Appendix I: Appraisal of Spatial Strategy policies

Score	Description	Symbol
Significant Positive Effect	The option contributes significantly to the achievement of the objective.	++
Minor Positive Effect	The option contributes to the achievement of the objective but not significantly.	+
Neutral	The option does not have any effect on the achievement of the objective	0
Minor Negative Effect	The option detracts from the achievement of the objective but not significantly.	-
Significant Negative Effect	The option detracts significantly from the achievement of the objective.	
No Relationship	There is no clear relationship between the option and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.	~
Uncertain	The option has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an appraisal to be made.	?

NB: where more than one symbol/colour is presented in a box it indicates that the appraisal has identified both positive and negative effects. Where a box is coloured but also contains a '?', this indicates uncertainty over whether the effect could be a minor or significant effect although a professional judgement is expressed in the colour used. A conclusion of uncertainty arises where there is insufficient evidence for expert judgement to conclude an effect.

Spatial Strategy policies

SA Objective	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S7	S 8	S9	S10	S11	S12	S13	S14	S15	S16	S17	Cumulative
1. Housing To ensure that the	+	0	++	-/?	+	++	++/?	0	++	+	+	+	+	++	+/- /?	-/?	0	++/-/?
housing stock	Like	ly Sign	ificant	Effects				•			•			•			•	
meets the housing needs of Ashfield.	mee sign S3 sc Settl prov conc conc S9 sc olde custc Show ensu Gree Plan	ets out lements vision o cerns ar cerns m ets out r peop om bui wpeopl ure sust en Belt but is housing	e housir y positiv the hiel s with lin f strateg re identi hay be o the hou le and fe ld, whic e would ainable policy (S not con g need.	rarchy w mited in gic alloc ified in t vercom using rea or those h would also be commu 54) may sidered	s of the their ro which gu fill in s ations of the New e durin quirem e with d suppo e met. F unities. restrict to und er, it ma	e district ole in he uides ho mall villa (S6 and 1 v Settler g the pla ent of a lisabilitie ort the fu Policy S1 Ensuring t housing uly affect	S7) would ment Stud an perioc minimun es, includi ill range o 4 would g appropr g develop ct housing the abilit	ects of t meet th velopm ements d also s dy abou l and th n of 8,2 ng sup of hous suppor iate inf oment v g delive	he majo ne housin ent with s. This w ignificar it the via nerefore 26 dwell ported a ing need t the pro- rastructo within th ery overa	rity of t ng need in the I ill positi atly sup ability o identifie dentifie and spe ds in the ovision o ure (S11 ne areas all within	he polic ls for the District t vely sup port the f Cauldv es devel thin the cialist ac e district of suffici and S1 of prop n the dis	o the M port su provisio vell Roa opment district commo t. The ne ient and 2) will a	his Obje t. ain Urba stainable on of ho d, but th toward between odation a seds of C suitable lso supp be desi ven that	an Areas e housir using in ne draft s the en n 2020 a and the Gypsies, e housin port hou gnated sufficie	e predict s, New S ng devel the dist Local Pl dof the and 2038 develop Travelle g as par sing dev as Green nt sites l	ettleme opment trict. Ho an recog plan pe 3 and su ment o rs and 1 rt of a ra velopme n Belt id have be	e positi nts, Na t in the wever, gnises t eriod. ipports f self-bu ravellin ange of ent with lentifiec en iden	ve or med district. The some hat these housing for uild and g criteria to in the district. I in the Local tified to meet

Overall, the policies have been appraised as having significant positive effects on the achievement of this objective.
Mitigation
None identified.
Assumptions
• That housing need will be met through the combination of sites and affordable housing requirements set out in the Local Plan.
Uncertainties
The exact location of development.
• The extent to which the protection and enhancement of the natural and historic environment restrict housing delivery is uncertain.

