Location Area (ha) Area (h	rainte	nfrastructuro Key Mitigatio
--	--------	--------------------------------

SHL.		Area	No.	Gree	, ,	Gr		n Belt Scor		eview S	v					
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	Area (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield		Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	settlements	Preserve historic	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
V140 RA2c	Westdale Road, Jacksdale	2.14	58	G		N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	The site is suitableThe site is located within the settlement of Jacksdale which is considered a sustainable location in terms of access to local services. The site is available and development is deliverable. It has good access to the public highway and has no major physical constraints.The site is available The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years.Achievability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Boost to housing supply - potential to accommodate up to 58 dwellings. Site is within 500m of accessible open space and 800m of a, primary school, bus stop. Potential to deliver 25% affordable housing. Development would help support local economy/employment. Development would support Ashfield's town centres. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of greenfield land Within a minerals safeguarded area: coal measures Moderate landscape impact 	Highway / accessThe site has good access to the public highway.Topography Undulating. Rises northwards to meet Wagstaff Lane to the north.Neighbour Issues NoneFlood Risk Outside Floodzone 2 & 3Contamination No known contamination.Agricultural Land Quality Grade 4 (poor)	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation RequirementsThe site is in an area where the potential land stability and other safety risks associated with former coal mining activities are likely to be greatest. They include, for example, areas of known or suspected shallow coal mining, recorded mine entries and areas of former surface mining. As such, the Coal Authority would require a Coal Mining Risk Assessment to be undertaken prior to development taking place.Further investigation required to assess the flood risk. A SuDs scheme may be required.	ConclusionThe Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan.Development of the site would be appropriate as it is well contained and forms a logical infill within the main urban area. It has access to a range of services and facilities and would contribute towards meeting the strategic objectives of the Local Plan.
V141 RA2b	Westdale Road, Jacksdale	0.51	15	G	I/A		N/A				N/A	The site is suitable The site is located within the settlement of Jacksdale which is considered a sustainable location in terms of access to local services. The site can be accessed via Rutland Road or via the adjoining site V140). The site is available The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5-10 years. Achievability Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 Potential to deliver 25% affordable housing. Development would help support local economy/employment. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of greenfield land. Within a minerals safeguarded area: coal measures. Moderate landscape impact. Surface water flooding could be an issue. 	Highway / access No direct access to public highway. Access via V140. Topography No issues. Neighbour Issues None. Flood Risk Outside Floodzone 2 &3. Contamination No known contamination.	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements Third party land requires for access. The site is in an area where the potential land stability and other safety risks associated with former coal mining activities are likely to be greatest. They include, for example, areas of known or suspected shallow coal mining, recorded mine entries and areas of former surface mining. As such, the Coal Authority would require a Coal Mining Risk Assessment to be undertaken prior to development taking place. SuDs may be required to address any surface water flooding.	Conclusion The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as an allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site is located within the named settlement of Jacksdale within a residential area. The site has reasonable access to a range of services. The landscape is overgrown with shrubs and weeds and has an unmaintained appearance. Development would provide an opportunity to enhance the appearance of this site.
V84 & V87	Park Lane, Selston	9.2	110	G	1	1	1	4	1		7	<u>The site is suitable subject to</u> policy change	Positive Impacts	Highway / access Access can be achieved via the adjacent site on Park Lane.	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as a

Allo	Area	No.	Gree	(Gree	reen Belt Review Scores							
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	. Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
RA2d	Land rear of 6.5	137	G	1	1	5		8	The site is in Green Belt and is currently unsuitable for development. The site would be suitable if removed from Green Belt. Being well contained by development, the site would form a logical extension to the urban area. It has good access to services and facilities in Selston. Access from the public highway could be achieved via SHLAA site ref. V87. <u>The site is available</u> The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable. The site is suitable subject to	 Boost to housing supply - potential to accommodate up to 109 dwellings. Increase in the number of affordable homes. Good access to key services and facilities. Will help support the local economy. Negative Impacts Loss of Greenfield land. Green Belt Site lies within minerals safeguarding area for coal. Potential contamination from historic landfill sites. Site lies within the SSSI impact risk zone. Positive Impacts	<u>Topography</u> No issues. <u>Neighbour</u> Noise levels are high due to the proximity of the M1 motorway. Partly within M1 Motorway Buffer Zone <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzones 2 & 3 <u>Contamination</u> Contamination Suspected Historic landfill within site; Embankment & Pond, Tramway & Engine House. <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 3 (Good-moderate)	Further investigation required to assess the flood risk. A SuDs scheme may be required. Any planning permission would be subject to conditions requiring at least a Phase I Desktop Survey and potentially further investigation where contamination is suspected. In cases where contamination is suspected, developers are encouraged to contact the Council's Contaminated Land Officer. Consult Natural England via the development management process regarding any risk to the SSSI (it is anticipated that any risk could potentially be mitigated). Infrastructure & Key Mitigation	housing allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site forms a logical rounding off of the settlement of Selston. It has good access to services and facilities and could deliver a significant amount of new housing to help meet the needs of the area. The site scores 7 out of a potential 20 in terms of meeting the five purposes of the Green Belt. Taking the evidence into consideration, in particular the results of the Sustainability Appraisal, the Council considers that there are exceptional circumstances for an amendment to the Green Belt boundary to accommodate housing growth that will help sustain the rural communities.
V347, V348 RA2e	Bull and Butcher Public House, Nottingham Road, Selston								policy change The site is in Green Belt and development is therefore currently contrary to policy. The site would be suitable if removed from the Green Belt. Within close proximity to services and facilities in Selston e.g. schools, GP, bus service, leisure centre etc. The site has access to the public highway and an appropriate access arrangement could be achieved. The site is an area where coal mining activities are likely to be greatest. A small area of the site would be unsuitable for development due to historic mines entries. The Coal Authority would require a Coal Mining Risk Assessment prior to any	 Boost to housing supply Boost to the local economy Affordable housing likely to be part of development. Boost to town centre Close to bus stop and primary school. Negative Impacts Surface water flooding in the area Impact on landscape Loss of Greenfield land Locally listed heritage site nearby the site. Mine shaft entrances upon the site. No GP near the site. 	Access can be achieved via the adjoining highway. <u>Topography</u> No issues <u>Neighbour Issues</u> None <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzone 2 & 3 <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination. Special consideration for possible shallow coal mining workings. <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 4 (Poor)	Requirements An appropriate integrated highway solution is required. Coal Mining Risk Assessment to determine remediation works where necessary. Further investigation required to assess the flood risk. A SuDs scheme may be required.	The Council considers that this is a suitable site to take forward as a housing allocation. Development of the site would help to deliver the Strategic Objectives of the Local Plan. The site forms a logical rounding off of the settlement of Selston. It has good access to services and facilities and could deliver a significant amount of new housing to help meet the needs of the area. The site scores 8 out of a potential 20 in terms of meeting the five purposes of the Green Belt. Taking the evidence into consideration, in particular the results of the Sustainability Appraisal, the Council considers that there are exceptional circumstances for an amendment to the Green Belt boundary to accommodate housing growth that will help sustain the rural communities.

SHL		Area	No.	Gree	(Gree	n Belt Scor		ew.					
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	Area (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Infrastructure & Key Mitigation Requirements	Conclusion
										development taking place. The Coal Authority has indicated that this could potentially be appropriately mitigated and should not prevent development of the site. <u>The site is available</u> The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.				

Alloc		Area	No. I	Gree	Green Belt Score					
AA Ker,/Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	nfield / Brownfield	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging Unrestricted sprawl	ΨΦ	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrair

ALTERNATIVE HOUSING SITES (NOT ALLOCATED) – SUTTON & KIRKBY

SM42	Rostellen, Derby Road, Mansfield	0.28	10	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Suitability The site is in designated Countryside and development would be contrary to Policy EV2 (ALPR,2002). The site has no suitable access. This could be achieved via third party land but this would require tree felling resulting in a poorly designed, backland scheme with a very long access road. Alternatively access could be achieved via demolition of the semi-detached property but this is not likely to be feasible. <u>Availability</u> The landowner has indicated that the site is available but the access constraints restrict the deliverability of development. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> The site will bring forward a small number of dwellings to meet objective housing needs. It is locate where there is access to open space and the wider countryside, which has the potential to facilitate a healthy lifestyle. It is also located within 800m of a bus stop with a bus services offering travel choice, facilitating access to services and reducing social exclusion. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Greenfield site It is poorly connected to the settlement of Mansfield and is remote from the urban areas of Sutton in Ashfield and Kirkby in Ashfield. The site is of insufficient size to contribute towards affordable homes and other infrastructure requirements. 	Highways / Access There is currently no suitable access point from the public highway. This would require demolition of the property which is semi-detached. Topography No issues Neighbour No issues Flood Risk Outside Floodzone 2 & 3 Contamination No known contamination Agricultural Land Quality Grade 4 (poor)	Conclusion The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site, which is designated Countryside, is poorly connected to the urban area, potentially resulting in a poorly designed backland development, and there is no suitable access into the site from the Public Highway. Given the low yield of the site and the fact that a semi-detached property would require demolition, it is considered very unlikely that the site could be developed within the Plan period.
S48	Main Street, Huthwaite	2.5	56	G	N/ A	N/ A	N/A	N/A	N/ A	Suitability The site is designated countryside. Development of the site is would logically round off the settlement of Huthwaite. The site has good access to the public highway and it is well	 Positive Impacts The site is anticipated to deliver a number of dwellings and is of sufficient size to contribute towards affordable housing and other infrastructure requirements. 	Ownership Currently the adjacent Golf Club has a tenancy on the site which expires in 2020. The landowner has indicated that the site will be available when the tenancy	<u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan.

ints Conclusion	
-----------------	--

Greenfi No. Dwo Area (ha SHLAA Allocati	Green Belt Review Scores				
Greenfield / Brownfield No. Dwellings Area (ha) Location SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Iotal Score Preserve historic settlements Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging Unrestricted sprawl	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
		contained and partially well screened by mature trees. The site would not be available in the short-medium term due to its current use as a golf driving range and the associated tenancy agreement (to 2020). However, the site is capable of delivering development in the medium to long term (beyond 2020). Whilst the site is designated Countryside it is not particularly open in character and is urbanised influenced by its connection to Huthwaite and Brierley Forest Park. Development would have a low impact on the landscape and would integrate well into the settlement. With regard to the landscape, the site scores very well in terms of capacity to accommodate development (1 out of 3 points). <u>The site is available</u> The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered in 5 to 10 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 The site has very good access to the open space and the rights of way network giving access to the open countryside. The size of the site is such that additional on site open space will be a requirement. From a landscape perspective it is identified as having a low landscape impact and development will not have a significant effect on the surrounding landscape. The site as good access to services and is anticipated to reduce social inequality. It is also expected to have a minor impact positive impact on the town centre of Sutton in Ashfield. Negative Impacts The site is current part of the golf course, therefore there may well be a negative impact on the local economy as well as a negative impact in terms of the loss of a Greenfield site and recreation value. It is also in a Minerals Safeguarding Area for Limestone. However, it is emphasised that this does not necessary prevent the site from being development. The impact on the site will need to be determined with the Minerals Authority and ultimately prior extraction could take place before development. The sewerage works, which serves sites in Huthwaite is at near capacity. However, this will not prevent development but will potentially impact on when development can be implemented as it may require the sewerage works to be upgraded. 	expires. As such, it could be developable within the 5 to 10 year delivery tranche. <u>Highways / Access</u> There are no access constraints. Access is achievable via Main Street. <u>Topography</u> The site is fairly level with a number of raised plateaus. There is little vegetation on the site due to the levelling works which have taken place. On the southern boundary is a large bund. Copse/ woodland are located on the northern and eastern boundaries. <u>Neighbour</u> There are no neighbour constraints. The site is adjacent to a golf course, residential area and small employment site. <u>Flood Risk</u> Flood Zone 1 and no surface water flooding identified. Any surface water flooding issues identified can be mitigated through the incorporation of an appropriately designed SuDS system.	The site is designated Countryside and adjoins the main urban area of Huthwaite. It is currently in use as a golf driving range. Loss of the site is likely to have a negative impact on the local economy. It would also result in the loss of a sport and leisure facility in the area. As such, it is not considered to be suitable.

SHLAA Allocati	Area	No. [Gree	n Belt Score		w				
AA Ref./Housing	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging Unrestricted sprawl		Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
S50 Rear of Hill Tr Farm, Huthwa		12	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Suitability The site is in designated Countryside and development would be contrary to Policy EV2 (ALPR,2002). The site has no suitable access and would require third party land to widen the road to adoptable highway standards. <u>The site is available</u> The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered in 5 to 10 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable. However, the necessary highway improvement works are likely to impact on viability.	 Positive Impacts The site will contribute a small amount of dwellings but the size of the site means that it is unlikely to contribute to affordable housing and wider infrastructure requirements based on the Council's Affordable Housing Supplementary Planning Document 2009. The site has good access to the countryside and local services. It is also expected to have a minor impact positive impact on the town centre of Sutton in Ashfield Negative Impacts As greenfield land it has the potential to have a negative impact in terms of agricultural production, habitat/species and amenity/recreation value. It is in a Minerals Safeguarding Area. However, it is emphasised that this does not necessary prevent the site from being development. The impact on the site will need to be determined with the Minerals Authority and ultimately prior extraction could take place before development. The sewerage works, which serves sites in Huthwaite is at near capacity. However, this will not prevent development but will potentially impact on when development can be implemented as it may require the sewerage works to be upgraded. 	Highways / Access The public highway is currently substandard and would require third party land to make improvements. <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzone 2 & 3 <u>Topography</u> The site is fairly level with a number of raised plateaus. There is little vegetation on the site due to the levelling works which have taken place. On the southern boundary is a large bund. Copse/ woodland are located on the northern and eastern boundaries. <u>Neighbour</u> No issues identified <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination	<u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. Development of the site would be appropriate as it is within the urban area and would logically round off the settlement of Huthwaite. The site is well contained and partially well screened by mature trees. Currently the access constraints significantly affect the delivery of development - the access route into the site requires widening to adoptable highway standards. As the land required to enable highway improvements is not in the ownership of the landowner and due to the fact that the site is small, it is considered very unlikely that the necessary highway improvements could easily be achieved. Consequently, the Council does not consider the site to be suitable to take forward for allocation due to the uncertainty surrounding the deliverability of development.

SHL. Alloc		Area	No. I	Gree	Green Belt Score					
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	ı (ha)	Dwellings	nfield / Brownfield	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging Unrestricted sprawl	<u><u>v</u> 0</u>	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrain

SHLAA Allocati		Area	No.	Gree	0	Green I S	Belt R cores						
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	encroachment Prevent merging	Safeguard from	Preserve historic	SI	uitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
	arker Street, uthwaite	7.6	171	G	N/A	NA		N/A	The Cou unsu Acce high exis into of a On s there traffi mitig iden <u>Avai</u> The site acce cons <u>Achi</u> Base deve achi high	tability e site is currently designated as intryside and is considered to be uitable for residential development. tess to the site from the public hway is severely constrained by sting development. The road leading the site is a cul de sac and consists alarge amount of terraced housing. street parking restricts access and re is a primary school at the end of road which creates an increase in fic. It is unclear how this could be gated and no solution has been ntified. Mathematical Scheduler available. However, given the ess constraints development is not sidered to be deliverable. Mathematical Scheduler elopment is considered to be ievable. However, the necessary may improvement works are likely to act on viability.	 Positive Impacts The site could accommodate approximately 170 dwellings if access constraints could be mitigated; It could contribute towards affordable housing and other infrastructure requirements The site has good access to the countryside via the footpath network. Part of the site is within 300 metres of a recreation ground. It is anticipated from the size of the site that that a recreation ground would need to be provided as part of any development which should be located close to Barker Street. It has good access to services in Huthwaite and is anticipated to enhance social equality. It is expected to have a minor positive impact on the town centre of Sutton in Ashfield Development may result in a loss of hedgerows. There is potential for development to have a negative impact on the adjacent Local Wildlife Site. The site is Greenfield land. It is in a Minerals Safeguarding Area for Coal Measures. A small area of the site is identified as potentially having surface water flooding. The sewerage works in the area is near capacity. This may delay development. 	Highways / Access Major access constraints with no identified solution. Flooding Outside Floodzone 2 &3. Council records indicate that surface water flooding occurs within the area. This could potentially be mitigated through the incorporation of an on-site SuDS scheme. A flood risk assessment would be required as part of any future planning application. Topography Gently undulating Neighbour No Known Contamination - Coal mining area and in a 250m landfill buffer. North West corner adjacent to licenced landfill. Agricultural Land Quality Grade 2 (Very good) – Part of site. Grade 4 (Poor) to North.	Conclusion The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site is currently designated Countryside. It has a high landscape value and a low capacity to accommodate development. Access to the site from the public highway is severely constrained by existing development. The road leading into the site is a cul-de-sac and consists of a large amount of terraced housing. On street parking restricts access and there is a primary school at the end of the road which creates an increase in traffic. It is unclear how this could be mitigated and no solution has been identified.

Alloc		Area	No. I	Gree	(Green Belt Scor		W				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
S62	Land at Roundhill Farm, Sotheby Avenue (part of S67)	53.6	965	G	N / A	N/ N/ A A	N/A	N/ A	Suitability The site is designated as Countryside and is currently unsuitable for development. Whilst the site is contained to some extent by residential development to the north and east, it is quite open in character. Consequently this is reflected in the Landscape Assessment i.e. high score for capacity to accommodate development. Access to the public highway may be problematic if the site was developed in isolation as landownership may create restrictions. Council records indicate that there is a problem with surface water flooding. This could potentially be mitigated. The Environment Agency has advised that a flood risk assessment would be required. <u>Availability</u> The landowners have indicated that the site can be released for development. Development could commence within the next 5 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 Positive Impacts The site would deliver approximately 160 new dwellings. It would increase in the number of affordable homes. There is good access to a bus service and the railway station. It would result in an improvement in health and social inclusion. There would be no loss of designated wildlife sites. There would be no impact on heritage assets. There are opportunities to improve the GI network (Local GI route S12). Development would support town centre regeneration. Development would support employment growth and the local economy. Negative Impacts Poor existing access to Primary School and GP Loss of Grade 3 agricultural land. High impact on the landscape. 	Highways/Access Third party land required to form an access into the site. <u>Topography</u> Gently undulating Neighbour No issues identified <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzone 2 & 3 <u>Contamination</u> No Known contamination <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 3 (Good-moderate)	ConclusionThe Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. Landscape ImpactThe site is located adjacent to a residential area to the east of Sutton in Ashfield. The landscape is very prominent and development would have an adverse impact. The Landscape Assessment identifies that the effect on the landscape would be high resulting in a total or major alteration to key elements , features or characteristics of the local or wider landscape resource, so that post development the baseline situation will be fundamentally changed. DeliverabilityTaking into consideration the rate of delivery on large urban extensions in the Nottingham Outer Housing Market Area (evidence is included in the Housing Options Spatial Approach paper), there is a risk that development would not be delivered on the site within the Plan period as it is reliant on access being taken from adjoining sites (Proposed allocations SKA3e and SKA3ah). The Council consideration past delivery rates. This approach is supported by the findings of the report published by the HBF in August 2015 'Responding to demand; understanding private housing supply which indicates that a greater number of smaller sites enables development to be delivered more quickly. The allocation of a larger site would bring into question the soundness of the Local Plan due to the uncertainty regarding delivering development on the whole site within the Plan period. Development of the site would result in the creation of a larger urban extension. This does not accord with the Council's Strategy of two smaller urban

SHL Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	Gree	en Belt Score		w			
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Prevent merging Unrestricted sprawl	eguard from roachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrair

Alloc		Area	No. [Gree			Belt Score	Revie es	W				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
S63	Chesterfield	1.6	30	G	7	7		7		Suitability	Positive Impacts	Highway / access	extensions with dispersed growth across the District. As such, it is not considered suitable to be taken forward.
	Road, Huthwaite				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The site is designated Countryside and development is contrary to policy. The landscape is very prominent; it is a steeply sloping hillside which is visible from the wider area and access is very problematic from Chesterfield Road. <u>Availability</u> The landowner has indicated that the site is available. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 The site is anticipated to deliver 30 dwellings and is anticipated to contribute towards affordable housing and other infrastructure requirements. It has excellent links to the GI network. The site has good access to services and is anticipated to reduce social inequality. It is expected to have a minor impact positive impact on the town centre of Sutton in Ashfield <u>Negative Impacts</u> Development would have a high impact on the landscape. The location of part of the site brings it within a Coal Mining Risk Area which may require mitigation measures. Development may have a negative impact on the adjoining Local Wildlife Site. The site is Greenfield land. It has the potential to have a negative impact in terms of agricultural production, habitat/species and amenity/recreation value. It is in a Minerals Safeguarding Area for Coal Measures. This does not necessary prevent the site from being development. The impact on the site will need to be determined with the Minerals Authority. 	Highway constraints to the north of the site (Chesterfield Road). <u>Topography</u> Steeply sloping to the north of the site. <u>Neighbour</u> No issues identified <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzone 2 & 3 <u>Contamination</u> Contamination Suspected - Approximately 20% of site is historic landfill; Brickyard excavations/ factories & works. <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 4 (Poor)	The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site is designated Countryside. Development of the site would not be appropriate as it is very steep, prominent and of high landscape value. The Landscape Assessment identifies that the effect on the landscape would be high resulting in a total or major alteration to key elements , features or characteristics of the local or wider landscape resource, so that post development the baseline situation will be fundamentally changed.

Allo		Area	No.	Gree	•	Green	Belt Score		w				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	a (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
S67 (Part)	Sutton East	24	432	G	N / A		N/ A	N/A	N/A	Suitability The site, which is designated Countryside, forms a natural break between Sutton and Kirkby. The landscape Assessment indicates that development would have an adverse impact on the central part of the site (it scores the highest points for capacity to accommodate development). Part of the site is adjacent to the urban boundary and is in close proximity to a major public transport node. Part of the site is a registered landfill and this will require a further assessment prior to any future development. There is also a flood risk from the run off of surface water onto adjacent land/properties. This could potentially be mitigated. <u>The site is available</u> The landowners have indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years. However, given the size of the site and infrastructure requirements, it is likely to take a number of years to develop. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 Positive Impacts The site would significantly boost the number of new homes in the District and would increase in the number of affordable homes. Good access to a bus service and the railway station. Consequently, it would result in an improvement in health and social inclusion. Development would not result in the loss of designated wildlife sites, nor would it impact on heritage assets. Provides an opportunity to improve the GI network (Local GI route S12). Supports town centre regeneration, employment growth and the local economy. Negative Impacts The site has poor access to Primary School but this could be mitigated through on site provision. Poor access to a GP service. Development would result in the loss of Greenfield land which is Grade 3 agricultural land. High landscape score on some areas of the site (areas on higher ground towards the centre of the site score 3 out of 3 for capacity to accommodate development). 	Highways / Access No access constraints. Contamination suspected – South-eastern part of site is a historic licensed landfill site and will require a phase 1 desktop survey with potentially further investigation. Northern part of the site (approx 4.5 ha) is also a licensed landfill site. A land quality audit report has been submitted by the landowner which suggests the site would be suitable for residential development following remediation works – further assessments may be required at a later stage. Surface Water Flooding Some surface water flooding from the land affecting properties on Searby Road to the north. The Environment Agency has advised that a flood risk assessment would be required. Neighbour No issues associated with the majority of the site. Industrial development adjoins the south western corner of the site. Agricultural Land Grade 3 agricultural land.	Conclusion The Council considers that the whole of this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. Whilst parts of site S67 are considered suitable to be taken forward as housing allocations (K23, K33, S60), the central area of S67 (site S62) is not considered suitable. The approach taken to bring forward two smaller urban extensions provides greater certainty that development can be delivered within the Plan Period due to the forecast delivery rates (based on past delivery), and also because it is likely that there will be a range of different developers on each site providing a greater number of sales outlets. Additionally, based on past delivery rates, the Council does not believe that the whole of site S67 would be able to deliver the required level of development to meet the objectively assessed housing needs within the Plan period. The site has also been assessed as having a high landscape value and a low capacity to accommodate development. The Landscape Assessment identifies that the effect on the landscape would be high, resulting in a total or major alteration to key elements , features or characteristics of the local or wider landscape resource, so that post development the baseline situation will be fundamentally changed. Taking everything into consideration, it is considered more appropriate to take forward two smaller urban extensions in order to ensure that the objectively assessed housing needs are delivered within the Plan period.

SHL		Area	No. D	Gree	(Green	Belt Score		w			
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrair

SHLAA Allocati		Area	No. E	Greenfield	G	reen Be Sco	elt Rev pres	iew				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	a) a) b) b) b) c) c) c) c) c) c) c) c) c) c						Settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
S73	,	0.5	5	G	N/A	NA	NA	NA	SuitabilityThe site is designated Countrysideand adjoins the settlement boundaryof Fackley. It is located in an areawhich has more limited access toservices (the Greater Nottingham andAshfield Accessibility Study indicatesthat this is the least sustainablesettlement in the district). Also, there iscurrently a flood risk from the highway.This would need to be resolved if thesite was taken forward.Approximately 30% of the site isundevelopable due to it being a mineentry and buffer zone. The remainderof the site could only accommodateapproximately 5 dwellings. A trafficregulation order would be required toreduce the speed of traffic if the sitewas taken forward.AvailabilityThe landowner has indicated that thesite is available for development.AchievabilityBased on whole Plan viabilityevidence, development is consideredto be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Potential to accommodate up to 5 dwellings. Site lies within close proximity to accessible open space (statutory footpaths/bridleway). Development will help support employment. Development will support Sutton Town Centre. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Moderate landscape impact. Loss of Greenfield land. Poor access to existing services. 	Highway / access Significant Constraints Traffic Regulation Order to reduce speed of traffic. <u>Topography</u> No issues identified. <u>Neighbour</u> No issues identified. <u>Flood Risk</u> Known Issues Potential flooding from highway. Drainage improvements would be necessary. (SFRA Ref; S6) A further flood risk assessment would be necessary before development would be allowed on this site. <u>Contamination</u> Contamination Suspected - Coal mining referral area. Approximately 30% of the site is undevelopable due to it being a mine entry and buffer zone. <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 4 (Poor)	Conclusion The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site is not well integrated into the existing area as it is an infill plot between ribbon development. It is located within designated countryside in an area which is not well served by existing services and facilities. Approximately one third of the site is undevelopable as it was formerly a Coal Mine entry which has now been capped. The remainder of the site would not assist in significantly boosting the supply of housing.

SHL, Alloc		Area	No. I	Gree	Gr	een Belt Score		w			
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	nfield / Brownfield	nrestricted sp	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrain

SHLA Alloc:		Area	No. I	Gree	(Greer	n Belt Score		W				
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
S74	Fackley Road, Teversal	5.0	50	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Suitability The site is currently not suitable as it is designated Countryside. The landscape is open in character and the site is not well integrated into the settlement. A development of this size would significantly impact on the gap between Teversal and Stanton Hill and the rural character of the settlement. If taken forward, the site would only be suitable for a small development due to the width of the public highway. A more suitable access would be via Fackley Road but this would require third party land. The site is within walking distance of local services at Stanton Hill. The site is available The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years. Access constraints may restrict the delivery of development. Achievability Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 including affordable housing. There is good access to key services and facilities. It would result in an improvement in health and social inclusion. 	Highway / access The existing road network would restrict any future development to approximately 50 dwellings. Topography No issues identified Neighbour Adjacent Floodzone 3 Flood Risk Adjacent Floodzone 3 Contamination No known contamination Agricultural Land Quality Grade 4 (Poor)	 <u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. Whilst the Council acknowledges the site has reasonable access to facilities and services, the importance of the site in terms of landscape character is considered to override the benefits of housing development when compared against other sites submitted for consideration. The Council's primary rationale for this conclusion relates to the site's landscape assessment. The assessment concludes that the site capacity to accommodate development as High: <i>High</i> – total or major alteration to key elements , features or characteristics of the local or wider landscape resource, so that post development the baseline situation will be fundamentally changed. Building on this conclusion, the Council believes development of this site would represent sprawl into the countryside, drawing Fackley towards Stanton Hill, significantly reducing the green break between these settlements. The site's highway / access constraints are a secondary consideration that the Council believes would restrict the sites deliverability.

SHL		Area	No. D	Gree	Green Belt Review Scores			
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Total Score Preserve historic settlements Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging Unrestricted sprawl	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrain

SHL/ Alloc		Area	No. E	Gree	(n Belt Score		w				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
S75	Pleasley Road, Teversal	2.5	56	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Suitability The site, which is designated countryside, is well contained by landscape features. However, this settlement is quite isolated and has poor access to services and facilities. With regard to the landscape, the site scores relatively well in terms of capacity to accommodate development. <u>The site is available</u> The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years. There is developer interest in the site. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 Positive Impacts The site would significantly increase the number of new dwellings and contribute towards affordable housing and other infrastructure requirements. Limited (hourly) bus service provides access to services and facilities between 8.40am and 5.40pm. Good access to open space. No loss of designated wildlife sites. Development would support Sutton town centre regeneration, employment growth and the local economy. Negative Impacts Loss of Greenfield land - potential to have a negative impact in terms of agricultural production, habitat/species and amenity/recreation value. Moderate impact on the landscape. While the site does not directly affect the immediate setting of Teversal conservation area, development has potential to encroach on the rural character of the wider setting of Teversal and thus how the experience of how the village is approached. Poor access to key services and facilities on foot. Limited bus service. 	Highway / access No issues identified <u>Topography</u> No issues identified <u>Neighbour</u> No issues identified <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzone 2 &3 <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination. Adjacent to a former railway and siding. <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 4 (Poor)	ConclusionThe Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan.The site is located within designated countryside in an area which is not well served by existing services and facilities. The character of this area is rural and development would not integrate well with its surroundings. Whilst the site does have good access to open space, it has poor access to a number of services and facilities. Whilst there is a limited bus service nearby, development would not be as sustainable in this location as residents are more reliant on private vehicles for transport.The site is adjacent to the approach to Teversal village and conservation area along Pleasley Road. While the site does not directly affect the immediate setting of the conservation area, development has potential to encroach on the rural character of the wider setting of Teversal and thus how the experience of how the village is approached. The NPPF defines setting and reminds us that experience forms part of understanding setting. Insensitive access points and substantial loss of tree screening would be harmful the rural character of the setting of the conservation area but potentially could be mitigated against.