SA Objective	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S 7	S8	S9	S10	S11	S12	S13	S14	S15	S16	S17	Cumulative
2. Health To improve health and	+	+	+	0	+	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/- /?	+/- /?	++	+	0	++	++	0	~	++/-/?
wellbeing and reduce health inequalities.	Achiev impro health promo are co there Policie would integr	ving imp vement care (S otion of nsidere may be es S6 an suppor ation of	s across 11), ens propos d to sig conflict d S7 wo t achiev f green he prov	ents to s a rang sure Gre sals that inificant ting use ould see vement space. (e of are een Infra suppo ly contr s. S2 we e the int of the o Overall,	eas. The astructu rt menta ribute to ould ens cegratio objectiv mixed p ist and	policies re cons al and p oward th sure dev n of mu e. Policy positive support	would ervatior hysical nis obje velopmo ltifunct v S8 wo and neg red hou	suppor n and er health a ctive. Po ent avoi ional gr uld sup gative e sing wh	t the pro- nhancen and well olicy S1 ds areas een spa port act ffects an ich will	ovision, nent (S1 being, a seeks to s of high ces and ive trans re assess support	and pro 5) and p and redu o ensure nest floo play are sport lin sed for t membe	otection, promote uce heal that de od risk w eas, and iks to ne these po	e sustain th inequ velopm vhich sup l active t eighbour plicies. e comm	structure able con alities a ent wou oports w ransport ring She unity wh	e and se mmunit cross th ld not b vellbeing t (cyclin rwood f no may	ervices i ies and le distric be locate g. g and w Business otherwi	ncluding



on location and design, it is expected that employment development (S10) would have a mix of positive and negative effects. The remaining policies are considered to have neutral effects.
Overall, the policies are assessed as having a mix of significant positive and minor negative effects on the achievement of the objective although there is some uncertainty.
Mitigation
None identified.
Assumptions
 That new and existing healthcare provision will be co-ordinated for the benefit of existing and new residents.
Uncertainties
 The consistency of implementation across the district in respect of service provision.
The exact location of development.

SA Objective	S1	S2	S 3	S4	S 5	S 6	S 7	S 8	S 9	S10	S11	S12	S13	S14	S15	S16	S17	Cumulative
3.Historic Environment	+	0	+	0	+	+	+/-	+/-	-/?	-/?	-/?	-/?	-/?	0	+	++	~	++/-/?
To conserve and enhance Ashfield's historic environment, heritage assets and their settings.	Ashfie Histor strate Policy some negat would provic Hall R	ic Parks gic poli S1 and uncerta ive effe I help m de posit egistere	a range and G cy to pr S5 wou ainty ov cts are nitigate ive resp ed Park	of heri ardens. otects uld seel er polic assesse any ne oonse to and Ga	The dis and enh cies S9, d, altho gative i o the po ardens.	strict als nance the S10, S1 ough the mpacts otential Positive	so has a shfield's ne chara 1, S12 a e preser . Policy s effects and ne	numbe s histori nd S13 nce and S7 seek on this gative e	r of noi c enviro areas th depend magnit s the in asset. F effects a	n-design nrough lent on cude is u plemer colicy S8 are asse	nated hi and wo new dev the loca uncertain tation o referen ssed for	storic as uld com velopme ation of n. Howe of a buff nces the r these p	ssets of tribute : ent whic new de ver, it is er to Ha integra policies.	local im significa h would velopme s consid amilton tion of e	nportand intly to t d suppor ent and ered tha Hill Sch	ce. Polic this object historic at other eduled hat prot	cy S16 s ective. bjective assets. policies Monum tects the	s in the plan hent which will e Annesley

Mitigation
• The implementation of other policies in the plan will support consideration of historic environment when new development is assessed.
Assumptions
None identified.
Uncertainties
• The exact location of new development with regard to historic assets.

SA Objective	S1	S2	S 3	S4	S 5	S6	S7	S 8	S9	S10	S11	S12	S13	S14	S15	S16	S17	Cumulative
4.Community Safety	+	0	+	0	+	0/?	0/?	0/?	0/?	0/?	+	0	0/?	+	0	0	~	+/?
To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime.	Policy develo sustai There other	s S5 wor opment nable c is som policies	ts that h ommur e uncer s regarc ection l	port the nelp to nities. tainty c ding de	support over pol sign in t	icies S6 the plar	to S10 (SD1)	and S1 would h	blicy S14 3 due te nelp mit	4 seeks o the de tigate in	to impro	ove con d layou	nmunity t of dev	safety a	nt altho	of the ap	pproach	t to achieve ered that d location
	 Nor Assur Nor 	ation ne ident nption ne ident rtaintie	s ified.															
	• The	specific	c desigr	n of nev	v develo	opment												

SA Objective	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S 6	S 7	S 8	S 9	S10	S11	S12	S13	S14	S15	S16	S17	Cumulative
5.Social	+	0	++	0	+	++	+	+	+	++	++	+	+	++	+	0	~	++
Inclusion Deprivation To improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas and the rest of Ashfield.	Policy for all involv Policy trainin schoo sustai prom- and s help a Policy achiev integr may r Overa Mitig	x S3 wou membra rement x S10 se ng bodi of places nable tr ote goo eeks to alleviate x S6 wou vement ration o not all b all, the s ation None rtaintie	ers of the in developes eks to ec- es. Policies training ansport of qualities close the deprivation of this of f active e delive ection he ection he e identifies	are that ne common opmen incouraging by S11 re- ing oppo t. This is ty access ne gap i ation. bort the objective transpo red in t mas been fied.	nunity. t Neigh ge the o equires ortunities consic s to he n educa provisi re, parti ort and he plar	S5 supp bourho the pro- es, broad lered to alth car ational a fon of e cularly commu period	ment o ovision o dband c o help su e faciliti attainmo in comb inity fac I. Minor	e develo is. This i of requi connect upport i es, heal ent in A nent lan bination ilities, a positive	opment is consid includin site infr ivity, an mprove thy neig shfield. d and h of activ lthough e effects	of high dered to g throu astructu d impro- ments f ghbourh These e nousing ve transp given t s are ass	-quality o suppor gh (inte ire to su ovement or inclus noods, p elements and a ra port rou he likeli sessed.	places t the ob r alia) w pport d s to transion and rovision s in new ange of tes to th hood o	and goo ojective. vorking w levelopn nsport. F d access n of com v develop retail co nese fac	with edu nent, inc Policy S1 ibility ad munity oment c mmunit ilities. Po y toward	ication p cluding s 2 would cross the facilities an cont cy faciliti olicy S7 ds the e	orovider support d ensure e district s, the pr ribute to es whic would s nd of th	s comm for pro the pro t. Policy ovision o social h would support	earning and vision of ovision of S14 would of allotments inclusion and

SA Objective	S 1	S2	S 3	S4	S5	S6	S7	S 8	S9	S10	S11	S12	S13	S14	S15	S16	S17	Cumulative
6. Biodiversity & Green	+	+	+/- /?	+	0	+	+	+	-/?	-/?	-/?	-/?	-/?	0	++	0	+	++/-/?
Infrastructure To conserve, enhance and increase biodiversity levels and Green & Blue Infrastructure	There Sherv are ni acros Policy positi suppo planti There devel polici Overa Mitig • The pro Assun • Dev Unce	e are no vood Fo ine SSSI s the di / S15 se ive effector ort greet ing which e is som opmention es in the all, the p pation vision w mption rtaintie	Is across strict an eeks to o ct on thi en and b ch is con e uncer t, which e plan v policies nment E vithin th is ent will a	tionally nich is r s Ashfie d four conserv is objec olue infr nsidere tainty c is unkr would h in this s Bill will s ie NPPF avoid si	ecognis Id and Local N e Greer tive. S4 astruct d to sup over the nown. T elp mit section see the , and h gnificar	sed as I there a lature F n Infrass will pr ure and oport a effects herefor igate n have b legal re elp to r	being im re sever Reserves tructure otect th I biodive chievem s of polie re, mino egative een asse equirem nitigate rse effee	e Greer ersity w nent of cies S9 r negat impact essed as ent for negativ	t for br s of and odiversion Belt w ithin th this obj to 13 of ive effe s on bio s having biodive ve effec lesignat	eeding v ient wo ity asset hich wil e distric ective. n achiev cts with odiversit g a mix o ersity ne ts and p ted cons	woodlar odland. s within l protec t. Policio ving this uncerta y to sor of signif t gain ir provide o servatio	rk and N There a the dis the co es S6 to s objecti ainty are me exter ficant po n all new enhance n sites.	lightjar, are also trict. Th untrysic S8 seel ve due e assesso nt. ositive a v develo ement.	in the s a numb e policy le from to the int to the lo ed. How nd mino	is cons develop regration ocation, vever, it or negat	id east o cal Wild idered t oment, a n of gre design is consi	of the D dife Site to have and the en infra and lay dered t	District. There es (LWS) a significant refore astructure and