SHL¢ Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	Green Belt Score				
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	nfield / Brownfield		Total Score Preserve historic settlements	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrain

SHL		Area	No. [Gree		Gree	n Belt Score	Revie es	w				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
S76	Molyneux Farm, Teversal	0.5	13	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Suitability The site is designated Countryside and does not adjoin the main urban area boundary. It is not well contained being surrounded by open countryside to three sides and it would not form a logical extension to the settlement of Fackley. Land levels drop down significantly from the road to the site. This may create problems in terms of drainage and overshadowing of the site from adjoining properties. This area has previously experienced problems with surface water drainage. This could potentially be mitigated. <u>Availability</u> The landowner has indicated that the site is available. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 Positive Impacts The site is anticipated to deliver 13 dwellings. It is anticipated to contribute towards infrastructure requirements. The site has access to the rights of way network giving access to the open countryside. The site has access to services and is anticipated to support social inclusion. It is expected to have a minor positive impact on the town centre of Sutton in Ashfield Negative Impacts The site is currently farm land and is a greenfield site. From a landscape perspective it is identified as having a low to moderate landscape impact. The site is subject to surface water flooding but it is anticipated that the surface water flooding can be mitigated through the incorporation of an appropriate designed SuDS scheme. 	Highway / access No significant constraintsTopography Severe level changes. The site is much lower than the adjoining public highway.Neighbour No issues identifiedFlood Risk Adjacent Zone 2Contamination No Known Contamination Adjacent former Factories and Works; Teversal Garage and Petrol Stations & Fuel Tanks; Above ground storage tank.Agricultural Land Quality Grade 4 (Poor)	Conclusion The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site is located within designated countryside in an area which has reasonable access to existing services and facilities. Development of the site would create sprawl of the settlement as it is not well contained. It is significantly set back from the highway. It does not integrate well into the existing settlement. Consequently, development is not considered to be appropriate.

SHL/ Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	C	Breen Bel Sco		W			
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Sateguard from encroachment Prevent merging	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrain

SHL/ Alloc		Area	No. [Gree			Belt Ro Scores						
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	settlements Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
S78	Molyneux Farm, Teversal	0.4	12	G	N/A	N/A	N/A		//A	Suitability The site is designated Countryside and does not adjoin the main urban area boundary. It is not well contained being surrounded by open countryside to three sides and it would not form a logical extension to the settlement of Fackley. Land levels drop down significantly from the road to the site. This may create problems in terms of drainage and overshadowing of the site from adjoining properties. This area has previously experienced problems with surface water drainage. This could potentially be mitigated. <u>Availability</u> The landowner has indicated that the site is available. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> The site is anticipated to deliver 12 dwellings. It is anticipated to contribute towards infrastructure requirements. The site has access to the rights of way network giving access to the open countryside. The site has access to services and is anticipated to support social inclusion. It is expected to have a minor positive impact on the town centre of Sutton in Ashfield <u>Negative Impacts</u> The site is currently farm land and is a greenfield site. From a landscape perspective it is identified as having a low to moderate landscape impact. The site is subject to surface water flooding but it is anticipated that the surface water flooding can be mitigated through the incorporation of an appropriate designed SuDS scheme. 	Highway / access Land levels are signifcantly lower. Highway improvement works required. <u>Topography</u> Land levels are significantly different to the adjoining residential site. <u>Neighbour</u> Adjacent Floodzone 3 <u>Flood Risk</u> Known Issues - Multiple flooding issues in close proximity to the site (SFRA; Ref; S7, S8 & S9). Adjacent Zone 3 Low lying land, potential risk of flooding. <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 4 (Poor)	Conclusion The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site is located within designated countryside in an area which has reasonable access to existing services and facilities. Development of the site would create sprawl of the settlement as it is not well contained, it would also impact on the gap which separates Teversal from Stanton Hill. Land levels are problematic in this area as the site drops quite significantly from the adjoining highway. Consequently, development is not considered to be appropriate.

Alloc	2	Area	No. D	Gree	G	een Be Sco		ew			
ad Ker, Housing Sation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	cted sp	Prevent merging	reserve his <u>ettlements</u>	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrair

		Area	No.	Gree	G		Belt Score	Reviev s	w				
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	1 (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
S95	Stoneyford Road, Sutton in Ashfield	1.23	34	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	SuitabilityThe site is designated Countrysideand is currently unsuitable fordevelopment.There is currently no suitable accessfrom the public highway. There maybe potential to access the site from theadjoining site (SHLAA ref S93). Thiswould impact on the timescale fordelivery of development.The site is adjacent to a stream and aflood risk assessment would berequired should the site be consideredfor development. All water from thisarea converges at Buttery Lane. Ifdevelopment were to occur there mayneed to be an upgrade on the culvertsfurther downstream. The site maybecome suitable if the physicalconstraints can be mitigated.However, development of the sitewould erode the open break betweenSutton in Ashfield & Stanton Hill. <u>Availability</u> The landowners have indicated thatthe site is available for development.However, the access constraints arelikely to impact on the timescale forthe delivery of development. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viabilityevidence, development is consideredto be achievable.	 Positive Impacts Potential to accommodate up to 24 dwellings. Site lies within close proximity to accessible open space at Brierley Forest Park Local Nature Reserve. Potential to deliver a proportion of affordable housing. Potential to improve a deprived area Good access to existing facilities (with the exception of a primary school). Supports Sutton Town Centre regeneration. Negative Impacts Part of the site has been identified as a Local Wildlife Site Moderate landscape impact. Loss of Greenfield land. Within a Minerals Safeguarding Area - Limestone Some surface water issues – flood risk assessment required. 	Highway / access Significant Constraints Poor visibility towards Stanton Hill - third party land required to enable necessary public highway improvements. <u>Topography</u> Steeply sloping to the north. <u>Neighbour</u> Adjacent to a stream <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzones 2 & 3 Directly adjacent to a stream. <u>Contamination</u> Contamination Suspected Approximately 25% historic landfill; Quarry with Limekilns, further 20% Sewage Works. <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Unknown	ConclusionThe Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan.The site is located within designated countryside in an area which has good access to services and facilities.Development would impact on the gap between Sutton in Ashfield and Stanton Hill. The Council considers that it is important to maintain this gap to ensure the characteristics of each settlement are maintained. This stance has been supported by a Planning Inspector through an Appeal (APP/W3005/A/14/2221907):'6. The site and the countryside that surrounds it in three sides forms part of the narrowest point of the open gap that seperates the settlements of

Alloc	SHL		Area	No. D	Gree	(Greei	n Belt Score	Revie es	W			
ation Ref.	Re	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrain

SHL/ Alloc		Area	No. E	Gree		Gree	n Belt Score	Revie es	w				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
S97	Silverhill Lane, Teversal	1.0	27	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Suitability The site is located in an area which is designated Countryside and is currently unsuitable for development. It adjoins the settlement of Teversal which is also designated countryside. This is an open, prominent landscape with a ridgeline running diagonally through the site. Whilst there are no major physical constraints, the site is located in an area which has poor access to a range of services, including education and medical services. Development would have a sprawling affect as the site is not well contained. Availability The landowner has indicated that the site is available and development could be delivered within 5 years. Achievability Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Potential to accommodate up to 30 dwellings, including additional affordable houses Sites lies within close proximity to accessible open space. Site does not suffer from surface water flooding, nor is it within flood zone 2 or 3 Development will help support local economy and Sutton town centre <u>Negative Impacts</u> Would result in the loss of a Greenfield site Scores highest for capacity to accommodate development in the Landscape Assessment due to the sites prominence. Limited bus service. 	Highway / access No significant constraints <u>Topography</u> No issues identified <u>Neighbour</u> No issues identified <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzone 2 & 3 <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 4 (Poor)	 <u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site is located within designated countryside in an area which is poorly served by existing services and facilities, including primary and secondary schools, GP services, and shops. The site is very open in character and not well contained. It scores the maximum points in the Landscape Assessment for capacity to accommodate development. Development would result in a fundamental change to the landscape from its baseline position. It would also ultimately result in urban sprawl and a reliance on private vehicles for transport. As such, the site is considered unsuitable to be taken forward as a housing allocation.

SHL/ Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	(Green	Belt I Score		w			
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	uard fr	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrair

SHL		Area	No. I	Gree		Green E So	elt Re ores	eview			
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	<u>encroachment</u> Prevent merging	settlements Safeguard from	Preserve historic	Suitability, Availability, Achievabi	Sustainability Appraisal Summary Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
S98	Silverhill Lane, Teversal	1.0	27	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA	SuitabilityThe site is located in an area which is designated Countryside and is currently unsuitable for development It adjoins the settlement of Teversal which is also designated countryside This is an open, prominent landscap with a ridgeline running diagonally through the site.Whilst there are no major physical constraints, the site is located in an area which has poor access to a ran of services, including education and medical services. Development wou have a sprawling affect as the site is not well contained.Availability The landowner has indicated that the site is available and development could be delivered within 5 years.Achievability Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered 	Positive Impacts Highways / Access • Potential to accommodate up to 30 dwellings, including additional affordable houses Highways / Access • Sites lies within close proximity to accessible open space Fire is currently no suitable access point from the public highway. Access could potentially be achieved from the adjoining site (SHLAA ref. S97). • Site does not suffer from surface water flooding, nor is it within flood zone 2 or 3 Topography • Development will help support local economy and Sutton town centre Neighbour Negative Impacts No issues identified • Would result in the loss of a Greenfield site Flood Risk • Scores highest for capacity to accommodate development in the Landscape Assessment due to the sites prominence. Contamination • Limited bus service. Agricultural Land Quality	ConclusionThe Council considers that this siteshould not be taken forward as anallocation within the Local Pan.The site is located within designatedcountryside in an area which is poorlyserved by existing services andfacilities, including primary andsecondary schools, GP services, andshops.The site is very open in character andnot well contained. It scores themaximum points in the LandscapeAssessment for capacity toaccommodate development.Development would result in afundamental change to the landscapefrom its baseline position. It would alsoultimately result in urban sprawl and areliance on private vehicles fortransport.The site is considered unsuitable to betaken forward as a housing allocation.

SHL. Alloc		Area	No. I	Gree	Green Belt Score				
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	nfield / Brownfield		Total Score Preserve historic settlements	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrain

Alloc	Area (ha)	No. [Gree	(Greei	n Belt Score		W				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
S100 Former Miners Welfare Ground, Stoneyford Road, Stanton Hill	3.8	62	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	SuitabilityThe site is designated as Countrysideand is unsuitable for development. Alarge part of the site is also designatedas a Local Wildlife Site and this area isunsuitable. The area which is notdesignated as an LWS is situatedadjacent to the main urban area andmay become suitable should policychange.The site is also constrained by verypoor access to the public highway.Access via the existing roads whichadjoin the site would be unsuitableand this may render the site unsuitablein the medium/long term. There areopportunities to gain access to thepublic highway via third party land tothe east of the site.AvailabilityThe landowners have indicated thatthe site is available for development.However, the access constraintswould have an impact on the deliveryof development.AchievabilityBased on whole Plan viabilityevidence, development is consideredto be achievable.	 Positive Impacts Potential to accommodate up to 60 dwellings, including additional affordable houses Site lies within close proximity to Primary School, GP, Bus Stop, Post Office or Cash Machine and accessible open space Site does not suffer from surface water flooding, nor is it within flood zone 2 or 3 Development will help support local economy and Sutton town centre Negative Impacts Would result in the loss of a Greenfield site and part of a Local Wildlife Site Low impact on landscape character. 	Highways / Access There is currently no suitable access point from the public highway. Access could potentially be achieved from the adjoining site (SHLAA ref. S70). Topography No issues identified Neighbour No issues identified Flood Risk Outside Floodzone 2 & 3 Contamination No known contamination Protected Species / Habitats Part of site is a Local Wildlife Site (Site ref EV6/102) Stanton Hill Grasslands.	ConclusionThe Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan.The site is located within designated countryside in an area which is well served by existing services and facilities. It is well contained by existing residential development which envelopes the site to the north.Development would logically round off the area to the north of the site. To the south is a Local Wildlife Site and contributes to the green break between Sutton and Stanton Hill (as highlighted by Appeal APP/W3005/A/14/2221907).This area would not be suitable for development.With regard to the remainder of the site, it would only be suitable if an acceptable access arrangement was identified. The site adjoins a narrow street of terraced housing which has on-street parking. The entrance to the street is restricted in terms of poor visibility splays. Whilst this could potentially be mitigated to some extent, on-street parking is still likely to impact on visibility at the junction. Access could potentially be achieved via the adjoining site (SHLAA ref. S70). However, the landowner previously indicated that this would render the

SHL		Area	No. [Gree	G	reen Belt Scor		W			
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrain

SHLAA Allocati		Area	No.	Gree	0		n Belt Score	Revie s	w				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
S142	Alfreton Road, Sutton in Ashfield	1.49	40	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Suitability The site is currently a housing allocation and there are no major policy constraints to development. There are mature trees and hedgerow on some parts of the site. Appropriate mitigation would be required to address noise levels from the A38 and the adjacent industrial site. The site is adjacent to Fulwood Farmhouse, which is a Grade II listed building and the setting of this building will need to be considered. These issues could be mitigated through good design. A geological fault line runs SW - NE across the site. This would not prevent development but it is likely to impact on construction methods in the future. Availability The site has been allocated for housing since 2002. There are landownership constraints in relation to the access (a house in separate ownership would need to be demolished). This brings into question the deliverability of the site. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Potential to accommodate up to 40 dwellings, including additional affordable houses Site lies within close proximity to accessible open space via Footpath 48 Site can assess local services including primary school, GP facilities, cash machine, bus services. Site does not suffer from surface water flooding, nor is it within flood zone 2 or 3 Development would help support local economy and Sutton town centre <u>Negative Impacts</u> Possible impact on adjacent Grade II Listed Building (Fulwood Farmhouse) Loss of Greenfield land. 	Highway / access Access into the site is currently restricted. Third party land would be required. <u>Topography</u> Geological fault line runs SW - NE across the site. <u>Neighbour</u> High noise levels from the A38 and potentially from the adjoining employment site. <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzones 2 & 3. <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination. A geological fault line runs SW - NE across the site. This would not prevent development but it is likely to impact on construction methods in the future. <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Unknown <u>Built Heritage</u> Adjacent to Fulwood farm – a Grade II Listed Building	Conclusion The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. Whilst the site is in a sustainable location, with good access to a number of services and facilities; and is an underutilised plot within the existing urban area, the Council questions its deliverability. The site has been allocated within the existing Ashfield Local Plan Review (2002), yet no form of planning application has been received on the site. There are severe access constraints and landownership issues to resolve. The Council therefore believes the site is not deliverable. As such, cannot rely on it to contribute towards the District's housing land supply.