SA Objective	S1	S2	S 3	S4	S5	S6	S7	S 8	S 9	S10	S11	S12	S13	S14	S15	S16	S17	Cumulative
7.Landscape	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	0	+	+	0	+/-/?
To protect enhance and									/?	/?	/?	/?	/?					
manage the	Likob	/ Signif	licant F	ffocto														
character and	Likely	Likely Significant Effects Policies S3 and S4 will protect the Green Belt whilst S3 will also protect the countryside from inappropriate development. This will also support the protection of Ashfield's landscape. The development of new housing (S9), employment (S10) and retail (S13) is likely to have an impact on landscape and townscape although there may also be opportunities for improvements to townscapes. These effects are largely dependent on location and design new development. Policies S6 to S8 require the integration of landscaping to create locally distinctive developments. S7 seeks the integration of landscape buffers and S8 the creation of attractive landscaped edges. This is considered to positively support achievement																
appearance of	Polici																s will also	
Ashfield's																		
landscape	1- 1-																	
/townscape,	The d																scape	
maintaining	altho																and design of	
and																		
strengthening				cape bu	uffers ar	nd S8 tł	ne creat	ion of a	ttractive	e landsc	aped ec	dges. Th	is is cor	nsiderec	l to posi	tively s	upport	achievement
local	of the	integration of landscape buffers and S8 the creation of attractive landscaped edges. This is considered to positively support achievement of the objective.																
distinctiveness	A		1: .:			- 1								1-1		6 . l l.		- t -
and sense of		•			essed a	is navin	g a mix	of posi	tive and	minor	negative	e effects	s on the	achieve	ement o	f the ob	Jective	although
place.	there	is some	e uncer	tainty.														
	Mitio	ation																
	-		olicies ir	n the pl	an as a	whole	will ensu	ure deve	elopme	nt mitia	ates anv	v potent	tial sign	ificant e	ffects o	n the la	ndscap	e.
		mption		1-					1	5	, ,	/ 1						
		-		other p	olicies	in the p	lan pro	vide de [.]	tailed p	olicy pro	ovision f	for cons	ideratic	n of lar	dscape	matters	5.	
		rtaintie					•								•			
	• The	locatio	n and c	design o	of devel	lopmen	t.											

SA	S1	S2	S 3	S4	S5	S6	S7	S 8	S 9	S10	S11	S12	S13	S14	S15	S16	S17	Cumulative
Objective																		

8.Natural Resources To	+	0	+/-	++	0				+/- -/?	+/- -/?	+/-	+/-	+/-	0	+	0	++	++//?
minimise	Likely	signifi	icant ef	fects														
the loss of natural resources including soils, greenfield land and	employ employ that th	yment yment ere is a	land (33 (S10) ar a low su		ares) h (S13) is vailab	nave bee s likely to le previo	n reuse o have a ously de	d for h an impa velope	ousing b act on re d sites. [etween sources Developi	2001 ar . The ho ment pr	nd 2019 ousing fi oposed	. The dev gure is li under p	velopm kely to olicies S	ent of ne be relia: S6 to S9,	ew hou nt on g	sing (S9 reenfiel	
the best quality agricultural land.	resour	ces. Ad		ly, the pr														hese natural est and most
		•		are asses ainty due				0	•								5	e although nfield.
	Mitiga • None Assum • None Uncert	e identi ptions e identi taintie	s ified. s															
	Uncert	taintie	S	elopmer	nt and	the qua	ntum of	develo	opment	that cou	ld be ac	commo	dated o	n previo	ously dev	veloped	d land.	

SA Objective	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S 7	S8	S9	S10	S11	S12	S13	S14	S15	S16	S17	Cumulative
9.Air & noise	+	0	+/-	+	0	+/-	+/-	+/-	-/?	-/?	+/-	+/-	-/?	+	+	0	~	+/-
pollution	Likely	signifi	cant eff	fects														

To reduce	
air	There is the potential for the construction and occupation of new residential development to have negative effects on air quality due to, for
pollution	example, emissions generated from plant and HGV movements during construction and increased vehicle movements once construction is
and the	complete. Similarly, noise associated with construction of new dwellings would have adverse effects on the amenity of adjacent occupiers,
proportion	although this would be temporary. In the long-term greater road congestion would increase levels of noise in the specific areas.
of the	
local	Development in line with the spatial strategy (S3) will be directed to the most sustainable locations, which may support reductions in in
population	pollution associated with transport in the long term. However, it is likely to increase the likelihood of air and noise pollution within the district.
subject to	S7 includes the protection of the amenity with regards to (inter alia) noise and air quality. Employment (S10), retail (S13) and housing
noise	development (S9) are considered likely to contribute to the air and noise pollution, through construction and occupation, although the
pollution.	magnitude of such effects is dependent on location.
	The development of new settlements (S6 and S7) may enable the integration of effective measures to reduce the need to travel. However,
	overall, these policies are likely to have a mix of positive and negative effects. Development of strategic employment land is likely to lead to
	increased traffic along the M1 and on access routes leading to increases in noise and air pollution. Increases in public transport provision to
	support development would provide benefits.
	Overall, the policies have been assessed as having mixed minor positive and negative effects.
	Mitigation
	None identified.
	Assumptions
	None identified.
	Uncertainties
	The specific location of new development.
	The extent to which potential sustainable travel measures are realised.

SA	S1	S 2	S 3	S4	S 5	S 6	S7	S 8	S 9	S10	S11	S12	S13	S14	S15	S16	S17	Cumulative
Objective																		

10.Water Quality	+	++	+	+	0	-/?	-/?	-/?	-/?	-/?	-/?	-/?	-/?	+	+	0	~	++/-/?
To	Likely	/ signifi	cant ef	fects														
conserve																		
and	-			J .		limate c	hange a	nd the	protecti	on of w	ater resc	ources a	nd wate	r quality	. It is co	nsidere	d to ha	ve significant
improve	positi	ve effect	ts on th	is object	tive.													
water	C2 #0.0	wires th	at all m		امممم	+ in alu d		urac ta	0.00.01.17.0	water	ficional	whilet (14	orte or	staction	ofwata	whadia	from
quality and				ew deve														
quantity.	contamination to support health and wellbeing. Policy S4 is considered likely to have minor positive effects on the objective as it protects Green Belt from development. Similarly, the protection and enhancement of Green Infrastructure assets (S15) is likely to provide minor positive																	
quantity	effects on water resource and quality.																	
	Policies S9, S10 and S13 are considered likely to put additional pressure on water resources. However, development offers potential for the integration of sustainable water management initiatives through rainwater recycling, SuDS and water-efficient development which could																	
	minimise the magnitude of these effects. Similarly, this the case for strategic scale developments proposed in S6-S8, which explicitly require																	
	the integration of SuDS.																	
	Overa	III. the p	olicies a	are asses	sed as	having a	a mix of	signific	ant posi	itive and	lminor	negative	effects	on the	achiever	nent of	the ob	iective
		•		ne uncei				- 9				- <u>9</u>						
	Mitig	ation																
	-		es in th	e plan se	et out th	he annr	oach to	and the	require	ments f	or SuDS							
		•			et out ti				require		01 5425							
	Assumptions None identified. 																	
	Uncer	rtainties	5															
	Thoo	xtent to			r• •		-											

SA Objective	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S 7	S8	S9	S10	S11	S12	S13	S14	S15	S16	S17	Cumulative
11.Waste To	+/-	+	-	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	0	~	+/-
minimise waste and increase the re- use and recycling of waste materials.	The co this of positiv Overal Mitiga • Polic Assun • That • It is a Uncer	onstruct ojective. ve and r II, the pr ation cy wordi nptions implem	Policy S negative olicies h ing in S nentatio d that th	use of S2 woul effects have bee 1 could n of po	d seek t en appra specific licies wi	he redu aised as ally inclu	having ude refe	a mix o erence to with ot	ce use w f minor o the pr her poli	vhich wo positive ovision cies on o	ould pos and min of waste	itively si nor neg e infrasti ging the	upport t ative eff ructure i e reduct	his obje ects on n new d ion in w	ctive. S1 this obj levelopr aste.	ective. nent.	be expe	n relation to ected to have on available.