SHL		Area	No. D	Gree	0	Green Bel Sco		ew			
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Sateguard trom encroachment Prevent merging	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrain

Alloo		Area	No.	Gree	0	Green Bel Scol		ew.				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	ı (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
S314	Mill Lane, Huthwaite	15.7	295	G	N / A	N/ A A	N/A	N/ A	SuitabilityThe site, which is designated as an open area in the 2002 Local Plan, is located within the main urban area. Development of the site may be appropriate as it would form a logical infill within the urban area. It currently acts as an open break between Sutton in Ashfield and Huthwaite. The gap could be maintained through sensitive landscaping and a well-designed scheme. Access to Mill Lane is restricted as it is at capacity. An alternative access route would be required. The site also has poor drainage and it is likely to require mitigation in this respect. With regard to the landscape, the site scores relatively well in terms of capacity to accommodate development (2 out of 3 points).The site is available The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years.Achievability Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> The site has the potential to accommodate up to 295 dwellings, and contribute towards affordable housing and other infrastructure requirements. The site lies within close proximity to a Bus Stop, Post Office or Cash Machine and accessible open space. The size of the site will lead to potential improvements to recreation and/or outdoor sports facilities for the surrounding area. Development will help support the local economy and Sutton town centre. Development would have a low impact on landscape character. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of Greenfield land - potential to have a negative impact in terms of agricultural production, habitat/species and amenity/recreation value. The site has areas of surface water flooding that would require mitigation through appropriate SUDs systems. 	Highway constraintsSignificant constraints - Access would not be suitable from Mill Lane as it is at capacity.Topography Steeply sloping to the northNeighbour Adjacent to a registered landfill site.Flood Risk Outside Floodzones 2 and 3. Natural springs. Substantial drainage improvements required. Surface water flooding. SUDS infiltration may be problematic on this site due to the Glacial Clay soil.Contamination No Known Contamination. Site boundary is 8 metres from a former landfill site.Farm buildings with cement/asbestos on centre of site. Minerals/coal consultation required.Agricultural Land Quality Grade 4 (Poor)Utilities Sewerage capacity issues.	<u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. Development of the site may be appropriate as it would form a logical infill within the urban area. It currently acts as an open break between Sutton in Ashfield and Huthwaite. The gap could be maintained to some extent through sensitive landscaping and a well-designed scheme. Access to Mill Lane is restricted as it is at capacity. An alternative access route would be required. There are very limited opportunities to address access constraints due to the nature of the surrounding area i.e. narrow streets, topography, cul-de-sacs adjoining the site. The site also has poor drainage and it is likely to require mitigation. There are opportunities to establish an access route via adjoining roads. However the landowner has not demonstrated that this is achievable and this creates uncertainty with regard to the deliverability of development. It is unclear how this could be resolved. There is also an ongoing issue with regard to landownership and the adoption of the highway on Mill Lane. Given the unresolved issues and uncertainty regarding access arrangements, the Council does not consider the site to be suitable to be taken forward for allocation.

SHL		Area (ha	No. [Gree	G	Freen Belt Score		W			
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrai

Alloc		Area	No. [Gree		Gree	n Belt Scor	: Revie es	W				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
SM319	Rushley Farm, Mansfield	30.0	675	G	N / A	N/ A	N/ A	N/A	N/ A	Suitability The site is designated countryside and development would be contrary to policy. The Sherwood Forest area is currently being considered as a possible potential Special Protection Area (ppSPA), a Natura2000 site of European importance. If the area is formally designated as a potential SPA and then classified as a full SPA, planning applications within the vicinity (including those approved but not yet commenced or implemented) would be subject to provisions under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010. Whilst there are no access constraints, development of the site would require major infrastructure improvement works. The site is adjacent to the Lindhurst development. A transport assessment would be required to determine if the site would be suitable in highways terms. <u>Availability</u> The landowner has indicated that the site is available for development. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Potential to accommodate up to 675 dwellings, including additional affordable houses. Site lies within close proximity to accessible open space. Development will help support employment. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Possible impact on local heritage asset (Rushley Farm) Negative impact on possible potential Special Protection Area (SPA). If the Thieves Wood area is formally designated as a SPA, planning applications within the vicinity would be subject to provisions under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010. Moderate landscape impact. Loss of Greenfield land. Loss of very good/good quality agricultural land Poor access to existing services 	Highway / access No access constraints but major highway infrastructure improvements would be required. <u>Topography</u> No issues identified <u>Neighbour</u> Adjacent to the MARR <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzone 2 &3 <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 3 (Good-moderate) <u>Built Heritage</u> Adjacent Rushley Farm which is a Local Heritage Asset. <u>Protected Species / Habitats</u> Sherwood Forest area is currently being considered as a possible potential Special Protection Area (ppSPA).	Conclusion The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The Council's primary concern relates to the site's proximity to the Thieves Wood element of the Sherwood Forest 'possible potential' Special Protection Area (ppSPA). Whilst the site's potential yield of 675 dwellings would help the District meet its housing requirements, the potential deliverability risk associated with the potential designation of a SPA adjacent to the site would impact on the District's land supply. As such, until the outcome of the ppSPA is known, the Council does not consider that it can rely on the site to be deliverable. In addition to the ppSPA constraint, the site is not considered to be in sustainable location, with poor access to services and facilities. The completion of the Lindhurst development may help the site's sustainability, but this will not be known until the site has been built out. The site is considered to be unsuitable due to the uncertainty surrounding the ppSPA and the timescale of Lindhurst.

	SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	Area (ha)	No. Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted spraw	Gree Prevent merging	n Belt Safeguard from encroachment		► Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrain
	SM358	Land adjoining Rushley Pumping Station, Nottingham Road	3.4	77	G	N / A	N/ A	N/ A	N/A	N/ A	Suitability The site is located in an area designated as Countryside. It adjoins open countryside to three sides and woodland to the south (designated as a Local Wildlife Site). This area has also been identified as a possible potential Special protection Area by	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Potential to accommodate up to 77 dwellings, including additional affordable houses. Site lies within close proximity to accessible open space. Development will help support employment. 	<u>Highway issues</u> Significant highway constraints (the directly adjoins the A60). The site s preferably be served from the adjoin development at Lindhurst. <u>Topography</u> No issues identified

•	Development will help support	
	employment.	

		Natural England. The site adjoins an area which has planning permission for a large scale urban extension (Lindhurst development in Mansfield). Given the sites proximity to the ppSPA, it is unclear if the site would be suitable. A further, more detailed assessment would be required. Significant highway constraints (the site directly adjoins the A60). The site should preferably be served from the adjoining development at Lindhurst.Availability The landowner has indicated that the site is available and development is deliverable.Achievability Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered	 Negative Impacts Negative impact on possible potential Special Protection Area (SPA). If the Thieves Wood area is formally designated as a SPA, planning applications within the vicinity would be subject to provisions under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010. High landscape impact. Loss of Greenfield land. Poor access to existing services. 	Neighbour Adjacent to the Sherwood ppSPA. Adjacent to the A60 – potential nois issues. Flood Risk Outside Floodzones 2 &3 Contamination No known contamination Agricultural Land Quality Unknown Protected Species / Habitats Directly adjacent to Sherwood Prote Bird Area which also forms part of Sherwood ppSPA.

traints	Conclusion
s (the site site should adjoining	Conclusion The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan.
SPA. al noise	Whilst the site could have the potential to provide market and affordable housing for the District, the site is not considered to deliverable within the Plan period. It is located adjacent to the southern boundary of the Lindhurst site, which is a large urban extension to Mansfield. Whilst the site has planning permission, its phased development will take in excess of 10 years to develop. In terms of biodiversity and landscape impact, development of this site has
l Protected art of	the potential to impact on the Thieves Wood area of the ppSPA. If Thieves Wood is allocated as an SPA, it is considered this could impact on the site's deliverability. The site's landscape assessment highlights that the potential impact development would have on the landscape character is considered be high. Collectively, the Council believes the combination of constraints are justification for not pursuing the allocation of this site.

Alloc	-	Area	No. I	Gree	Gr	en Bel Sco		¥W			
ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	nfield / Brownfield	nrestric	Sateguard trom encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrair

Alloc		Area	No. [Gree			Belt Score	Revie s	W				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
S371a	Land south of Unwin Road, Sutton	2.0	45	G	A A	N/ A	N/ A	N/A	N/ A	Suitability Only a small part of the site is suitable (a small section of the site to the north has outline planning permission for 18 dwellings). The remainder of the site is in use as a sports pitch. The Playing Pitch Strategy identifies that there is a requirement to retain the pitch for sports use. As such, the site would not be suitable for further residential development. Development may be suitable where adequate replacement is provided or where it would make a significant improvement to existing open space. <u>Availability</u> The landowner has indicated that the site is available for development. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 Positive Impacts The site is anticipated to deliver a number of dwellings and is of sufficient size to contribute towards affordable housing and other infrastructure requirements. The site is located within the main urban area with access to a bus stop and to a primary school on Unwin Road. As such the site is reasonably accessible to services and provides opportunities for residents to access facilities while reducing the use of the car. It also is anticipate to have a positive impact in terms of promoting social inclusion Minor impact positive impact on the town centre of Sutton in Ashfield Negative Impacts The site is a recreation ground which, other than the frontage, provides opportunities for leisure activities, which will have a significant positive influence in terms of healthy lifestyles. The site is subject to some surface water flooding although this could be mitigated through the use of SuDS. 	Highway / access Access could be taken from Unwin Road <u>Topography</u> there are no topographical constraints across the site. <u>Neighbour</u> the site lies adjacent to water treatment works <u>Flood Risk</u> Surface water flooding on site. <u>Contamination</u> There are no known contamination issues on the site	<u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The northern edge of the site (S371) has outline planning permission for 18 dwellings. However, the remainder of the site is a functioning sports pitch with associated pavilion. Whilst the sites lies within the existing urban area, with good access to some services, the Council believes its existing uses carry sufficient community benefit in terms of sports, health and amenity to justify its retention. The District's Playing Pitch Strategy supports this view, highlighting a requirement to retain the sports pitches. A reduction to the area surrounding the sports pitches is not considered to be appropriate. This approach is also advocated by Sport England, a statutory consultee of the Local Plan.

Alloc		Area	No. [Gree	Green Belt Score				
	Re	(ha)	Dwellings	nfield / Brownfield		Total Score Preserve historic settlements	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrain

Allo		Area	No.	Gree	(Gree	en Bel Scor	t Revie es	W				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	ı (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
S380	Silverhill Lane		50	G	N / A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Suitability The site, which is designated countryside, is well contained by landscape features. However, this settlement is quite isolated and has poor access to services and facilities – the Greater Nottingham and Ashfield Accessibility Study indicates that it is the least sustainable settlement in the district. With regard to the landscape, the site scores relatively well in terms of capacity to accommodate development (2 out of 3 points). <u>Availability</u> The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 Positive Impacts The site is anticipated to deliver 65 dwellings Affordable housing provision Access to the rights of way network; Adjoins a large open space It is also expected to have a minor positive impact on the town centre of Sutton in Ashfield Negative Impacts The site is current farm land (Grade 4) and is a greenfield site. From a landscape perspective it is identified as having a moderate impact if development mirrored the row of cottages to the west of the site with new build set at the same distance from the road and extending the same length back in terms of rear gardens. Other development not in keeping with the existing housing would create a high impact This site is adjacent to the approach to Teversal village and conservation area along Pleasley Road. While the site does not directly affect the immediate setting of the conservation area has the potential to encroach on the rural character of the wider setting of Teversal and thus the experience of how the village is approached. The site is subject to surface water flooding but it is anticipated that the surface water flooding can be mitigated through the incorporation of an appropriate designed SuDS scheme. 	Highway / access No issues identified <u>Topography</u> No issues identified <u>Neighbour</u> Adjacent to a large electricity sub station <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzones 2 & 3 <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination. <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 4 (Poor) <u>Built Heritage</u> Site within close proximity to Teversal Conservation Area.	ConclusionThe Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan.Whilst this is a well contained site, it is located within designated countryside in an area which is not well served by existing services and facilities. Whilst the site does have good access to open space and a limited bus service, development would not be as sustainable in this location and would result in the reliance of private vehicles for transport.This site is adjacent to the approach to Teversal village and conservation area along Pleasley Road. While the site does not directly affect the immediate setting of the conservation area development at these sites has potential to encroach on the rural character of the wider setting of Teversal and thus how the experience of how the village is approached. The NPPF defines setting and identifies that experience forms part of understanding setting. Insensitive access points and substantial loss of tree screening would be harmful to the rural character of the setting of the conservation area but potentially could be mitigated against.The Landscape Character Assessment indicates that development of the whole site would have a high landscape impact 'Capacity to Accommodate Development - Score 2 Medium if development mirrored the row of cottages to the west of the site with new build set at the same distance from the road and extending the same length back in terms of rear gardens. Other development not in keeping with the existing housing would create a high impact.'

Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	(Green Be Sc	elt Revi ores	ew			
ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	ncroachme revent mer	Preserve historic settlements Safeguard from	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrair

SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	Area (ha)	No. Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl		Belt Score Safeguard from	Revie Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
S385 & S405	Peveril Drive and Charnwood Street, Sutton		100	G	N / A	N/ A	N/ A	N/A	N/ A	Suitability The site is designated as formal open space and located in the main urban area. Development of the site would form a logical infill within the urban area and there is good access to services and facilities in the area. However, the site is allocated as a formal open space and contains a playing pitch. The Playing Pitch Strategy is seeking to retain all playing pitches. There are also access constraints – third party land would be required. <u>Availability</u> The landowner has indicated that development could be delivered within 5 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Potential to accommodate approximately 56 dwellings Potential to provide additional affordable housing Site is within 800m / 10 mins walk of a bus stop, primary school and a cash machine / Post Office Development will help support local economy and Sutton town centre Potential for improved recreation facilities at Rookery Park (adjacent to the site) Potential for improved play pitch provision within Sutton in Ashfield and Kirkby in Ashfield <u>Megative Impacts</u> Would result in the loss of a Greenfield site Loss of a formal open space 	Highway / access Third party land required to form an access into the site. Topography No issues Neighbour Adjacent to a landfill site Flood Risk Ouside Floodzone 2 & 3 Contamination Contamination Suspected Testing of soils for full range of analytes including pesticides and aesbestos fibres required. Special consideration for ground gas along western boundary necessary.	Conclusion The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. Development of the site is not considered appropriate as it would result in the loss of a formal open space. The Council believes it is important to protect public access to open space to ensure the recreational needs of local residents are met.

Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	Gr	een Belt Score		W			
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	estric	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrain

SHL/ Alloc		Area	No. E	Gree		Gree	en Bel Scor	t Revie res	W				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
K26	Penny Emma Way, Kirkby	0.66	10	G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Suitability The site forms a natural green break between Sutton in Ashfield and Kirkby in Ashfield and development is currently constrained by policy RC2 of ALPR, 2002 (designated Open Area). Whilst the site is within the urban boundary, it is quite open in character and only adjoins residential development to one side. Opposite the site are large industrial buildings. The site would not form a logical residential extension due to the lack of containment and the industrial character of the adjacent area. The site is also very narrow which would make it difficult to develop. <u>Availability</u> The land owner has indicated that the site is available. There are no significant access constraints. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> The site would deliver approximately 10 new dwellings. Good access to a bus service and the railway station. Positive effect on health and social inclusion. There are opportunities to improve the GI network. Development would support town centre regeneration. Development growth and the local economy. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Poor access to Primary School and GP. Loss of Greenfield land. Moderate impact on the landscape. 	Highway / access No issues identified <u>Topography</u> No issues identified <u>Neighbour</u> Large industrial estate is opposite <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzone 2 & 3 <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination	Conclusion The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. This is predominantly an industrial area and residential development would be out of character and not well integrated. It would not form a logical extension to the urban area because it is a very narrow plot which is separate from Kirkby Hardwick. The site is not well contained and is located within designated Open Area which has poor access to services and facilities.

Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	Green Bel Scor		w			
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging Unrestricted sprawl	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrair

SHLAA Allocati		Area	No.	Gree		Green Belt Score		w				
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
K79 (part – south section of site)	Mowlands	27		G					Suitability The site is designated countryside and is currently unsuitable for residential development. The site is adjacent to Kirkby Cross Conservation Area which contains a scheduled ancient monument and listed buildings. Development could adversely affect the character of the conservation area. There is a ridgeline within the site and part of the site is very prominent within the wider landscape setting. This area has scored the highest for capacity to accommodate development in the Landscape Study. Access to the site is currently restricted but this could potentially be mitigated as a new access road from the A38 has been proposed by the developer/landowner. <u>Availability</u> The landowner has indicated that the site is available. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Potential to provide a large scale major housing development. Site is within 500m of Natural Open Space, and has the capacity to provide on-site green infrastructure enhancement. Development could provide affordable housing contributions Development will help support the local economy. Development will support Sutton & Kirkby Town Centres. Negative Impacts High landscape impact. Loss of Grade 2 agricultural land. The site lies adjacent to part of Kirkby Cross Conservation Area. Poor access to services and facilities 	Highway / access Highway constraints identified through the planning process. <u>Topography</u> Steeply sloping, undulating landscape, with strong ridgelines towards the north of the site. <u>Neighbouring Issues</u> None identified <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzones 2 & 3. <u>Contamination</u> No Known Contamination <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 2 (Very good)	Conclusion The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. Evidence in the Housing Options Spatial Approach paper indicates that the whole of the Mowlands site cannot be delivered within the 15 year Plan period. It has been determined that it could deliver a maximum of approximately 900 dwellings, which the northern site has the potential to approximately accommodate. In addition to this, development on land to the south of the site would have an adverse effect on the landscape south of Boar Hill. The landscape assessment for this area indicates that the effect on the landscape would be high, resulting in a total or major alteration to key elements, features or characteristics of the local or wider landscape resource, so that post development the baseline situation will be fundamentally changed. The southern element if the site is located on the western fringe of Kirkby with poor access to services and facilities.

Alloc	SHL		Area	No. D	Gree	C	Green Be Sco		€W			
ation Ref.	A Ref./	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	ts his	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrair

SHLAA Allocati		Area	No. E	Gree		Gree	n Beli Scor	Revie es	w				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
K116	Millers Way, Kirkby in Ashfield	1.37	49	G	N / A	A	N/ A	N/A	N/ A	Suitability The site is in use as a playing pitch and is allocated in the ALPR (2002) as a formal open space. The Playing Pitch Strategy recommends that the district should retain all playing pitches and the Green Space Strategy recommends that all adopted formal open spaces should be retained. As such development would not be permitted on this site unless adequate replacement provision is provided. <u>Availability</u> The landowner has indicated that the site is available for development. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Potential to provide approximately 55 dwellings. Site is within 800 m or 10 minutes walk of a bus stop, train station, primary school, GP surgery and a post office/cash machine. Site is within 400m of Kirkby town centre Site is within 500m of Natural Open Space. Development could provide additional affordable housing contributions Development will help support the local economy. Development will support Kirkby Town Centre. 	Highway / accessAccess via Millers Way may be suitabledepending on the scale of development.TopographyNo issues identifiedNeighbourNo issues identifiedFlood RiskOutside Floodzone 2 & 3ContaminationNo Known Contamination - Adjacenthistoric landfill; Quarry Face With Pond toSouth East & Former Colliery Spoil Tip toNorth. Site is also entirely within 250metre landfill buffer.Natural FeaturesTree Preservation Order on the easternboundary of the site.	<u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site is a functioning sports pitch with associated pavilion. Whilst the sites lies within the existing urban area, with good access to some services, the Council believes its existing uses within the urban area of Kirkby carries sufficient community benefit in terms of sports, health and amenity, to justify its retention. The District's Playing Pitch Strategy supports this view, highlighting a requirement to retain the sports pitch. This recommendation is mirrored by the District's Green Space Strategy. Sport England, a statutory consultee, also advocate this approach.
K382	Annesley Miners Welfare, Derby Road, Annesley Woodhouse	2.42	54	G	N / A	N/ A	N/ A	N/A	N/ A	Suitability The site is located within the urban boundary in Annesley Woodhouse. It is currently designated open space and has previously been used for senior level football. Policy RC3 of the Ashfield Local Plan Review (2002) seeks to retain open spaces. The 2013 Ashfield Playing Pitch Strategy also seeks to retain playing pitches within the District. <u>Availability</u> The landowner has indicated that the site is available for development. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Potential to accommodate up to 63 dwellings. Site is within 200m of accessible open space. Good access to services including a primary school, Bus stop and post office/cash machine. Development will help support employment/economy. Partial re-development of brownfield land Development will support Ashfield's Town Centres. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of open space Development of greenfield land Moderate impact on landscape Minor surface water issues. 	Highway / access Severe access constraints. The Highway Authority has indicated that access should be taken from Forest Road. This would require third party land. <u>Topography</u> No constraints. <u>Neighbour</u> No constraints <u>Flood Risk</u> No constraints <u>Contamination</u> No constraints <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> No constraints <u>Infrastructure/Services</u> Underground fibre optic cables located on Derby Road (entrance to site).	Conclusion The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site is located within Annesley Woodhouse adjacent to a residential area. It has been vacant for a number of years. Part of the site is a sports pitch. The Council's Sports Pitch Strategy recommends no loss of sports pitches, which is an approach advocated by Sport England. There are also severe highway constraints in relation to access. The Highway Authority has indicated that the site should be accessed via Forest Road. The landowner has not agreed with this approach and is seeking to pursue access via Derby Road which is a major strategic route. This approach does not accord with the Highway Authority's policy on the Strategic Road Network (SRN) i.e. development should not be served from SRNs.

SHL		Area	No.	Gree	Gree	n Belt Score		w			
AA Ref./Housing	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	nfield / Brownfield	Prevent merging Unrestricted sprawl	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraiı

Alternative Housing Sites Not Allocated – Rurals

V9	Green Crescent, Selston	2.4	65	G	3	1	4		2 1	 <u>Suitability</u> The site directly adjoins the settlement boundary in Selston. It is in Green Belt and is currently unsuitable due to policy constraints. Development of the site would be severely constrained by poor access from the public highway. Third party land would be required to form a suitable access road into the site and this would be dependent on the consent of the landowners. Given that there are multiple landowners, it is unlikely that this could be easily resolved. <u>Availability</u> The landowners have indicated that the sites are available. However, given the access constraints, it is unknown when development could be delivered. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable. 	 Potential to deliver 25% affordable housing. Development will help support local economy/employment. Development will support Ashfield's town centres. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of greenfield land Within a minerals safeguarded area: coal measures Moderate Landscape impact 	Highway / access There are severe access constraints; the site currently does not have access to the public highway. Third party land would be required to form a suitable access road into the site. <u>Topography</u> Gently sloping from north to south. <u>Neighbour</u> No issues identified <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzone 2 & 3 <u>Contamination</u> Contamination Suspected Small part of North West edge is former tramway. <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 4 (Poor)	Conclusion The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to understand the contribution sites adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt (as set out in the NPPF). The site scored 10 out of a maximum 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing requirements); the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate ' <i>exceptional</i> <i>circumstance</i> '. Furthermore, there are severe access constraints which would require third party land. It is unclear how this could be mitigated and this brings into question the deliverability of development.
----	-------------------------------	-----	----	---	---	---	---	--	-----	--	--	---	---

ints Conclusion

Alloc	SHL		Area	No. I	Gree	Gre	en Bel Sco	t Revie res	€W			
n Ref.	AA Ref./Housing	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	egua roach	et re	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrain

SHLAA Allocati		Area	No. E	Gree		Green Belt Scor		w				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	reenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
V10	Alfreton Road, Selston	0.54	15	G	4	2 4	1	11	SuitabilityWhilst the site adjoins the settlement boundary of Selston, the majority of the site is currently in Green Belt and development would be contrary to Green Belt policy.Availability The landowners have indicated that the sites are available.Achievability Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Potential to accommodate up to 15 dwellings. Site is within 800m or 10 minutes walking distance of a bus stop and cash machine or post office. Potential to deliver additional affordable housing. Development would help support local economy/employment. Development would support Ashfield's town centres. Negative Impacts Loss of greenfield land Within a minerals safeguarded area: coal measures Moderate landscape impact 	Highway / access No issues identified <u>Topography</u> No issues identified <u>Neighbour</u> No issues identified <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzone 2 & 3 <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 4 (Poor)	<u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to understand the contribution sites adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt (as set out in the NPPF). The site scored 11 out of a maximum 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing requirements); the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate ' <i>exceptional</i> <i>circumstance</i> '.

Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	Green Belt Re Scores	view			
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	attlements afeguard from ncroachment revent mergir nrestricted spi	Total Score Preserve historic	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrai

SHLAA Allocati		Area	No. E	Gree	G		Belt Rocores	eview				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	encroachment Prevent merging	Safeguard from	Total Score Preserve historic	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
V12	Jacksdale Garden Centre, Main Road, Jacksdale	1.77	48	B	2	1 1		1 5	SuitabilityThe site is in Green Belt and is unsuitable for development due to Green Belt Policy. With regard to physical constraints, access from the current entrance is unsuitable. In order to ensure highway standards are met, access from the public highway would require the acquisition of third party land. Further investigations would also be required relating to drainage due to flooding from the site into adjacent properties. Development would also result in a loss of employment if the business closes.Availability The landowner has indicated that the site is available for development. However, the access constraints would restrict the delivery of development.Achievability Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Potential to accommodate up to 48 dwellings. Site is within 200m of accessible open space and 800m of a GP, primary school, bus stop and cash machine/post office. Potential to deliver 25% affordable housing. Re-development of brownfield land. Development will help support local economy. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Within a minerals safeguarded area: coal measures Potential loss of employment Medium landscape impact, but capacity to accommodate development Low (lowest level of impact on landscape) 	Highway / access Significant Constraints Access from the existing entrance is substandard. Development would require third party land. Topography No issues identified Neighbour No issues identified. Flood Risk No Known Issues. Further investigations required - adjacent properties have been affected by flooding from the site. Outside Floodzones 2 & 3 Contamination Contamination Suspected Entirely former plant nursery. Agricultural Land Quality Grade 4 (Poor)	 <u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site is in use as a Garden Centre. Development would result in a loss of employment if the business closes and this would impact on the local economy. There are access constraints and third party land would be required to form a suitable access route. It is unclear how this can be resolved. Whilst it is acknowledged that the site scores quite low in terms of meeting the five purposes of the Green Belt, the larger sites taken forward provide greater opportunity for wider benefits for the community. They also have better access to services and facilities (schools, GP, Leisure centre, library etc.). Also, it would not result in the loss of employment. Taking this into consideration, there are no exceptional circumstances for Green Belt release.

SHLA		Area	No. D	Gree	Green Bel Sco		N			
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Sateguard trom encroachment Prevent merging Unrestricted sprawl	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrair

SHL/ Alloc		Area	No. E	Gree	Gre	en Belt Revi Scores	ew				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Preserve historic settlements Safeguard from encroachment	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
V18	Church Lane, Underwood	0.44	10	G	1 1	5 1	8	SuitabilityThe site is in Green Belt and is currently unsuitable. The site is well contained with residential development to three sides.An ecology assessment would be required to determine if there are any protected species on the site.A hedgerow bounds the site on Church Lane.This is a registered landfill site which would require further assessment and remediation works prior to any development taking place.Availability The landowner has indicated that the site is available for residential development. There is developer interest in the site.Achievability Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Potential to accommodate up to 10 dwellings. Site is within 500m of accessible open space and 800m of a GP Good access to a Bus stop. Potential to deliver 25% affordable housing. Development will help support employment/economy. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Development of greenfield land Moderate impact on landscape Within a minerals safeguarded area: coal measures 	Highway / access No constraints <u>Topography</u> No constraints <u>Neighbour</u> No constraints <u>Flood Risk</u> No constraints <u>Contamination</u> Registered Land Fill site. Further investigations required. <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 4 (poor)	Conclusion The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to understand the contribution sites adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 8 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate ' <i>exceptional</i> <i>circumstance</i> '. The larger sites taken forward provide greater opportunity for wider benefits for the community. They also have better access to services and facilities (schools, GP, Leisure centre, library etc.)

SHL/ Alloc		Area	No. [Gree	Gr	een Beli Scor		W			
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	nfield / Brownfield	nrestric	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrair

Alloc		Area	No. E	Gree	G	Gree	n Belt Score		w				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
V19	Felley Mill Lane, Underwood	0.7	18	G	3	1	5	1	10	SuitabilityThe site is located in the Green Beltand adjoins the settlement boundaryof Underwood. As such, developmentof this site would be contrary toGreen Belt Policy.The site is bound by a maturehedgerow to all sides, and adjoinsresidential properties to one side.Historic landfill site (sandpit) - furtherinvestigations required.AvailabilityThe landowner has indicated that thesite is available for residentialdevelopment.AchievabilityBased on whole Plan viabilityevidence, development is consideredto be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Potential to accommodate up to 18 dwellings. Site is within 500m of accessible open space and 800m of a GP Surgery. Good access to a Bus stop. Potential to deliver 25% affordable housing. Development will help support employment/economy. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Development of greenfield land Medium overall landscape impact Within a minerals safeguarded area: coal measures 	Highway / access No constraints <u>Topography</u> No constraints <u>Neighbour</u> No constraints <u>Flood Risk</u> No constraints <u>Contamination</u> Contamination suspected. Further investigations required at a later stage if taken forward. <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 4 (poor)	Conclusion The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to understand the contribution sites adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 10 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate ' <i>exceptional</i> <i>circumstance</i> '. The larger sites taken forward provide greater opportunity for wider benefits for the community. They also have better access to services and facilities (schools, GP, Leisure centre, library etc.)
V20	Mansfield Road, Underwood	1.5	41	G	4	1	5	1	11	Suitability The site is in Green Belt and development of the site would be contrary to Policy. It is therefore unsuitable for development. Approximately 50% of the site is within the buffer zone of the adjacent SSSI. Natural England consultation required if proposed to be taken forward. The landscape is very open in character and views to the surrounding landscape can be experienced from Mansfield Road.Availability The landowner has indicated that the site is available for residential development.Achievability Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Potential to accommodate up to 41 dwellings. Site is within 200m of accessible open space and 800m of a GP and cash machine. Good access to a Bus stop. Potential to deliver 25% affordable housing. Development will help support employment/economy. <u>Negative Impacts</u> The site lies within the Impact Risk Zone for the adjacent Friezeland grassland SSSI. Development of greenfield land Moderate impact on landscape Within a minerals safeguarded area: coal measures 	Highway / access No constraints <u>Topography</u> No constraints <u>Neighbour</u> No constraints <u>Flood Risk</u> No constraints <u>Contamination</u> No known constraints <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 4 (poor)	<u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to understand the contribution sites adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 11 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate ' <i>exceptional</i> <i>circumstance</i> '. The larger sites taken forward provide greater opportunity for wider benefits for the community. They also have better access to services and facilities (schools, GP, Leisure centre, library etc.)