SA Objective	S1	S2	S 3	S4	S5	S6	S7	S8	S9	S10	S11	S12	S13	S14	S15	S16	S17	Cumulative
12. Climate Change and	+	++	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	~	++
Flood Risk To adapt to climate change by reducing and manage	Ashfie additi	onal wa	elativel ter in tl	y low ri he Rive	^r Leen c	ould ca	use floo	od issue	s for No	ottingha	m to th	e south	. Policy	S2 is the	e primar	y strate	gic polio	cognised that cy that seeks the River

© Wood Group UK Limited

I13

the risk of flooding and the resulting	Indirectly, policies on Green Infrastructure (S15) and Green Belt (S4) could also retain open areas that make a make contribution to effective water management by helping to reduce surface water run-off.
detriment to people, property and the	New development proposals associated with S6 to S8, S9, S10 and S13 are expected to take into account site specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) where required and avoid contributing to flood risk within the district. However, it is recognised that parts of Hucknall's town centre is subject to flood risk.
environment.	Overall, the policies in this have been appraised as having a significant positive effect on this objective.
	Mitigation
	• None identified.
	Assumptions
	 Development proposals would be informed by an FRA with up-to-date assessment of flood risk.
	 flood risk modelling to inform consideration of development proposals at application stage.
	Uncertainties
	The exact location of development

SA Objective	S1	S2	S 3	S4	S5	S6	S 7	S 8	S9	S10	S11	S12	S13	S14	S15	S16	S17	Cumulative
13.Climate Change and	+	++	+/-	0	0	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+	+	+/-	0	0	0	~	++/-
Energy Efficiency	Like	ly signi	ficant e	effects														
To adapt to climate change by minimise energy usage	ener from	olicy S2 sets out the strategic policy for the promotion of energy from renewable and low carbon sources and that new development is nergy efficient and makes use of renewable resources. Significant positive effects have been assessed. Positive effects are also assessed om the integration and delivery of community and transport infrastructure that reduces the need to travel and supports sustainable ansport measures and walking and cycling (S11 and S12).																
and to develop	-	ployment (S10), retail (S13) and housing development (S9) will create greenhouse gases during construction and once development is mplete. However, new development allows for more energy efficient buildings and the integration of renewable and low carbon																

© Wood Group UK Limited

renewable	technologies could provide opportunities to mitigate these effects. Policies S6 to S8 encourage development to exceed Building Regulations for carbon emissions where possible, which would achieve greater efficiency for occupants. However, the embodied carbon in construction would still result in negative effects.
resource, reducing dependency	Overall, the policies have been assessed as having a mix of significant positive and minor negative effects.
	Mitigation
renewable	• Other policies support the implementation of low carbon technologies (CC1).
sources.	• Policies S6, S7 and S8 could be enhanced by requiring higher standards of energy efficiency in new buildings.
	Assumptions
	• It is assumed that over the plan period there will be a decarbonisation of the electricity generation mix with renewable energy sources displacing fossil fuels.
	Uncertainties
	 The ability to deliver renewable and low carbon technologies in new development.

SA Objective	S1	S2	S 3	S4	S5	S 6	S7	S8	S9	S10	S11	S12	S13	S14	S15	S16	S17	Cumulative
14.Travel and	+	0	++	0	+	++	+	+	+/-	+/-	++	++	+/-	+	+	0	~	++/-
Accessibility To improve travel choice and accessibility, reduce the need for travel by car and shorten	S3 sets suppor Signific which	out th t the c cant po may nc	onnectiv sitive ef ot impro	ll strate vity and fects have ve trave	l more s ave thei el choic	sustaina e been e, but is	able tra assess s likely ⁻	vel patt ed. S1 s to supp	erns su eeks de ort effic	pported velopm cient tra	by imp ent that nsporta	roveme t would tion mo	nts to p not adv	ublic tra ersely a s. S15 w	ffect hig	under P ghways pport G	Policies S safety o Green In	S11 and S12. or capacity, frastructure

© Wood Group UK Limited

the length and duration of journeys.	Policies S6 and S7 seek to provide opportunities for active travel and comprehensive transport improvements. Policy S6 seeks the inclusion of a variety of transport improvements to enable greater connectivity within and to the proposed new settlement, including potential extension of the NET tram link. The transport improvements for S6 are potentially significant. S8 would also support the integration of walking and cycling to the existing Sherwood Business Park and highway improvements.
	Employment (S10), retail (S13) and housing (S9) development may support improved accessibility dependent on specific location and the transport links and infrastructure that can be secured. However, new development is likely to also lead to an increase in car use. These policies have been assessed as having a mix of positive and negative effects.
	Overall, the policies have been assessed as having a mix of significant positive and minor negative effects.
	 Mitigation None identified. Assumptions It is assumed that suitable contributions to onsite and offsite transport improvements are secured from new development.
	Uncertainties The exact location of development and the sustainable transport improvements that can be secured.

SA Objective	S1	S 2	S 3	S 4	S 5	S6	S7	S 8	S9	S10	S11	S12	S13	S14	S15	S16	S17	Cumulative
15.Employment	+	0	++	0/?	+	++	+	++	+	++	+	+	+	+	-/?	-/?	~	++/-/?
To create high																		
quality	Likely significant effects																	
employment		Policy S10 specifically supports economic growth through the allocation of employment land to meet current and future needs. The																
opportunities	Policy																	
including	policy	policy also seeks to (inter alia) promote local employment opportunities and training initiatives and work with educational providers and skills and training bodies to raise workforce skills. S8 would see the development of strategic employment land, which could be																
opportunities	and sl																	
for increased																		

learn and skills to meet the needs of the	expected to contribute to high-quality employment opportunities. Overall, the policies have been assessed as having a significant positive effect on this objective.
District.	Policies S11 and S12 would support infrastructure delivery, including sustainable transport, which would complement the accessibility of employment opportunities in the district. New development of housing and other uses (S6, S7) would support employment during construction, which may support accessible job opportunities. S6 includes 13ha of employment land delivery, whilst S8 would deliver around 45ha of employment land in total. This is considered significant.
	Overall, the section has been appraised as having mixed significant positive and minor negative effects but there is some uncertainty dependent on location.
	Mitigation
	None identified.
	Assumptions
	None identified.
	Uncertainties
	The exact location of development.
	The extent to which the protection and enhancement of the natural and historic environment restrict employment land delivery is uncertain.

SA Objective	S1	S 2	S 3	S4	S 5	S6	S7	S 8	S9	S10	S11	S12	S13	S14	S15	S16	S17	Cumulative
16. Economy	+	0	++	0/?	+	++	+	++	+	++	+	+	++	0	-/?	-/?	~	++/-/?
To Improve the				-												-		
efficiency,	Likely	Likely significant effects																
competitiveness	Policy	Policy S10 specifically supports economic growth through the allocation of employment land to meet current and future needs. The																
and adaptability	policy	policy seeks to (inter alia): make provision for up to 83ha of employment land from 2018 to 2038; allocate employment land; safeguard																
of the local	emplo	employment areas; support the growth of rural businesses; develop priority sectors; and support tourism. This policy should also ensure																
economy.	that jo	that jobs are accessible. Policy S8 sets out the allocation of strategic employment land. S13 would ensure the vitality and vibrancy of																

town centres is maintained which will also support the local economy. Overall, these policies have been assessed as having a significant positive effect on this objective.
The protection of the Green Infrastructure and biodiversity (S15) and the historic environment (S16) may restrict the delivery of economic development within the district. However, this is dependent on the location of development and sensitivity of receptors.
Overall, the section has been appraised as having mixed significant positive and minor negative effects but there is some uncertainty dependent on location.
Mitigation
None identified.
Assumptions
None identified.
Uncertainties
The exact location of development.
• The extent to which the protection and enhancement of the natural and historic environment restrict employment land delivery is uncertain.

SA Objective	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S7	S 8	S9	S10	S11	S12	S13	S14	S15	S16	S17	Cumulative
17. Town Centres	+	0	+/?	0	0	+	0	0	+/?	+	+/?	+/?	++	0	-/?	-/?	~	++/-/?
Increase the vitality and viability of Ashfield's	Ashfie and cu of sust enabli	ld's mai Iltural d tainable	evelopr transpo ter conr	centres nent in ort infra	these to structu	own cen œ (S11 a	tres and and S12	the ret) could :	ail hiera support	archy wo greater	ould stre accessil	ngthen oility of	the role town ce	that the entres, h	ese area owever 1	s play ir this is d	n the dis epender	tail, leisure trict. Delivery nt on delivery vely support



I18

town centres.	Policies S9 and S10 could support town centres dependent on the specific location of housing and employment development. Policies seeking to protect biodiversity assets (S15) and the historic environment (S16) may potentially restrict development of retail and leisure uses although the magnitude of effect is uncertain.
	Overall, the section has been appraised as having mixed significant positive and minor negative effects but there is some uncertainty dependent on location.
	 Mitigation None identified. Assumptions Other policies in the plan will provide the town centre first approach to town centre use development. Uncertainties The exact location of development is unknown at this stage.