Alloc		Area	No. I	Gree	Green Belt Score		1			
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	nfield / Brownfield	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging Unrestricted sprawl	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrair

Allo		Area	No.	Gree	G	reen Be Sco	It Revie res	ew				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield		Prevent merging	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
V21	Main Road, Underwood	0.52	10	G	4	4 5	1	14	SuitabilityThe site is in Green Belt and development of the site would be contrary to Policy. It is therefore unsuitable for development.Suitability will be dependent on whether there are exceptional circumstances for Green Belt release.Availability The landowner has indicated that the site is available for residential development.Achievability Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Potential to accommodate approximately 10 dwellings. Site has good access to a GP and primary school Access to a Bus stop. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Development of greenfield land Medium overall landscape impact 	Highway / access No constraints <u>Topography</u> No constraints <u>Neighbour</u> No constraints <u>Flood Risk</u> No constraints <u>Contamination</u> No known constraints <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 4 (poor)	ConclusionThe Council considers that this siteshould not be taken forward as anallocation within the Local Pan.The site's primary constraint is itsGreen Belt designation. In response toparagraph 83 of the NPPF, the Councilhas undertaken a Green Belt Reviewto understand the contribution sitesadjacent to the urban area make to the5 purposes of Green Belt. The sitescores 14 out of 20. Because thereare sites which score lower in GreenBelt terms, (sufficient to fulfil theDistrict's housing requirements) whichare considered to be more suitable; theCouncil does not believe it has thegrounds to demonstrate 'exceptionalcircumstance'.
V85	Stoney Lane, Selston	0.76	23	G	2	4 5	1	12	SuitabilityThe site is located in the Green Belt, adjacent to the settlement boundary of Selston. Development is contrary to Green Belt policy. 	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> The site would increase the number of new dwellings (approx. 23). It has the potential to increase the number of affordable homes. The site lies within 500m of natural open space. Site is within walking distance/800 metres of a bus stop and primary school. Development would support town centre regeneration. Development would support employment growth and the local economy. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of Greenfield Land. High impact on the landscape. 	Highway / access No constraints <u>Topography</u> No constraints <u>Neighbour</u> No constraints <u>Flood Risk</u> No constraints <u>Contamination</u> No known constraints <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 3 (good)	ConclusionThe Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan.The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to understand the contribution sites adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 12 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate 'exceptional circumstance'.

Alloc	SHL		Area	No. I	Gree	0	Green Bel Sco		W			
on Ref.	AA Ref./Housing	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrair

SHLAA Allocati		Area	No. [Gree	(Green Bel Scor		W				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
V89	Commonside Selston	0.77	10	G	4	4 4	1	13	Suitability The site is currently designated Green Belt and development would be contrary to policy.On-site flow attenuation would be required as any surface water drainage would run into Station Road where flooding already occurs during storms. This could have implications for properties on Station Road if not appropriately addressed. <u>Availability</u> The landowner has indicated that the site is available for residential development. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable. <u>Suitability</u> The site is currently designated Green Belt land and is unsuitable for development.The site is former Coal Authority land and there is a restrictive covenant.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> The site would increase the number of new dwellings (approx. 10). It has the potential to increase the number of affordable homes. The site lies within 500m of natural open space. Site is within walking distance/800 metres of a bus stop. Development would support employment growth and the local economy. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of Greenfield Land High impact on the landscape. <u>Positive Impacts</u> Potential to accommodate up to 17 dwellings. Site is within 800m or 10 minutes walking distance of a bus stop and cash machine or post office. 	Highway / access No constraintsTopography Sloping, undulating site. May have implications for development but would not prevent it.Neighbour No constraints.Flood Risk Drainage issues – water run off affects properties on Station Road.Contamination No known contamination No known contaminationAgricultural Land Quality Grade 4 (poor)Highway / access No constraintsTopography No constraints	ConclusionThe Council considers that this siteshould not be taken forward as anallocation within the Local Pan.The site's primary constraint is itsGreen Belt designation. In response toparagraph 83 of the NPPF, the Councilhas undertaken a Green Belt Reviewto understand the contribution sitesadjacent to the urban area make to the5 purposes of Green Belt. The sitescores 13 out of 20. Because thereare sites which score lower in GreenBelt terms, (sufficient to fulfil theDistrict's housing requirements) whichare considered to be more suitable; theCouncil does not believe it has thegrounds to demonstrate 'exceptionalcircumstance'.ConclusionThe Council considers that this siteshould not be taken forward as anallocation within the Local Pan.The site's primary constraint is its
									Highway improvements would be required if the site is taken forward. <u>Availability</u> The landowner has indicated that the site is available for residential development. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 Potential to deliver additional affordable housing. Development will help support local economy/employment. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of greenfield land Within a minerals safeguarded area: coal measures Moderate landscape impact 	Neighbour No constraints <u>Flood Risk</u> No constraints <u>Contamination</u> No known constraints <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 4 (poor)	Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to understand the contribution sites adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 10 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate ' <i>exceptional</i> <i>circumstance</i> '. The larger sites taken forward provide greater opportunity for wider benefits for the community. They also have better access to services and facilities (schools, GP, Leisure centre, library etc.)

Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	(Green Be Sco	lt Revi res	ew			
cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	ett re	Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrair

SHL		Area	No.	Gree	Ģ	Green Belt Scor		W				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	ı (ha)	Dwellings	reenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
V335	Stoney Lane, Selston	4.45	90	G	2	1 5	1	9	Suitability The site is in Green Belt and, as such, development is currently contrary to policy. There are several mine entries on the site mainly to the north. These areas would not be suitable for development. The southern section of the site has no major physical constraints. It adjoins another site put forward for consideration for housing development (V346, V347, V348). Availability The landowner has indicated that the site is available for residential development. Achievability Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Potential to accommodate up to 90 dwellings. Site is within 800m or 10 minutes walking distance of a primary school, cash machine/post office and bus stop. Potential to deliver 25% affordable housing. Development will help support local economy/employment. Development would support Ashfield's town centres. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of greenfield land Within a minerals safeguarded area: coal measures Moderate landscape impact 	Highway / access No constraints <u>Topography</u> No constraints <u>Neighbour</u> No constraints <u>Flood Risk</u> No constraints <u>Contamination</u> Shallow seams of coal may be present. Intrusive investigations would be required at a later stage in the planning process if taken forward. <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 3 (good)	Consultation The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to understand the contribution sites adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 9 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate ' <i>exceptional</i> <i>circumstance</i> '. Whilst it is acknowledged that the site scores similar to sites taken forward in terms of meeting the five purposes of the Green Belt, the site is heavily constrained by coal mine entries. This has reduced the developable area of the site quite considerably. The site on Park Lane has good access to services and facilities and development would be easier to deliver as the physical constraints are much less severe.

SHLAA Ref./ Allocation R		Area	No. I	Gree	G	reen Belt Score		W			
AA Re ation	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	nfield / Brownfield	estricted sp	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrair

SHLAA Allocati		Area	No.	Gree	G	ireen E So	Belt F		w				
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	ı (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	encroachment Prevent merging	Safeguard from	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
V342	Cherry Hall Farm, Hanstubbin Road, Selston	0.9	28	G	2	1 3		1	7	SuitabilityThe site is in Green Belt and is currently unsuitable for residential development as it would be contrary to policy.The site is well connected to the existing settlement of Selston and is well contained to all sides having residential development to the north, west and south and raised landscape to the east. There are highways constraints which could potentially be mitigated.Availability The landowner has indicated that the site is available for residential development.Achievability Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Potential to accommodate up to 28 dwellings. Site is within 500m of accessible open space and 800m of a GP, primary school, cash machine, bus stop. Potential to deliver 25% affordable housing. Development will help support local economy/employment. Development would support Ashfield's town centres. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of greenfield land Within a minerals safeguarded area: coal measures Moderate landscape impact 	Highway / access Access constraint. Third party land may be required. <u>Topography</u> No constraints. <u>Neighbour</u> No constraints. <u>Flood Risk</u> No constraints. <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination. <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Unknown	Conclusion The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to understand the contribution sites adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 7 out of 20. Because there are sites which score similar in Green Belt terms, (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate ' <i>exceptional</i> <i>circumstance'</i> . The larger sites taken forward provide greater opportunity for wider benefits for the community.
V343	Inkerman Street, Selston	0.36	11	G	2	1 3		1	7	<u>Suitability</u> The site is in Green Belt and is currently unsuitable for residential development as it would be contrary to policy. No suitable access, third party land required. <u>Availability</u> The landowner has indicated that the site is available for residential development. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 Positive Impacts Potential to accommodate up to 11 dwellings. Site is within 500m of accessible open space and 800m of a GP, primary school, cash machine, bus stop. Potential to deliver 25% affordable housing. Development will help support local economy/employment. Development will support Ashfield's town centres. Negative Impacts Loss of greenfield land Within a minerals safeguarded area: coal measures Moderate landscape impact 	Highway / accessAccess constraint. Third party land may be required.Topography No constraints.Neighbour No constraints.Flood Risk No constraints.Contamination No known contamination.Agricultural Land Quality Unknown	Conclusion The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to understand the contribution sites adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 7 out of 20. Because there are sites which score similar in Green Belt terms, (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate ' <i>exceptional</i> <i>circumstance</i> '. The larger sites taken forward provide greater opportunity for wider benefits for the community.

SHLAA Ref./Ho Allocation Ref.		Area (ha)	No. Dwellings	Greenfield / Bro	Unrestricte	Prevent	en Belt Scor Safeguard	Preserve settlemer	► Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrain
using				ownfield	ed sprawl	merging	d from ment	historic nts	Ċ			
V365a & V365b	Oak Tree Farm, Main Road, Underwood	4.4	99	G	4	1	5	1	11	Suitability The site is in Green Belt and development is contrary to planning policy.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Potential to accommodate up to 100 dwellings. Site is within 500m of accessible 	<u>Highway / access</u> No constraints <u>Topography</u>

SHL/ Alloc		Area	No. E	Gree	G	reen Belt Revie Scores	ew				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Preserve historic settlements Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
V365a & V365b	Oak Tree Farm, Main Road, Underwood	4.4	99	G	4	1 5 1	11	SuitabilityThe site is in Green Belt and development is contrary to planning policy.There are no major physical constraints.AvailabilityThe landowner has indicated that the site is available for residential development.Achievability The whole plan viability report indicates that development is likely to be viable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Potential to accommodate up to 100 dwellings. Site is within 500m of accessible open space and 800m of a GP, primary school, bus stop. Potential to deliver 25% affordable housing. Development would help support local economy/employment. Development would support Ashfield's town centres. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of greenfield land Within a minerals safeguarded area: coal measures Landscape impact 	Highway / access No constraints <u>Topography</u> No constraints <u>Neighbour</u> No constraints <u>Flood Risk</u> No constraints <u>Contamination</u> Contamination suspected. Further investigations would be required if taken forward. <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 4 (poor)	ConclusionThe Council considers that this siteshould not be taken forward as anallocation within the Local Pan.The site's primary constraint is itsGreen Belt designation. In response toparagraph 83 of the NPPF, the Councilhas undertaken a Green Belt Reviewto understand the contribution sitesadjacent to the urban area make to the5 purposes of Green Belt. The sitescores 11 out of 20. Because thereare sites which score lower in GreenBelt terms, (sufficient to fulfil theDistrict's housing requirements) whichare considered to be more suitable; theCouncil does not believe it has thegrounds to demonstrate 'exceptionalcircumstance'. The larger sites takenforward provide greater opportunity forwider benefits for the community. Theyalso have better access to servicesand facilities (schools, GP, Leisurecentre, library etc.)

SHL/ Alloc		Area	No. D	Gree	Green Belt Score				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging Unrestricted sprawl	Total Score Preserve historic settlements	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrain

SHL, Alloc		Area	No. I	Gree	C	Green Be Sco		ew				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
V366	Hall Green Farm, Stoney Lane, Selston	21.5	480	G	2	4 5	1	12	Suitability The site is in Green Belt and directly adjoins the settlement boundary of Selston. Development is unsuitable for this location as it would be contrary to Green Belt policy. The site is accessed via Stoney Lane which is a narrow, winding country lane. There are significant highway constraints and the upgrading of Stoney Lane would be required as part of any future scheme. It is unknown if this could feasibly be achieved.Availability The landowner has indicated that the site is available for residential development.Achievability The whole plan viability report indicates that development is likely to 	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> The site would significantly increase the number of new dwellings (approx. 480). It has the potential to increase the number of affordable homes. The site lies within 500m of natural open space. Development would support town centre regeneration. Development would support employment growth and the local economy. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of Greenfield Land. Poor access to services and facilities (GP, schools, shops, bus stop, formal open space) High impact on the landscape. Within a SSSI impact risk zone 	Highway / access Significant highway constraints – upgrading of Stoney Lane, 2 points of access required. <u>Topography</u> Undulating site with ridgelines to the northern section of the farm. <u>Neighbour</u> No issues identified. <u>Flood Risk</u> Surface water flooding affecting the highway to the north eastern corner of the site. Outside Floodzones 2 & 3 <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 3 (Good-moderate) Parts of the site are Grade 4 (Poor)	<u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to understand the contribution sites adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 12 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate ' <i>exceptional</i> <i>circumstance</i> '.

SHLA		Area	No. [Gree	G	Freen Belt Score		w			
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrai

SHL		Area	No. E	Gree		Gree	n Belt Scor		W				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
V367	Poplar Terrace, Selston	3.6	99	G	3		4	2	10	SuitabilityThe site directly adjoins the settlement boundary in Selston. It is in Green Belt and is currently unsuitable due to policy constraints. Development of the site would be severely constrained by poor access from the public highway. Third party land would be required to form a suitable access road into the site and this would be dependent on the consent of the landowners. Given that there are multiple landowners, it is unlikely that this could be easily resolved.Availability The landowners have indicated that the sites are available. However, given the access constraints, it is unknown when development could be delivered.Achievability 	 Positive Impacts Potential to accommodate up to 34 dwellings. Site is within 500m of accessible open space and 800m of a GP, primary school, cash machine, bus stop. Potential to deliver 25% affordable housing. Development will help support local economy/employment. Development will support Ashfield's town centres. Negative Impacts Loss of greenfield land Within a minerals safeguarded area: coal measures Moderate Landscape impact 	Highway / access Currently no access to the public highway - an area of land in multiple ownership would be required to establish a suitable access point. <u>Topography</u> No issues identified. <u>Neighbour</u> No issues identified <u>Flood Risk</u> Outside Floodzone 2 & 3 <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination. Agricultural Land Quality Grade 4 (Poor)	 <u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to understand the contribution sites adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 10 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate '<i>exceptional circumstance</i>'. Whilst it is acknowledged that the site scores similar to sites taken forward in terms of meeting the five purposes of the Green Belt, the two sites being taken forward provide more certainty with regard to the delivery of development due to the fact that they have less severe physical constraints in terms of access to the public highway.

SHLA Alloc:		Area	No. D	Gree	(n Belt Score		w			
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Owellings	nfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrain
V388	Wagstaff	8.5	191	G	4	4	5	1	14	Suitability	Positive Impacts	Highway / access

SHL		Area	No. [Gree	(n Belt Score	Revie es	w				
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
V388	Wagstaff Lane/ Palmerston Street	8.5	191	G	4	4	5	1	14	SuitabilityThe site is located adjacent to the settlement of Jacksdale and is currently designated Green Belt land. As such, it is currently unsuitable for residential development. The site has no access constraints and has access to services and facilities.Availability The site could be available in 5-10 years.Achievability Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Potential to accommodate up to 191 dwellings. Site is within 500m of accessible open space and 800m of a, primary school, bus stop. Potential to deliver 25% affordable housing. Development will help support local economy/employment. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of greenfield land. Within a minerals safeguarded area: coal measures. Moderate landscape impact. Locally listed heritage building next to site. 	Highway / access No issues identifiedTopography The site is gently sloping from north (highest point) to south.Neighbour No issues identifiedFlood Risk Outside Floodzones 2 & 3.Contamination No known contaminationAgricultural Land Quality Grade 4 (Poor)	ConclusionThe Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan.The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to understand the contribution sites adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 14 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate 'exceptional circumstance'.

Alloo		Area	No.	Gree	Green Belt Review Scores			
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	nfield / Brownfield	Total Score Preserve historic settlements Safeguard from encroachment Prevent merging Unrestricted sprawl	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrair

Alternative Housing Sites Not Allocated – Hucknall

SHLAA		Area (ha)	No. E	Gree Brow		Gre	en Be Sco	lt Revie res	ew				
AA Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield (G) / Brownfield (B)	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
H1	North of Wood Lane	0.52	16	G	2	1	3	1	7	Suitability The site is in Green Belt and directly adjoins Hucknall. Development is unsuitable as it would be contrary to Green Belt policy Suitability is also constrained by a lack of highway access with no obvious mitigation. Availability The site is available – beyond 5 years. Achievability Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Increase number of new dwellings. Good access to key services and facilities. Good access to open green spaces. Improvement in health and social inclusion. No loss of designated wildlife sites. No impact on heritage assets. Supports regeneration of Hucknall Town Centre. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of Greenfield land and BMV agricultural land. The land has mature trees and hedgerows surrounding it and several TPOs to the south. Moderate Landscape Impact 	Highways / Access Highways assessment suggests insufficient capacity for proposed level of development, with no apparent possibility for mitigation. Topography No issues identified Neighbour No issues identified Flood Risk Contamination No Known contamination Agricultural Land Quality Grade 3 (Good-moderate)	Conclusion The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. One of the site's primary constraints is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to understand the contribution sites adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 7 out of 20. Whilst this site scores similar in Green Belt terms to the sites chosen to be allocated for housing, it cannot be accessed from the public highway and would require major infrastructure improvements, which will potentially impact on viability and timescale for development. Furthermore, it is considered that there are sites (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing requirements) which are more suitable. *Also see conclusion of H83.
H2	Common Lane, Hucknall	30.0	150	G	5 3 5	2 1 3	4 5 5	1 1 1	12 10 14	Suitability The site is severely topographically constrained; the landscape raises from the urban area and is steeply sloping and highly prominent. Additionally the site is designated Greenbelt. Consequently the majority of the site is considered unsuitable. A small part of the site may be suitable (approx. 6.5 Ha) if Green Belt policy changes.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Increase number of new dwellings. Increase in the number of affordable homes. Good access to key services and facilities. Good access to open green spaces. Improvement in health and social inclusion. No loss of designated wildlife sites. 	Highways / AccessHighways assessment suggests sufficientcapacity for proposed level ofdevelopment - Potential for access offextensive Common Lane frontage.TopographySevere level changes throughout site.NeighbourNo issues identified.	Conclusion The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to understand the contribution sites adjacent to the urban area make to the

|--|

SHLAA Ref Allocation I	Area	No.	Gree	G	ireen Be Sco		iew					
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	a (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield		Prevent merging	settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
									Availability This site is available – beyond 5 years. Achievability Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 No impact on heritage assets. Supports regeneration of Hucknall Town Centre. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of Greenfield land. High Landscape Impact 	Flood Risk EA Maps suggest area at no risk from Flooding. Agricultural Land Quality Grade 3 (Good-moderate)	 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 10, 12 and 14 out of 20. Because there are sites which score lower in Green Belt terms, (sufficient to fulfil the District's housing requirements) which are considered to be more suitable; the Council does not believe it has the grounds to demonstrate 'exceptional circumstance'. Due to the size of this site, 3 separate Green Belt assessments have been undertaken. This is because the Green Belt assessment process identified sites using defined physical features such as roads, railways and woodlands. The Green Belt assessment sites may not necessarily be the same as the sites submitted for consideration to the SHLAA.
H4	Stubbing Wood Farm	8.73	197	G	2	1 5 1 5	1	9	Suitability The site is constrained by the site's Greenbelt status and highway works required to access the site. Consequently this site is considered currently unsuitable with any future suitability dependent on whether there are exceptional circumstances for Green Belt release and the mitigation of access constraints. Availability This site is available – beyond 5 years. Achievability Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Boost to housing supply, including affordable homes. Good access to open green spaces. Improvement in health and social inclusion. Supports regeneration of Hucknall Town Centre. Access to a bus stop. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of Greenfield land. Development would have a moderate impact on the Landscape. Grade 3 agricultural land. Surface water flooding to the south of the site. 	Highways / Access Highways assessment suggests major infrastructure works required, potentially impacting on viability and timescale for development - Access via Watnall Road could potentially be suitable subject to extensive infrastructure upgrades. <u>Topography</u> No issues identified. <u>Neighbour</u> No issues identified. <u>Flood Risk</u> EA Maps suggest area at no risk from flooding - The Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment for Ashfield identifies part of the site (North West of Conway Road) as having been subject to surface water flooding in the past. <u>Contamination</u> No issues identified. <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 3 (Good-moderate)	ConclusionThe Council considers that this siteshould not be taken forward as anallocation within the Local Pan.One of the site's primary constraint isits Green Belt designation. In responseto paragraph 83 of the NPPF, theCouncil has undertaken a Green BeltReview to understand the contributionsites adjacent to the urban area maketo the 5 purposes of Green Belt. Thesite scores 9 out of 20. Whilst this sitescores similar in Green Belt terms tothe site chosen to be allocated forhousing, it has severe accessconstraints. Whilst this could bemitigated, it would potentially impacton the timescale for development.Furthermore, it is considered that theproposed allocation at Broomhill Farmhas better access to services andfacilities as it is located closer toHucknall town centre and a range ofpublic transport services. It is alsocapable of accommodating a largerdevelopment (approximately 480dwellings in comparison with Site H4which can accommodate

SHL. Alloc		Area	No.	Gree	Gree	n Belt Score		w			
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	ı (ha)	Dwellings	pfield / Brownfield	Prevent merging Unrestricted sprawl	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrain

SHLAA Allocati		Area	No. E	Gree	G		n Belt F Score:		N				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
													approximately 200 dwellings). As such, Broomhill Farm extension can assist the Council in meeting the objectively assessed housing needs of the district. Due to the size of this site, 2 separate Green Belt assessments have been undertaken. This is because the Green Belt assessment process identified sites using defined physical features such as roads, railways and woodlands. The Green Belt assessment sites may not necessarily be the same as the sites submitted for consideration to the SHLAA.
H12	Charnwood Grove	2.83	76	G	2	1	3	1	7	Suitability The site is currently in Green Belt and is unsuitable. It is also Grade 2 agricultural land and would be limited to a maximum of 50 dwellings by access constraints. Consequently this site is considered currently unsuitable with any future suitability dependent on the outcome of any Green Belt review. <u>Availability</u> This site is available – beyond 5 years. <u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Increase number of new dwellings. Increase in the number of affordable homes. Good access to key services and facilities. Good access to open green spaces. Improvement in health and social inclusion. No loss of designated wildlife sites. No impact on heritage assets. Supports regeneration of Hucknall Town Centre. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of Greenfield land and BMV agricultural land. Moderate Landscape Impact 	Highways / Access No issues identified. <u>Topography</u> No issues identified. <u>Neighbour</u> No issues identified. <u>Flood Risk</u> EA Maps suggest area at no risk from Flooding. <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 2 (Very Good)	Conclusion The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. One of the site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to understand the contribution sites adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 7 out of 20. Whilst this site scores similar in Green Belt terms to the sites chosen to be allocated for housing, it is considered that access constraints would impact on the timescale for delivery of development and there is less certainty that the constraints could be mitigated. Furthermore, Broomhill Farm is considered to be a more suitable site as it forms a logical rounding off of the settlement and there is more certainty that access constraints can be mitigated. It can also significantly boost the housing supply. Also see conclusion of H83.
H14	Land at Forest View Drive	0.28	10	G	2	1	3	1	7	Suitability Suitability is constrained by the site's Green Belt status and its Grade 2 agricultural land status, Consequently this site is considered currently unsuitable.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Increase number of new dwellings. Good access to key services and facilities. Good access to open green spaces. 	Highways / Access Highways assessment suggests major infrastructure works required, potentially impacting on viability and timescale for development - Currently observed as only accessible from neighbouring site.	<u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to

Alloc		Area	No. [Gree	(Gree	n Belt Score	Reviev es	w				
AA Ref./Housing ation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
H15	Westholme, Forest View drive	1.87	64	G	2	1	3	1	7	Availability This site is available – beyond 5 years. <u>Achievability</u> Achievability is compromised by severe access constraints with no apparent possibility for mitigation. <u>Suitability</u> Suitability is constrained by the site's Green Belt status and its Grade 2 agricultural land status. Consequently this site is considered currently unsuitable.	 Increase in the number of affordable homes. Good access to key services and facilities. 	Extensive infrastructure works to the bypass would be required. Topography Minor topographical constraints – Gently sloping from South to North. Neighbour Slight adverse effects from adjacent occupiers or development of the site for housing - Adjacent to Bypass. Flood Risk EA Maps suggest area at no risk from flooding. Contamination No known contamination. Agricultural Land Quality Grade 2 (Very Good) Highways / Access Highways assessment suggests major infrastructure works required, potentially impacting on viability and timescale for development - Current observed access is very awkward; A narrow lane, directly accessed off A611 roundabout. Extensive	paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to understand the contribution sites adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 7 out of 20. Whilst this site scores similar in Green Belt terms to the sites chosen to be allocated for housing, it is considered that this site also requires major infrastructure requirements, which will potentially impact on timescale for development. A new access route would be required from the A611. This is not considered to be a feasible option as it is very unlikely that this could be achieved. Given the uncertainty with regard to the delivery of development and the fact that Broomhill Extension can significantly boost the housing supply, the site is not considered to be suitable to be taken forward as an allocation. Also see conclusion of H83. <u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan.
										Availability This site is available – beyond 5 years. Achievability Achievability is compromised by severe access constraints with no apparent possibility for mitigation.	 Good access to open green spaces. Improvement in health and social inclusion. No loss of designated wildlife sites. No impact on heritage assets. Supports regeneration of Hucknall Town Centre. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of Greenfield land and BMV agricultural land. Moderate Landscape Impact 	accessed on AoTTToundabout. Extensive infrastructure works to the bypass would be required. <u>Topography</u> Minor topographical constraints – Gently sloping from North down to South. <u>Neighbour</u> No issues identified. <u>Flood Risk</u> EA Maps suggest area at no risk from Flooding. <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination. <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 2 (Very Good)	The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to understand the contribution sites adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 7 out of 20. Whilst this site scores similar in Green Belt terms to the sites chosen to be allocated for housing, it is considered that this site also requires major infrastructure requirements, which will potentially impact on timescale for development. A new access route would be required from the A611. This is not considered to be a feasible option as it is very unlikely that this could be achieved. Given the uncertainty with regard to the delivery of development and the

SHL, Alloc		Area	No. I	Gree		Belt Revi cores	ew			
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	nfield / Brownfield	encroachment Prevent merging Unrestricted sprawl	his <u>nts</u>	otal Sco	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrair

SHLAA Allocati		Area	No.	Gree	G	ireen Be Sco	elt Re ores		/				
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	settlements Safeguard from	Preserve historic	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
H16	Forest View drive	0.07	2	G		1 3		1		Suitability Suitability is constrained by the site's Green Belt status and its Grade 2 agricultural land status. Consequently this site is considered currently unsuitable. <u>Availability</u> This site is available – beyond 5 years. <u>Achievability</u> Achievability is compromised by severe access constraints with no apparent possibility for mitigation.	Positive Impacts • Increase number of new dwellings. • Good access to key services and facilities. • Good access to open green spaces. • Improvement in health and social inclusion. • No loss of designated wildlife sites. • No impact on heritage assets. • Supports regeneration of Hucknall Town Centre. Negative Impacts • Loss of Greenfield land and BMV agricultural land. • Moderate Landscape Impact	Highways / Access Highways assessment suggests major infrastructure works required, potentially impacting on viability and timescale for development - Current observed access is very awkward; A narrow lane, directly accessed off A611 roundabout. Extensive infrastructure works to the bypass would be required. <u>Topography</u> No issues identified. <u>Neighbour</u> Slight adverse effects from adjacent occupiers or development of the site for housing - Adjacent to Bypass. <u>Flood Risk</u> EA Maps suggest area at no risk from Flooding. <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination. Agricultural Land Quality Grade 2 (Very Good)	fact that Broomhill Extension can significantly boost the housing supply, the site is not considered to be suitable to be taken forward as an allocation. <u>*Also see conclusion of H83.</u> <u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to understand the contribution sites adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 7 out of 20. Whilst this site scores similar in Green Belt terms to the sites chosen to be allocated for housing, it is considered that this site also requires major infrastructure requirements, which will potentially impact on timescale for development. A new access route would be required from the A611. This is not considered to be a feasible option as it is very unlikely that this could be achieved. Given the uncertainty with regard to the delivery of development and the fact that Broomhill Extension can significantly boost the housing supply, the site is not considered to be suitable to be taken forward as an allocation. *Also see conclusion of H83.
H17	Lynwood, Forest View Drive	0.02	7	G	2	1 3		1		Suitability Suitability is constrained by the site's Green Belt status and its Grade 2 agricultural land status. Consequently this site is considered currently unsuitable. <u>Availability</u> This site is available – beyond 5 years. <u>Achievability</u> Achievability is compromised by severe access constraints with no apparent possibility for mitigation.	 Positive Impacts Increase number of new dwellings. Good access to key services and facilities. Good access to open green spaces. Improvement in health and social inclusion. No loss of designated wildlife sites. No impact on heritage assets. Supports regeneration of Hucknall Town Centre. Negative Impacts 	Highways / Access Highways assessment suggests major infrastructure works required, potentially impacting on viability and timescale for development - Current observed access is very awkward; A narrow lane, directly accessed off A611 roundabout. Extensive infrastructure works to the bypass would be required. <u>Topography</u> No issues identified. <u>Neighbour</u>	Conclusion The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site's primary constraint is its Green Belt designation. In response to paragraph 83 of the NPPF, the Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review to understand the contribution sites adjacent to the urban area make to the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The site scores 7 out of 20. Whilst this site scores similar in Green Belt terms to the sites chosen to be allocated for

SHLAA Allocati		Area	No.	Gree	0	Green B Sc	elt F ore:		N				
AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	a (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	encroachment Prevent merging	Safeguard from	Preserve historic	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion
H24	Linby Road Former Allotments	0.68	24	G	N/A	N/ N/ A	/A	N/A	N/A	Suitability The site is currently allocated for housing in the Ashfield Local Plan Review (2002). As such, it is generally suitable. Availability The site is potentially available – beyond 15 years. Achievability Achievability is severely compromised by a lack of access. Consequently the site is currently considered undeliverable. It may be developable.	 Loss of Greenfield land and BMV agricultural land. Moderate Landscape Impact Moderate Landscape Impact Increase lumber of new dwellings. Increase number of new dwellings. Increase in the number of affordable homes. Good access to key services and facilities. Good access to open green spaces, with a SINC to the north west of the site. Improvement in health and social inclusion. No loss of designated wildlife sites. No impact on heritage assets. Supports regeneration of Hucknall Town Centre. Negative Impacts Loss of Greenfield land and allotment space. There is a surface water flooding issue on the site. 	Slight adverse effects from adjacent occupiers or development of the site for housing - Adjacent to Bypass. Flood Risk EA Maps suggest area at no risk from Flooding. <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination. <u>Agricultural Land Quality</u> Grade 2 (Very Good) <u>Highways / Access</u> Highways assessment suggests insufficient capacity for proposed level of development, with no apparent possibility for mitigation - All the road structures surrounding the site are unsuitable for accommodating increased traffic generation. St Marys Way is too narrow and Carlingford Road has restricted road width due to on street parking. <u>Topography</u> No issues identified. <u>Neighbour</u> No issues identified. <u>Flood Risk</u> EA Maps suggest area at no risk from Flooding. <u>Contamination</u> No known contamination.	housing, it is considered that this site also requires major infrastructure requirements, which will potentially impact on timescale for development. A new access route would be required from the A611. This is not considered to be a feasible option as it is very unlikely that this could be achieved. Given the uncertainty with regard to the delivery of development and the fact that Broomhill Extension can significantly boost the housing supply, the site is not considered to be suitable to be taken forward as an allocation. *Also see conclusion of H83. <u>Conclusion</u> The Council considers that this site should not be taken forward as an allocation within the Local Pan. The site has been allocated for housing since 2002 and there has never been a planning application submitted to the Council. There are severe access constraints which would require third party land to overcome. The site also has multiple landowners which adds to the constraints. Given the constraints and uncertainty with regard to the deliverability of development, the Council does not consider the site to be suitable for allocation.
H83	Land off Wood Lane	1.86	56	G	2	1 3		1	7	Suitability This site is in Green Belt and adjoins the urban area. There are severe access constraints which could potentially be overcome. However, there is a Local Wildlife Site adjacent to the site which may be the only suitable point of access. The site may be suitable if there are exceptional circumstances for Green Belt release and if access issues can be overcome.	 Positive Impacts Increase number of new dwellings. Increase in the number of affordable homes. Good access to key services and facilities. Good access to open green spaces. Improvement in health and social inclusion. No loss of designated wildlife sites. No impact on heritage assets. 	No known contamination. Highways / Access Severe access constraints. <u>Topography</u> Minor topographical constraints. <u>Neighbour</u> No issues identified. <u>Flood Risk</u> EA Maps suggest area at no risk from Flooding.	ConclusionThe Council does not believe this siteshould be taken forward as anallocation in the Local Pan.One of the site's primary constraint isits Green Belt designation. In responseto paragraph 83 of the NPPF, theCouncil has undertaken a Green BeltReview to understand the contributionsites adjacent to the urban area maketo the 5 purposes of Green Belt. The

Alloc		Area	No.	Gree		Green B Sc	elt R ores		N					
SHLAA Ref./Housing Allocation Ref.	Location	ı (ha)	Dwellings	Greenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	encroachment Prevent merging	Safeguard from	Preserve historic	Total Score	Suitability, Availability, Achievability	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constraints	Conclusion	
										Availability The site is available – beyond 5 years. Achievability Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.	 Supports regeneration of Hucknall Town Centre. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of Greenfield land and BMV agricultural land. Moderate Landscape Impact 	Contamination No known contamination. Agricultural Land Quality Grade 2 (Very Good).	site scores 7 out of 20. Whilst this site scores similar in Green Belt terms to the sites chosen to be allocated for housing, it is considered that access constraints would impact on the timescale for delivery of development and there is much less certainty that the constraints could be mitigated. Furthermore, Broomhill Farm is considered to be a more suitable site as it forms a logical rounding off of the settlement and there is more certainty that access constraints can be mitigated. Whilst it is acknowledged that there is potential to combine SHLAA sites H1, H12, H14, H15, H16, H17 and H83 to bring forward one comprehensive development, the site would not be capable of delivering enough dwellings to meet the objectively assessed housing needs. Broomhill Farm is capable of delivering a significant amount of development to greatly assist in meeting the housing needs of the district.	
H91	Brickyard Drive	0.73	20	G	N/ A	N/ N/ A	Ϋ́Α	N/A	N/A	Suitability The site is currently allocated for housing in the Ashfield Local Plan Review (2002). However, the site can only be accessed via an unmade road and a level crossing. The Highway Authority has indicated that this would not be a satisfactory arrangement for access into this site. With regard to the level crossing, a safety audit would also need to be undertaken. The site is currently considered unsuitable for residential development. <u>Availability</u> The land owner has indicated that the site is available. However, there are severe access constraints due to the level crossing. A new bridge would be required. This would impact on the timescale for delivery of development.	 <u>Positive Impacts</u> Increase number of new dwellings. Good access to open green spaces. Good access to local services and facilities. Improvement in health and social inclusion. Supports regeneration of Hucknall Town Centre. Supports employment and the local economy. <u>Negative Impacts</u> Loss of Greenfield land. Small risk of surface water flooding. 	Highways / AccessCurrent information suggests insufficientcapacity, no detailed assessment made -The road structures surrounding this sitesare unsuitable for accommodatingincreased traffic generation. In addition,there is the difficulty of crossing the raillines.TopographyNo issues identified.NeighbourSignificant adverse effects from adjacentor development of the site for housing -Railway line and employment unitsadjacent to the siteFlood RiskEA Maps suggest area at no risk fromFlooding.ContaminationLikely existence of contamination, no	<u>Conclusion</u> The Council does not believe this site should be taken forward as an allocation in the Local Pan. The site has been allocated for housing since 2002 and has never been brought forward. There are severe access constraints as it can only be accessed via a level crossing. Network Rail has indicated that a new bridge would be required to serve the site. Given the constraints, there is a significant level of uncertainty with regard to the sites potential to bring forward development within the Plan period. As such, the site is not considered to be suitable for allocation.	

	SHL/ Alloc		Area	No. [Gree	Green Belt Review Scores							
	AA Ref./Housing cation Ref.	Location	(ha)	Dwellings	reenfield / Brownfield	Unrestricted sprawl	Prevent merging	Safeguard from encroachment	Preserve historic settlements	Total Score		Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Known Physical Constrain
-													
											<u>Achievability</u> Based on whole Plan viability evidence, development is considered to be achievable.		detailed assessment made - Histor landfill site - Brickyard excavations

iints	Conclusion
toric ns	

Appendix 1 – Discounted sites

SHLAA Ref.	Location	Reason discounted
K4	Matley Avenue, Kirkby Woodhouse	No Green Belt release in Kirkby or Annesley
K24	Land at Derby Road, Kirkby in Ashfield	No Green Belt release in Kirkby or Annesley
K27	Beacon Farm, Kirkby in Ashfield	No Green Belt release in Kirkby or Annesley
K29	Abbey Road, Kirkby in Ashfield	Green Belt - Scores 5 for urban sprawl. No Green Belt release in Kirkby or Annesley.
K31	Crich View Smallholding, Kirkby in Ashfield	No Green Belt release in Kirkby or Annesley
K32	Pinxton Lane, Kirkby in Ashfield	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
K34	Thoresby Avenue, Kirkby in Ashfield	No Green Belt release in Kirkby or Annesley
K36	Annesley Cutting, Annesley	No Green Belt release in Kirkby or Annesley
K38	Beauvale Road, Kirkby Woodhouse	No Green Belt release in Kirkby or Annesley
K39	Land rear of 273 Nuncargate Road, Kirkby Woodhouse	No Green Belt release in Kirkby or Annesley
K40	Land between Nottingham Road and Nuncargate Road, Kirkby Woodhouse	No Green Belt release in Kirkby or Annesley
K41	Land rear of 141, 143, 145 Main Road, Kirkby Woodhouse	No Green Belt release in Kirkby or Annesley
K58	Birchwood Grange, Kirkby in Ashfield	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
K80	Land off Church Lane, Kirkby in Ashfield	No Green Belt release in Kirkby or Annesley. Assessed as 'unsuitable' in the SHLAA. Green Belt - Scores highest points for preserving the setting of historic settlements in the Green Belt assessment.
K109	Forest Road, Annesley Woodhouse	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
K113	Derby Road, Kirkby in Ashfield	No Green Belt release in Kirkby or Annesley
K139	Campfields Farm, Land off Derby Road, Kirkby in Ashfield	No Green Belt release in Kirkby or Annesley
K329	Blidworth Road, Kirkby	No Green Belt release in Kirkby or Annesley and assessed as 'unsuitable' in SHLAA
K331	Laburnum Avenue, Kirkby in Ashfield	No Green Belt release in Kirkby or Annesley and assessed as 'unsuitable' in SHLAA
K332	Recreation Road, Annesley	No Green Belt release in Kirkby or Annesley
K341	Derby Road, Kirkby in Ashfield	No Green Belt release in Kirkby or Annesley
K360	Half Moon Farm, Kingsway, Kirkby in Ashfield	No Green Belt release in Kirkby or Annesley
K372	Kirkby Delivery Office, Ashfield Precinct, Kirkby in Ashfield	The site is below the threshold for allocation (10 dwellings).
K386	Diamond Avenue/Derby Road, Kirkby in Ashfield	No Green Belt release in Kirkby or Annesley
K387	East of Derby Road, Kirkby in Ashfield	Excluded from SHLAA process (detached from settlement). No Green Belt release in Kirkby or Annesley
K398	Land rear of 64 Forest Road, Annesley Woodhouse	The site is below the threshold for allocation (10 dwellings).
K400	Van Elle, Pinxton Lane, Kirkby in Ashfield	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
SM43	Oak View Rise, Harlow Wood	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement

100 | Page

SM45	Land south of the MARR, Sutton in Ashfield	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
SM46	Land south of the MARR, Sutton in Ashfield	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
S64	Land rear of Coxmoor House, Sutton in Ashfield	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
S65	Brackenfield, Cauldwell Road, Sutton in Ashfield	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
S101	Penniment Lane, Sutton in Ashfield	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
S115	Land off Chesterfield Road, Huthwaite	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
S321	Whiteborough Farm, Chesterfield Road, Huthwaite	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
S328	Land at Skegby Bottoms, Mansfield Road, Skegby	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
S330	Land off Leamington Drive, Sutton in Ashfield	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
S336	Land off Beristow Lane, near Huthwaite	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
S362	Land rear of Station Farm, Teversal	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
S368	Wild Hill, Teversal	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
S373	Sutton Delivery Office, Langton Road, Sutton in Ashfield	The site is below the threshold for allocation (10 dwellings).
S384	Stoneyford Road, Sutton in Ashfield	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
S395	Tibshelf Road, Teversal	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
S396	Sharradoba, Silverhill Lane, Teversal	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
0000	Land at Coxmoor Golf Club, Sutton in	
S399	Ashfield	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
V1	Alfreton Road, Jubilee	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
V2	Alfreton Road, Jubilee	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
V3	Alfreton Road, Jubilee	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
V11	Westwood Gardens, Westwood	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
V13	Land rear of 55 Wagstaff Lane, Jacksdale	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
V14	Land adjacent to 282 Main Road, Westwood	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
V35	Land off Felley Mill Lane, Underwood	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
V86	Land adjacent to 149 Stoney Lane, Selston	The site is below the threshold for allocation (10 dwellings).
V88	Land between 191 243 Nottingham Road, Selston	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
V90	Station Road, Selston	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
V102	Land at the Triangle, Felley Mill Lane, Underwood	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
V104	Land off Main Road, Jacksdale	The site is below the threshold for allocation (10 dwellings).
V338	Land off Inkerman Road, Selston	The landowner has withdrawn the site. As such, it is unavailable.
V339	Westwood Gardens, Westwood	The site is below the threshold for allocation (10 dwellings).
V340	Westwood Gardens, Westwood	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
V349	Winter Closes and Cordy Lane, Underwood	Green Belt - The site scores the highest points for checking the unrestricted sprawl of built up areas. Consequently it has been discounted.
V352	Cordy Lane, Underwood	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
V353	Cordy Lane, Underwood	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
	Cordy Lane, Underwood	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement

V355	Cordy Lane, Underwood	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
V356	Cordy Lane, Underwood	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
V357	Cordy Lane, Underwood	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
V361	Westwood Gardens, Westwood	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
V364	Land adjacent to 358 Nottingham Road, Selston	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA. Green Belt - scores highest for preventing merging in Green Belt assessment.
V365c	Oak Tree Farm, Jacksdale (whole site)	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA. Green Belt - The site scores the highest points for checking the unrestricted sprawl of built up areas. Consequently it has been discounted.
V369	Vehicle Dismantling Yard, Winter Closes, Underwood	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
V370	Winter Closes, Underwood	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
V377	Station Road, Selston Common	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
V389	Land off Nottingham Road, Jubilee	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
V391	Land off Nottingham Road, Jubilee	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
V392	Land off Nottingham Road, Jubilee	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement
V397	Land off Pye Hill Road, Jacksdale	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
V402	Knightsbridge House and Garage, Pye Hill Road, Jacksdale	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
V403	Paradise Windows, Pye Hill Road, Jacksdale	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
НЗ	Land off Badger Close, Hucknall	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA. The site scores the highest points for checking the unrestricted sprawl of built up areas. Consequently it has been discounted.
H6	Linby Boarding Kennels, Church Lane, Hucknall	Green Belt - The site scores the highest points for preventing the merger of settlements. Consequently it has been discounted.
H7	Brickyard, Hucknall	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
H8	Mill Lane, Bestwood	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
H10	Butlers Hill, Hucknall	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
H11 (now includes former H18)	Land off Nottingham Road, Hucknall	Assessed as 'Unsuitable' in SHLAA
H13	Whyburn Farm, Hucknall	Green Belt - The site scores the highest points for checking the unrestricted sprawl of built up areas. Consequently it has been discounted.
H19	Land off Moor Road, Bestwood	Excluded from SHLAA process - detached from settlement

102 | Page